



Cohere Medicare Advantage Policy – Miscellaneous Musculoskeletal Procedures

Clinical Policy for Medical Necessity Review

Version: 1
Effective Date: June 26, 2025

Important Notices

Notices & Disclaimers:

GUIDELINES ARE SOLELY FOR COHERE'S USE IN PERFORMING MEDICAL NECESSITY REVIEWS AND ARE NOT INTENDED TO INFORM OR ALTER CLINICAL DECISION-MAKING OF END USERS.

Cohere Health, Inc. ("**Cohere**") has published these clinical guidelines to determine the medical necessity of services (the "**Guidelines**") for informational purposes only, and solely for use by Cohere's authorized "**End Users**". These Guidelines (and any attachments or linked third-party content) are not intended to be a substitute for medical advice, diagnosis, or treatment directed by an appropriately licensed healthcare professional. These Guidelines are not in any way intended to support clinical decision-making of any kind; their sole purpose and intended use is to summarize certain criteria Cohere may use when reviewing the medical necessity of any service requests submitted to Cohere by End Users. Always seek the advice of a qualified healthcare professional regarding any medical questions, treatment decisions, or other clinical guidance. The Guidelines, including any attachments or linked content, are subject to change at any time without notice. This policy may be superseded by existing and applicable Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) statutes.

© 2025 Cohere Health, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

Other Notices:

HCPCS® and CPT® copyright 2025 American Medical Association. All rights reserved.

Fee schedules, relative value units, conversion factors and/or related components are not assigned by the AMA, are not part of CPT, and the AMA is not recommending their use. The AMA does not directly or indirectly practice medicine or dispense medical services. The AMA assumes no liability for data contained or not contained herein.

HCPCS and CPT are registered trademarks of the American Medical Association.

Policy Information:

Specialty Area: Musculoskeletal Care

Policy Name: Cohere Medicare Advantage Policy - Miscellaneous Musculoskeletal Procedures

Type: ☒ Adult (18+ yo) | ☒ Pediatric (0-17 yo)

Table of Contents

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Important Notices | 2 |
| Medical Necessity Criteria | 4 |
| Service: Miscellaneous Musculoskeletal Procedures | 4 |
| Related CMS Documents | 4 |
| Description | 4 |
| Medical Necessity Criteria | 4 |
| Indications | 4 |
| Non-Indications | 5 |
| Level of Care Criteria | 5 |
| Procedure Codes (CPT/HCPCS) | 5 |
| Evaluation of Clinical Harms and Benefits | 6 |
| Medical Evidence | 8 |
| References | 9 |
| Clinical Guideline Revision History/Information | 10 |

Medical Necessity Criteria

Service: Miscellaneous Musculoskeletal Procedures

Related CMS Documents

Please refer to the [CMS Medicare Coverage Database](#) for the most current applicable CMS National Coverage.

- There are no applicable NCDs and/or LCDs for the following:
 - Capsulectomy or capsulotomy (hip) (CPT 27036)
 - Removal of a foreign body in the thigh or knee (CPT 27372)

Description

This policy focuses on miscellaneous musculoskeletal services/procedures. Services/procedures are covered when the patient meets medically necessary criteria as defined by the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), national society guidelines, and medical literature. Non-covered services/procedures are denied when considered not medically necessary or when it is a defined exclusion.

Medical Necessity Criteria

Indications

Capsulectomy or capsulotomy (hip) (CPT 27036) is considered appropriate if **ANY** of the following is **TRUE**:

- Need for surgical access to the hip joint (e.g., biopsy, implant placement).¹⁻³

Removal of a foreign body in the thigh or knee (CPT 27372) is considered appropriate if **ALL** of the following are **TRUE**:

- Imaging demonstrates **ANY** of the following:
 - Acute, post-traumatic, intra-articular, or soft tissue foreign body (an object that was inserted or migrated into the joint during the injury or surgical event); **OR**

- Chronic foreign body in the soft tissue; **AND**
- Foreign body noted on exam or imaging.

Non-Indications

Capsulectomy or capsulotomy (hip) (CPT 27036) is not considered appropriate if **ANY** of the following is **TRUE**:

- Infection; **OR**
- Loose body removal⁴; **OR**
- Pathological synovium or process requiring biopsy; **OR**
- Large, irreparable labral tears when there is a risk of postoperative anterior hip instability²; **OR**
- Iatrogenic instability.³

Removal of a foreign body in the thigh or knee (CPT 27372) is not considered appropriate if **ANY** of the following is **TRUE**:

- Chronic stable foreign body without symptoms.

Level of Care Criteria

Inpatient or Outpatient

Procedure Codes (CPT/HCPCS)

| CPT/HCPCS Code | Code Description |
|----------------|---|
| 27036 | Capsulectomy or capsulotomy, hip, with or without excision of heterotopic bone, with release of hip flexor muscles (i.e., gluteus medius, gluteus minimus, tensor fascia latae, rectus femoris, sartorius, iliopsoas) |
| 27372 | Removal of foreign body, deep, thigh region or knee area |

Disclaimer: S Codes are non-covered per CMS guidelines due to their experimental or investigational nature.

Evaluation of Clinical Harms and Benefits

Clinical determinations for Medicare Advantage beneficiaries are made in accordance with 42 CFR 422.101 guidance outlining CMS's required approach to decision hierarchy in the setting of NCDs/LCDs identified as being "not fully established". When clinical coverage criteria are "not fully established" Medicare Advantage organizations are instructed to create publicly accessible clinical coverage criteria based on widely-accepted clinical guidelines and/or scientific studies backed by a robust clinical evidence base. Clinical coverage criteria provided by Cohere Health in this manner include coverage rationale and risk/benefit analysis.

The potential clinical harms of using these criteria for **capsulectomy or capsulotomy (hip)** may include:

- Adverse effects from delayed or denied treatment include progression of joint damage and continued pain. In addition, not performing the surgery can impede visualization of the anatomy while performing a procedure, such as hip arthroscopy.²

The clinical benefits of using these criteria for **capsulectomy or capsulotomy (hip)** may include:

- Improved patient selection results in better long-term outcomes, such as increased hip rotation and flexibility.⁶
- Appropriate allocation of healthcare resources at the individual beneficiary and population levels.

The potential clinical harms of using these criteria for **removal of a foreign body in the thigh or knee** may include:

- Adverse effects from delayed or denied treatment include increased pain and tissue damage. Depending on the location, the patient may have limited mobility and function. Infection (including cellulitis and soft tissue abscess) is the most common complication, while deeper infections such as osteomyelitis and septic arthritis, are less common.⁷

The clinical benefits of using these criteria for the **removal of a foreign body in the thigh or knee** may include:

- Improved patient selection results in better long-term outcomes, such as pain relief, improved function or mobility, and improved healing.
- Appropriate allocation of healthcare resources at the individual beneficiary and population levels.

Medical Evidence

Capsulectomy or Capsulotomy (Hip).

Bakshi et al. (2017) noted that capsulotomy or partial capsulectomy is routinely performed during hip arthroscopy to eliminate potential barriers that may impede the surgeon.² Wach et al. (2022) performed a study to quantify capsulotomies with respect to resistance and outcomes for high-risk patients who underwent repairs for dislocations (anterior and posterior). Results of the study indicated “a decrease in capsular resistive torque in the posterior at-risk dislocation condition, but the interportal incision had no effect”.⁵ Abrams et al. (2015) analyzed hip rotation following capsulotomy, capsulectomy, and capsular repair. Notably, external rotation increased with capsulectomy and T-capsulotomy.⁶

Removal of a Foreign Body in the Thigh or Knee.

Spinnato et al. (2022) noted the importance of the removal of a foreign body to reduce the risk of infection (e.g., cellulitis, soft tissue abscess). The authors also noted the role of imaging in locating a foreign body.⁷ Jarraya et al. (2014) stated that MRI is less commonly utilized than other imaging modalities, however, MRI is beneficial for complicated and chronic foreign bodies.⁸ Davis et al. (2015) noted that ultrasonography is very specific for the identification of foreign bodies in soft tissue. A detailed view of the anatomy is crucial to ensure that the foreign body does not move or cause additional damage.⁹

References

1. Domb BG, Philippon MJ, Giordano BD. Arthroscopic capsulotomy, capsular repair, and capsular plication of the hip: Relation to atraumatic instability. *Arthroscopy*. 2013 Jan;29(1):162–73. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2012.04.057
2. Bakshi NK, Bayer JL, Bigelow EMR, et al. The effect of capsulectomy on hip joint biomechanics. *Orthop J Sports Med*. 2017 Oct 18;5(10):2325967117733433. doi:10.1177/2325967117733433
3. Harris JD, Slikker W 3rd, Gupta AK, et al. Routine complete capsular closure during hip arthroscopy. *Arthrosc Tech*. 2013 Mar 17;2(2):e89–94. doi:10.1016/j.eats.2012.11.007
4. Thaunat M, Murphy CG, Chatellard R, et al. Capsulotomy first: A novel concept for hip arthroscopy. *Arthrosc Tech*. 2014 Sep 22;3(5):e599–603. doi:10.1016/j.eats.2014.06.016
5. Wach A, Mlynarek R, Maher SA, et al. The biomechanical consequences of arthroscopic hip capsulotomy and repair in positions at risk for dislocation. *Orthop J Sports Med*. 2022 Jan 4;10(1):23259671211061609. doi:10.1177/23259671211061609
6. Abrams GD, Hart MA, Takami K, et al. Biomechanical evaluation of capsulotomy, capsulectomy, and capsular repair on hip rotation. *Arthroscopy*. 2015 Aug;31(8):1511–7. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2015.02.031
7. Spinnato P, Patel DB, Di Carlo M, et al. Imaging of musculoskeletal soft-tissue infections in clinical practice: A comprehensive updated review. *Microorganisms*. 2022 Nov 25;10(12):2329. doi:10.3390/microorganisms10122329
8. Jarraya M, Hayashi D, de Villiers RV, et al. Multimodality imaging of foreign bodies of the musculoskeletal system. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2014 Jul;203(1):W92–102. doi:10.2214/AJR.13.11743
9. Davis J, Czerniski B, Au A, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of ultrasonography in retained soft tissue foreign bodies: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Acad Emerg Med*. 2015 Jul;22(7):777–87. doi:10.1111/acem.12714

Clinical Guideline Revision History/Information

| | | |
|------------------------------|--|--|
| Original Date: June 26, 2025 | | |
| Review History | | |
| | | |
| | | |