



**Cohere Medicare Advantage Policy –
Magnetic Resonance Angiography (MRA), Neck**
Clinical Guidelines for Medical Necessity Review

Version: 1.1
Revision Date: May 1, 2025

Important Notices

Notices & Disclaimers:

GUIDELINES ARE SOLELY FOR COHERE'S USE IN PERFORMING MEDICAL NECESSITY REVIEWS AND ARE NOT INTENDED TO INFORM OR ALTER CLINICAL DECISION-MAKING OF END USERS.

Cohere Health, Inc. ("**Cohere**") has published these clinical guidelines to determine the medical necessity of services (the "**Guidelines**") for informational purposes only, and solely for use by Cohere's authorized "**End Users**". These Guidelines (and any attachments or linked third-party content) are not intended to be a substitute for medical advice, diagnosis, or treatment directed by an appropriately licensed healthcare professional. These Guidelines are not in any way intended to support clinical decision-making of any kind; their sole purpose and intended use is to summarize certain criteria Cohere may use when reviewing the medical necessity of any service requests submitted to Cohere by End Users. Always seek the advice of a qualified healthcare professional regarding any medical questions, treatment decisions, or other clinical guidance. The Guidelines, including any attachments or linked content, are subject to change at any time without notice. This policy may be superseded by existing and applicable Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) statutes.

©2025 Cohere Health, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

Other Notices:

HCPCS® and CPT® copyright 2025 American Medical Association. All rights reserved.

Fee schedules, relative value units, conversion factors and/or related components are not assigned by the AMA, are not part of CPT, and the AMA is not recommending their use. The AMA does not directly or indirectly practice medicine or dispense medical services. The AMA assumes no liability for data contained or not contained herein.

HCPCS and CPT are registered trademarks of the American Medical Association.

Guideline Information:

Specialty Area: Diagnostic Imaging

Guideline Name: Magnetic Resonance Angiography (MRA), Neck

Date of last literature review: 10/22/2024

Document last updated: 04/29/2025

Type: Adult (18+ yo) | Pediatric (0-17 yo)

Table of Contents

Important Notices	2
Table of Contents	3
Medical Necessity Criteria	4
Service:	4
Benefit Category	4
Recommended Clinical Approach	4
Evaluation of Clinical Benefits and Potential Harms	4
Medical Necessity Criteria	5
Indications	5
Non-Indications	6
Level of Care Criteria	6
Procedure Codes (CPT/HCPCS)	6
Medical Evidence	7
References	8
Clinical Guideline Revision History/Information	9

Medical Necessity Criteria

Service: Magnetic Resonance Angiography (MRA), Neck

Benefit Category

Diagnostic Services in Outpatient Hospital
Diagnostic Tests (other)

Please Note: This may not be an exhaustive list of all applicable Medicare benefit categories for this item or service. [33-39, 46-47](#)

Related CMS Documents

Please refer to the [CMS Medicare Coverage Database](#) for the most current applicable CMS National Coverage.

- [National Coverage Determination \(NCD\). Magnetic resonance imaging \(MRI\) \(220.2\)](#)
- [Local Coverage Determination \(LCD\). Magnetic resonance angiography \(MRA\) \(L33633\)](#)
- [Billing and Coding: Magnetic resonance angiography \(MRA\) \(A56747\)](#)
- [Local Coverage Determination \(LCD\). Magnetic resonance angiography \(MRA\) \(L34865\)](#)
- [Billing and Coding: Magnetic resonance angiography \(MRA\) \(A56805\)](#)
- [Local Coverage Determination \(LCD\). Magnetic resonance angiography \(MRA\) \(L34372\)](#)
- [Billing and Coding: Magnetic resonance angiography \(MRA\) \(A57779\)](#)
- [Local Coverage Determination \(LCD\). Magnetic resonance angiography \(MRA\) \(L34424\)](#)
- [Billing and Coding: Magnetic resonance angiography \(MRA\) \(A56775\)](#)

Recommended Clinical Approach

Magnetic resonance angiography (MRA) of the neck is advanced imaging that is best utilized per institutional neurological, neurosurgical, and radiologic protocols. Ordering providers may consult specialty guidelines before ordering.¹ Imaging analysis utilizing MRA of the head can be performed alone or in conjunction with MRA of the head or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)

of the head. Contrast and concurrent exams should be guided by clinical suspicion of disease presence or exclusion to direct value-based care. Staging, pre-surgical planning, and screening are also recommendations of a clinical approach. MRA plays a crucial role in the routine assessment of patients experiencing stroke syndrome, specifically for the evaluation of both cervical and intracranial vessels, enabling the identification and diagnosis of vascular anomalies. In conjunction with MRI, MRA enhances the examination by comprehensively analyzing the cerebral parenchyma. MRA is also a viable alternative to computed tomography angiography (CTA) when using iodinated contrast material is not feasible.

Evaluation of Clinical Harms and Benefits

Cohere Health uses the criteria below to ensure consistency in reviewing the conditions to be met for coverage of magnetic resonance angiography (MRA), neck. This process helps to prevent both incorrect denials and inappropriate approvals of medically necessary services. Specifically, limiting incorrect approvals reduces the risks associated with unnecessary procedures, such as complications from surgery, infections, and prolonged recovery times.

The potential clinical harms of using these criteria may include:

- There is a risk of malfunction of implanted medical devices (e.g., implanted pacemakers, cochlear implants).
- A potential exists for allergic reactions to contrast material, if used in the study. The MRI department staff will monitor the patient for an allergic reaction and treat as recommended by a physician.^{1, 40-41}
- Use of gadolinium-based contrast is not recommended during pregnancy or in patients with acute or chronic kidney injury or disease.^{1, 40-41}
- If sedation is used for the study (for anxiety or claustrophobia), there is a risk of over-sedation. The patient will be monitored during the procedure to reduce this risk.
- There is uncertain risk for MR imaging in pregnant patients. The decision to image in a pregnant patient should be made on an individual basis in consultation with the patient's obstetric provider.⁴²

- There is a risk of increased healthcare costs and complications from the inappropriate use of emergency services and additional treatments.⁴³

The clinical benefits of using these criteria include:

- Improved patient outcomes through timely and appropriate access to the procedure. The non-invasive nature of MRA of the neck, particularly in the Medicare population, has increased accessibility and appropriate usage compared to conventional invasive angiography.⁴⁴
- Reduction in complications and adverse effects from unnecessary procedures. According to the 2020 ACR-NASCI-SPR practice parameter for the performance of body magnetic resonance angiography (MRA), the procedure is stated to be much less invasive than standard catheter-based invasive angiography, reducing the risk of vascular injury. For patients who are unable to receive gadolinium-based contrast agents, non-contrast study techniques are available. There is no associated ionizing radiation exposure as with computed tomography studies. MRA is stated to be useful in diagnosis of vascular disease in pediatric patients, although sedation or general anesthesia may be required.¹
- Enhanced diagnostic accuracy for complex medical conditions. Contrast-enhanced MRA of the neck is accurate for detecting aneurysms and dissections as well as carotid vessel stenoses and occlusions.⁴⁵
- Enhanced overall patient satisfaction and healthcare experience.

This policy includes provisions for expedited reviews and flexibility in urgent cases to mitigate risks of delayed access. Evidence-based criteria are employed to prevent inappropriate denials, ensuring that patients receive medically necessary care. The criteria aim to balance the need for effective treatment with the minimization of potential harms, providing numerous clinical benefits in helping avoid unnecessary complications from inappropriate care.

In addition, the use of these criteria is likely to decrease inappropriate denials by creating a consistent set of review criteria, thereby supporting optimal patient outcomes and efficient healthcare utilization.

Medical Necessity Criteria

Indications

→ **Magnetic resonance angiography (MRA), neck** is considered appropriate if **ANY** of the following is **TRUE**³³⁻³⁹:

◆ **ALL** of the following are **TRUE**:

- **ANY** of the following is **TRUE**:
 - Conventional (catheter) angiography has not been performed; **OR**
 - Conventional (catheter) angiography has been performed, and the results are inconclusive or require further evaluation³⁸; **AND**
- **ANY** of the following is **TRUE**:
 - The patient requires post-procedure or post-treatment follow-up; **OR**
 - The patient is a candidate for surgery or other intervention, which may be found to be appropriate based upon MRA results for conditions including **ANY** of the following:
 - ◆ Stenosis; **OR**
 - ◆ Tumors; **OR**
 - ◆ Aneurysms; **OR**
 - ◆ Vascular malformations; **OR**
 - ◆ Dissections; **OR**
 - ◆ Thrombosis; **OR**
 - ◆ Other vascular conditions, not specified; **OR**
 - ◆ Ultrasound-confirmed cervical bruit or thrill with suspicion of neck carotid stenosis for surgical planning (e.g., carotid angioplasty with stent insertion) when ordered in conjunction with MRA neck^{34,36}; **OR**
 - ◆ Evaluation of **ANY** of the following:
 - Carotid arteries; **OR**
 - Vertebral arteries³³⁻³⁴; **OR**
 - ◆ Headache, sudden and severe ^{34,36}; **OR**
- ◆ Repeat imaging (defined as repeat request following recent imaging of the same anatomic region with the same modality), in

the absence of established guidelines, will be considered reasonable and necessary if **ANY** of the following is **TRUE**:

- New or worsening symptoms, such that repeat imaging would influence treatment; **OR**
- One-time clarifying follow-up of a prior indeterminate finding; **OR**
- In the absence of change in symptoms, there is an established need for monitoring which would influence management.

Non-Indications

→ **Magnetic resonance angiography (MRA), neck** is not considered appropriate if **ANY** of the following is **TRUE**:

- ◆ Used in conjunction with conventional contrast angiography when the criteria listed in the Indications section above have not been met^{33-34,38}; **OR**
- ◆ For screening asymptomatic patients for intracranial aneurysms^{34,36}; **OR**
- ◆ If contrast is used, history of anaphylactic allergic reaction to gadolinium contrast media with detailed guidelines for use in patients with renal insufficiency; **OR**
- ◆ The patient has metallic clips on vascular aneurysms; **OR**
- ◆ Incompatible implantable devices (e.g., pacemakers, defibrillators, cardiac valves); **OR**
- ◆ Metallic foreign body in orbits/other critical area(s) or within the field of view and obscuring area of concern.

*NOTE: MRI in patients with claustrophobia should be requested at the discretion of the ordering provider.

**NOTE: MRI in pregnant patients should be requested at the discretion of the ordering provider and obstetric care provider.

Level of Care Criteria

Inpatient or Outpatient

Procedure Codes (CPT/HCPCS)

CPT/HCPCS Code	Code Description
70547	Magnetic resonance angiography (MRA), neck; without contrast material(s)
70548	Magnetic resonance angiography (MRA), neck; with contrast material(s)
70549	Magnetic resonance angiography (MRA), neck; without contrast material(s), followed by contrast material(s) and further sequences

Disclaimer: S Codes are non-covered per CMS guidelines due to their experimental or investigational nature.

Medical Evidence

Amin et al. (2023) present a scientific statement from the American Heart Association regarding the diagnosis, workup, and risk reduction of transient ischemic attack in the emergency department. Computed tomography angiography (CTA) demonstrates superior sensitivity and positive predictive value compared to magnetic resonance angiography (MRA) in detecting intracranial stenosis and occlusion. As a result, CTA is the recommended imaging modality over time-of-flight (TOF) (without contrast) MRA. If there is a concern regarding administering iodinated contrast, expedited magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) with MRA is a viable alternative. TOF MRA may result in images of lower quality as there is a tendency to overestimate cervical carotid stenosis compared to gadolinium-enhanced MRA. However, this type of MRA may be suitable for screening purposes. Gadolinium-enhanced MRA of the neck is the preferred choice for patients who can safely receive gadolinium contrast.³⁰

AbuRahma et al. (2022) review clinical guidelines for managing extracranial cerebrovascular disease published by the Society for Vascular Surgery. Contrast-enhanced MRA can produce three-dimensional images that rival those from a formal arteriography. A key advantage of MRA is less radiation exposure to the individual, and the use of iodinated-based contrast materials is not needed. Further, MRA allows for the integration of MRI of the brain, enabling the identification of clinically silent cerebral infarction. It also facilitates the assessment of plaque morphology, focusing on detecting intraplaque hemorrhage. The severity of carotid stenosis is more identifiable with MRA than CTA. While MRA excels in various aspects, it is unsuitable for screening carotid artery disease due to its substantial cost.³¹

Cummins et al. (2022) discuss the role of TOF MRA for pulsatile tinnitus (PT) and the identification of vascular causes of PT, including dural arteriovenous fistulas (DAVFs). The annual intracranial hemorrhage risk of DAVFs is over 24%. TOF-MRA is one of the most sensitive and specific noninvasive methods for diagnosing DAVF. The diagnosis of arterial aneurysms is aided by the use of TOF MRA, with a sensitivity greater than 90% and specificity over 80%. MRA also detects stenoses (a sensitivity of 95.5% and specificity of 87.2%). When severe carotid artery stenosis is a cause of PT, the sensitivity and specificity of TOF

MRA is nearly 100%. The advantages of MRA include a greater pooled sensitivity for diagnosis than CT and excellent spatial resolution and the most powerful sequence for DAVF diagnosis. In addition, MRA can diagnose intracranial and high cervical arterial etiologies (e.g., fibromuscular dysplasia, carotid stenosis, variant anatomy). Disadvantages include high cost, scanning time, and the dephasing of tortuous vessels.³²

References

1. American College of Radiology (ACR). ACR–NASCI–SPR practice parameter for the performance of body magnetic resonance angiography (MRA). Revised 2020. Accessed August 8, 2024. <https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/Practice-Parameters/Body-MRA.pdf>.
2. Expert Panel on Neurologic Imaging, Utukuri PS, Shih RY, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria – headache. Revised 2022. Accessed August 8, 2024. <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69482/Narrative/>.
3. Expert Panel on Neurological Imaging, McDonald MA, Kirsch CFE, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria – cervical neck pain or cervical radiculopathy. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2019 May;16(5S):S57–S76. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2019.02.023. PMID: 31054759.
4. Expert Panel on Neurologic Imaging; Salmela MB, Mortazavi S, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria – cerebrovascular disease. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2017 May;14(5S):S34–S61. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2017.01.051. PMID: 28473091.
5. Expert Panel on Neurologic Imaging, Aulino JM, Kirsch CFE, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria – neck mass adenopathy. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2019 May;16(5S):S150–S160. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2019.02.025. PMID: 31054741.
6. Expert Panel on Neurological Imaging, Shih RY, Burns J, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria – head trauma: 2021 update. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2021 May;18(5S):S13–S36. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2021.01.006. PMID: 33958108.
7. Expert Panel on Neurologic Imaging, Kennedy TA, Corey AS, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria – orbits vision and visual loss. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2018 May;15(5S):S116–S131. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2018.03.023. PMID: 29724415.
8. Rutman AM, Vranic JE, Mossa-Basha M. Imaging and management of blunt cerebrovascular injury. *Radiographics*. 2018 Mar–Apr;38(2):542–563. doi: 10.1148/rg.2018170140. PMID: 29528828.
9. Hayes SN, Kim ESH, Saw J, et al. Spontaneous coronary artery dissection: Current state of the science: A scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2018 May 8; 137(19):e523–e557. doi: 10.1161/CIR.0000000000000564. PMID: 29472380; PMCID: PMC5957087.
10. Hitchcock E, Gibson WT. A review of the genetics of intracranial berry aneurysms and implications for genetic counseling. *J Genet Couns*. 2017;26(1):21–31. doi: 10.1007/s10897-016-0029-8. PMID: 27743245.

11. MacCarrick G, Black JH, Bowdin S, et al. Loeys–Dietz syndrome: A primer for diagnosis and management. *Genet Med*. 2014 Aug;16(8):576–87. doi: 10.1038/gim.2014.11. PMID: 24577266; PMCID: PMC4131122.
12. Expert Panel on Neurologic Imaging, Aghayev A, Steigner ML, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria – noncerebral vasculitis. Published 2021. Accessed August 8, 2024. <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/3158180/Narrative/>.
13. Expert Panel on Pediatric Imaging, Robertson RL, Palasis S, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria – cerebrovascular disease, child. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2020 May;17(5S):S36–S54. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2020.01.036.
14. Expert Panel on Neurological Imaging, Ledbetter LN, Burns J, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria – cerebrovascular diseases – aneurysm, vascular malformation, and subarachnoid hemorrhage. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2021 Nov;18(11S):S283–S304. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2021.08.012.
15. Vertinsky AT, Schwartz NE, Fischbein NJ, et al. Comparison of multidetector CT angiography and MR imaging of cervical artery dissection. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 2008 Oct;29(9):1753–60. doi: 10.3174/ajnr.A1189. PMID: 18635617; PMCID: PMC8118804.
16. Koster MJ, Matteson EL, Warrington KJ. Large-vessel giant cell arteritis: Diagnosis, monitoring and management. *Rheumatology (Oxford)*. 2018 Feb 1;57(suppl_2):ii32–ii42. doi: 10.1093/rheumatology/kex424.
17. National Guideline Centre (UK). Evidence review for imaging to investigate the cause of non-pulsatile tinnitus: Tinnitus: assessment and management. London: National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE); March 2020. PMID: 32437099; Bookshelf ID: NBK557031.
18. Delgado Almandoz JE, Crandall BM, Fease JL, et al. Diagnostic yield of catheter angiography in patients with subarachnoid hemorrhage and negative initial noninvasive neurovascular examinations. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 2013 Apr;34(4):833–9. doi: 10.3174/ajnr.A3291. PMID: 23019174.
19. Wu Z, Li S, Lei J, et al. Evaluation of traumatic subarachnoid hemorrhage using susceptibility-weighted imaging. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 2010 Aug;31(7):1302–10. doi: 10.3174/ajnr.A2022. PMID: 20190211.
20. Agid R, Andersson T, Almqvist H, et al. Negative CT angiography findings in patients with spontaneous subarachnoid hemorrhage: When is digital subtraction angiography still needed? *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 2010 Apr;31(4):696–705. doi: 10.3174/ajnr.A1884. PMID: 19942709.
21. Sailer AM, Wagemans BA, Nelemans PJ, et al. Diagnosing intracranial aneurysms with MR angiography: Systematic review and

- meta-analysis. *Stroke*. 2014 Jan;45(1):119–26. doi: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.113.003133. PMID: 24326447.
22. Potter BJ, Pinto DS. Subclavian steal syndrome. *Circulation*. 2014 Jun 3;129(22):2320–3. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.113.006653.
23. Sueyoshi E, Sakamoto I, Uetani M. MRI of Takayasu's arteritis: Typical appearances and complications. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2006 Dec;187(6):W569–75. doi: 10.2214/AJR.05.1093. PMID: 17114507.
24. Easton JD, Saver JL, Albers GW, et al. Definition and evaluation of transient ischemic attack: A scientific statement for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association Stroke Council; Council on Cardiovascular Surgery and Anesthesia; Council on Cardiovascular Radiology and Intervention; Council on Cardiovascular Nursing; and the Interdisciplinary Council on Peripheral Vascular Disease. The American Academy of Neurology affirms the value of this statement as an educational tool for neurologists. *Stroke*. 2009 Jun;40(6):2276–93. doi: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.108.192218. PMID: 19423857.
25. Kidwell CS, Jahan R, Gornbein J, et al. A trial of imaging selection and endovascular treatment for ischemic stroke. *N Engl J Med*. 2013 Mar 7;368(10):914–23. doi: 10.1056/NEJMod1212793. PMID: 23394476.
26. Expert Panel on Neurologic Imaging, Bardo DME, Gill AE, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria – soft tissue vascular anomalies, vascular malformations and infantile vascular tumors (non-CNS) (child). Published 2023. Accessed August 8, 2024. <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/3186695/Narrative>.
27. Expert Panel on Neurologic Imaging, Wang LL, Thompson TA, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria – dizziness and ataxia. Published 2023. Accessed August 8, 2024. <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69477/Narrative/>.
28. North American Neuro-Ophthalmology Society (NANOS). NANOS statement on imaging for Horner syndrome. Published 2017. Accessed August 8, 2024. [https://www.nanosweb.org/files/Committees/Practice%20Support/Horner_Justification_6_26_2017_\(3\).pdf](https://www.nanosweb.org/files/Committees/Practice%20Support/Horner_Justification_6_26_2017_(3).pdf).
29. Expert Panel on Neurologic Imaging, Sharma A, Kirsch CFE, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria – hearing loss and/or vertigo. Published 2018. Accessed August 8, 2024. <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69488/Narrative/>.

30. Amin HP, Madsen TE, Bravata DM, et al. Diagnosis, workup, risk reduction of transient ischemic attack in the emergency department setting: A scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Stroke*. 2023 Mar;54(3):e109–e121. doi: 10.1161/STR.0000000000000418. PMID: 36655570.
31. AbuRahma AF, Avgerinos ED, Chang RW, et al. Society for Vascular Surgery clinical practice guidelines for management of extracranial cerebrovascular disease. *J Vasc Surg*. 2022 Jan;75(1S):4S–22S. doi: 10.1016/j.jvs.2021.04.073. PMID: 34153348.
32. Cummins DD, Caton MT, Shah V, etc. MRI and MR angiography evaluation of pulsatile tinnitus: A focused, physiology-based protocol. *J Neuroimaging*. 2022 Mar;32(2):253–263. doi: 10.1111/jon.12955. PMID: 34910345; PMCID: PMC8917066.
33. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS). National coverage determination (NCD): Magnetic resonance imaging (220.2). Effective Date April 10, 2018. Accessed September 10, 2024. <https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/view/ncd.aspx?ncdid=177&ncdver=6&bc=0>.
34. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS). Local coverage determination (LCD): Magnetic resonance angiography (MRA) (L33633). Effective date October 1, 2029. Accessed September 10, 2024. <https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/view/lcd.aspx?lcdid=33633&ver=49&bc=0>.
35. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS). Billing and coding: Magnetic resonance angiography (MRA)(A56747). Accessed September 10, 2024. <https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/view/article.aspx?articleid=56747&ver=22&bc=0>.
36. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS). Local coverage determination (LCD): Magnetic resonance angiography (MRA)(L34865). Effective Date July 1, 2020. Accessed September 10, 2024. <https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/view/lcd.aspx?lcdid=34865&ver=72&bc=0>.
37. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS). Billing and coding: Magnetic resonance angiography (MRA)(A56805). Effective Date October 1, 2023. Accessed September 10, 2024. <https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/view/article.aspx?articleid=56805&ver=29&bc=0>.
38. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS). Local coverage determination (LCD): Magnetic resonance angiography (MRA)(L34372). Effective Date July 1, 2020. Accessed September 10, 2024. <https://www>.

[cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/view/lcd.aspx?lcdid=34372&ver=22&bc=0](https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/view/lcd.aspx?lcdid=34372&ver=22&bc=0).

39. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS). Billing and coding: Magnetic resonance angiography (MRA) (A57779). Effective Date July 1, 2020. Accessed September 10, 2024. <https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/view/article.aspx?articleid=57779&ver=5&bc=0>.
40. American College of Radiology (ACR). ACR manual on contrast media. 2024. https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/Clinical-Resources/Contrast_Media.pdf.
41. American College of Radiology (ACR). ACR practice parameter for performing and interpreting magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). 2022. <https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/Practice-Parameters/MR-Perf-Interpret.pdf?la=en>.
42. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG). Guidelines for diagnostic imaging during pregnancy and lactation: Committee opinion (no. 723). Published October 2017. Accessed September 23, 2024.
43. Kjelle E, Brandsæter IØ, Andersen ER, Hofmann BM. Cost of low-value imaging worldwide: a systematic review. *Applied Health Economics and Health Policy*. 2024 Mar 1:1-7.
44. Friedman DP, Levin DC, Rao VM. Trends in the utilization of CT angiography and MR angiography of the head and neck in the Medicare population. *J Am Coll Rad*. 2010;(7)11:854-858. Doi 10.1016/j.jacr.2010.05.007.
45. Yang CW, Carr JC, Futterer SF, et al. Contrast-enhanced MR angiography of the carotid and vertebrobasilar circulations. *Am J Neuroradiol*. 2005;(26):2095-2101. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC8148843/pdf/0891.pdf>
46. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS). Local coverage determination (LCD): Magnetic resonance angiography (MRA)(L34424). Revision Effective Date: March 27, 2025. <https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/view/lcd.aspx?lcdid=34424&ver=47&bc=0>
47. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS). Billing and coding: Magnetic resonance angiography (MRA)(A56775). Revision Effective Date: March 27, 2025. <https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/view/article.aspx?articleid=56775&ver=23>

Clinical Guideline Revision History/Information

Original Date: October 24, 2024		
Review History		
Version 1.1	05/01/2025	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Revised per CMS update for 03/27/2025• Updated Revision Date• Updated Links and References for L34424, A56775