



**Cohere Medicare Advantage Policy –  
Magnetic Resonance Angiography (MRA), Head**  
*Clinical Guidelines for Medical Necessity Review*

**Version:** 1.1  
**Revision Date:** May 1, 2025

# Important Notices

## Notices & Disclaimers:

**GUIDELINES ARE SOLELY FOR COHERE'S USE IN PERFORMING MEDICAL NECESSITY REVIEWS AND ARE NOT INTENDED TO INFORM OR ALTER CLINICAL DECISION-MAKING OF END USERS.**

Cohere Health, Inc. ("**Cohere**") has published these clinical guidelines to determine the medical necessity of services (the "**Guidelines**") for informational purposes only, and solely for use by Cohere's authorized "**End Users**". These Guidelines (and any attachments or linked third-party content) are not intended to be a substitute for medical advice, diagnosis, or treatment directed by an appropriately licensed healthcare professional. These Guidelines are not in any way intended to support clinical decision-making of any kind; their sole purpose and intended use is to summarize certain criteria Cohere may use when reviewing the medical necessity of any service requests submitted to Cohere by End Users. Always seek the advice of a qualified healthcare professional regarding any medical questions, treatment decisions, or other clinical guidance. The Guidelines, including any attachments or linked content, are subject to change at any time without notice. This policy may be superseded by existing and applicable Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) statutes.

©2025 Cohere Health, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

---

## Other Notices:

HCPCS® and CPT® copyright 2025 American Medical Association. All rights reserved.

Fee schedules, relative value units, conversion factors and/or related components are not assigned by the AMA, are not part of CPT, and the AMA is not recommending their use. The AMA does not directly or indirectly practice medicine or dispense medical services. The AMA assumes no liability for data contained or not contained herein.

HCPCS and CPT are registered trademarks of the American Medical Association.

---

## Guideline Information:

**Specialty Area:** Diagnostic Imaging

**Guideline Name:** Magnetic Resonance Angiography (MRA), Head

**Date of last literature review:** 10/22/2024

**Document last updated:** 04/28/2025

**Type:**  Adult (18+ yo) |  Pediatric (0-17 yo)

## **Table of Contents**

<b>Important Notices</b>	<b>2</b>
Table of Contents	3
<b>Medical Necessity Criteria</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>Service:</b>	<b>4</b>
Benefit Category	4
Recommended Clinical Approach	4
Evaluation of Clinical Benefits and Potential Harms	4
Medical Necessity Criteria	5
Indications	5
Non-Indications	6
Level of Care Criteria	6
Procedure Codes (CPT/HCPCS)	6
<b>Medical Evidence</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>References</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>Clinical Guideline Revision History/Information</b>	<b>9</b>

# Medical Necessity Criteria

**Service: Magnetic Resonance Angiography (MRA), Head**

## **Benefit Category**

Diagnostic Services in Outpatient Hospital  
Diagnostic Tests (other)

Please Note: This may not be an exhaustive list of all applicable Medicare benefit categories for this item or service. [37-43](#), [50-51](#)

## **Related CMS Documents**

Please refer to the [CMS Medicare Coverage Database](#) for the most current applicable CMS National Coverage.

- [National Coverage Determination \(NCD\). Magnetic resonance imaging \(MRI\) \(220.2\)](#)
- [Local Coverage Determination \(LCD\). Magnetic resonance angiography \(MRA\) \(L33633\)](#)
- [Billing and Coding: Magnetic resonance angiography \(MRA\) \(A56747\)](#)
- [Local Coverage Determination \(LCD\). Magnetic resonance angiography \(MRA\) \(L34865\)](#)
- [Billing and Coding: Magnetic resonance angiography \(MRA\) \(A56805\)](#)
- [Local Coverage Determination \(LCD\). Magnetic resonance angiography \(MRA\) \(L34372\)](#)
- [Billing and Coding: Magnetic resonance angiography \(MRA\) \(A57779\)](#)
- [Local Coverage Determination \(LCD\). Magnetic resonance angiography \(MRA\) \(L34424\)](#)
- [Billing and Coding: Magnetic resonance angiography \(MRA\) \(A56775\)](#)

## **Recommended Clinical Approach**

Imaging analysis utilizing magnetic resonance angiography (MRA) of the head can be performed alone or in conjunction with MRA of the neck. Contrast and concurrent exams should be guided by clinical suspicion of disease presence or exclusion to direct value-based care. Staging,

pre-surgical planning, and screening are also recommendations of a clinical approach. MRA plays a crucial role in the routine assessment of patients experiencing stroke syndrome, specifically for the evaluation of both cervical and intracranial vessels, enabling the identification and diagnosis of vascular anomalies. In conjunction with MRI, MRA enhances the examination by providing a comprehensive analysis of the cerebral parenchyma. MRA also serves as a viable alternative to CT angiography when using iodinated contrast material is not feasible.

### **Evaluation of Clinical Harms and Benefits**

Cohere Health uses the criteria below to ensure consistency in reviewing the conditions to be met for coverage of magnetic resonance angiography (MRA), head. This process helps to prevent both incorrect denials and inappropriate approvals of medically necessary services. Specifically, limiting incorrect approvals reduces the risks associated with unnecessary procedures, such as complications from surgery, infections, and prolonged recovery times.

The potential clinical harms of using these criteria may include:

- There is a risk of malfunction of implanted medical devices (e.g., implanted pacemakers, cochlear implants).
- A potential exists for allergic reactions to contrast material, if used in the study. The MRI department staff will monitor the patient for an allergic reaction and treat as recommended by a physician.<sup>1, 44-45</sup>
- Use of gadolinium-based contrast is not recommended during pregnancy or in patients with acute or chronic kidney injury or disease.<sup>1, 44-45</sup>
- If sedation is used for the study (for anxiety or claustrophobia), there is a risk of over-sedation. The patient will be monitored during the procedure to reduce this risk.
- There is uncertain risk for MR imaging in pregnant patients. The decision to image in a pregnant patient should be made on an individual basis in consultation with the patient's obstetric provider.<sup>46</sup>
- There is a risk of increased healthcare costs and complications from the inappropriate use of emergency services and additional treatments.<sup>47</sup>

The clinical benefits of using these criteria include:

- Improved patient outcomes through timely and appropriate access to the procedure. The non-invasive nature of MRA of the head and neck, particularly in the Medicare population, has increased accessibility and appropriate usage compared to conventional invasive angiography.<sup>48</sup>
- Reduction in complications and adverse effects from unnecessary procedures. According to the 2020 ACR-NASCI-SPR practice parameter for the performance of body magnetic resonance angiography (MRA), the procedure is stated to be much less invasive than standard catheter-based invasive angiography, reducing the risk of vascular injury. For patients who are unable to receive gadolinium-based contrast agents, non-contrast study techniques are available. There is no associated ionizing radiation exposure as with computed tomography studies. MRA is stated to be useful in diagnosis of vascular disease in pediatric patients, although sedation or general anesthesia may be required.<sup>1</sup>
- Enhanced diagnostic accuracy for complex medical conditions. Contrast-enhanced MRA of the head is accurate for detecting aneurysms and dissections as well as disease within the vertebrobasilar circulation, and can evaluate the head and neck in a single study.<sup>49</sup>
- Enhanced overall patient satisfaction and healthcare experience.

This policy includes provisions for expedited reviews and flexibility in urgent cases to mitigate risks of delayed access. Evidence-based criteria are employed to prevent inappropriate denials, ensuring that patients receive medically necessary care. The criteria aim to balance the need for effective treatment with the minimization of potential harms, providing numerous clinical benefits in helping avoid unnecessary complications from inappropriate care.

In addition, the use of these criteria is likely to decrease inappropriate denials by creating a consistent set of review criteria, thereby supporting optimal patient outcomes and efficient healthcare utilization.

## Medical Necessity Criteria

### Indications

→ **Magnetic resonance angiography (MRA), head** is considered appropriate if **ANY** of the following is **TRUE**<sup>37-43</sup>:

◆ **ALL** of the following are **TRUE**:

● **ANY** of the following is **TRUE**:

- Conventional (catheter) angiography has not been performed; **OR**
- Conventional (catheter) angiography has been performed, and the results are inconclusive or require further evaluation<sup>42</sup>; **AND**

● **ANY** of the following is **TRUE**:

- The patient requires post-procedure or post-treatment follow-up; **OR**
- The patient is a candidate for surgery or other intervention, which may be found to be appropriate based upon MRA results for conditions including **ANY** of the following:

◆ Stenosis; **OR**

◆ Tumors; **OR**

◆ Aneurysms; **OR**

◆ Vascular malformations; **OR**

◆ Dissections; **OR**

◆ Thrombosis; **OR**

◆ Other vascular conditions, not specified; **OR**

◆ Ultrasound-confirmed cervical bruit or thrill with suspicion of neck carotid stenosis for surgical planning when ordered in conjunction with MRA neck; **OR**

◆ Intracranial hypertension (idiopathic), suspected; **OR**

◆ Evaluation of **ANY** of the following:

● Circle of Willis; **OR**

● Anterior, middle, or posterior cerebral arteries; **OR**

● Basilar arteries; **OR**

● Venous sinuses<sup>37-38</sup>; **OR**

- ◆ Headache with **ANY** of the following:
  - Clinically-significant finding of blood in the cerebrospinal fluid<sup>38,40</sup>; **OR**
  - Signs and symptoms strongly suggesting an unruptured intracranial aneurysm and/or intracranial hemorrhage (e.g., acute onset worst/thunderclap headache, new onset or pattern during pregnancy or peripartum period, or headache associated with exercise, exertion, Valsalva, or sexual activity); **OR**<sup>38,40</sup>
- ◆ For evaluation of **ANY** of the following uncategorized/miscellaneous symptoms when applicable:
  - Massive epistaxis; **OR**
  - Neurological deficit(s) (focal or lateralizing); **OR**
  - Nontraumatic orbital asymmetry, exophthalmos, or enophthalmos<sup>5</sup>; **OR**
  - Ptosis (new-onset); **OR**
  - Pulsatile tinnitus (subjective or objective); **OR**
  - Visual changes (e.g., visual loss, optic nerve symptoms, chiasm symptoms (including pre- or post chiasm, ophthalmoplegia, diplopia)<sup>5</sup>; **OR**
- ◆ Repeat imaging (defined as repeat request following recent imaging of the same anatomic region with the same modality), in the absence of established guidelines, will be considered reasonable and necessary if **ANY** of the following is **TRUE**:
  - New or worsening symptoms, such that repeat imaging would influence treatment; **OR**
  - One-time clarifying follow-up of a prior indeterminate finding; **OR**
  - In the absence of change in symptoms, there is an established need for monitoring which would influence management.

## Non-Indications

- **Magnetic resonance angiography (MRA), head** is not considered appropriate if **ANY** of the following is **TRUE**:
- ◆ Used in conjunction with conventional contrast angiography when the criteria listed in the Indications section above have not been met<sup>37-38,40</sup>; **OR**
  - ◆ For screening asymptomatic patients for intracranial aneurysms<sup>38,40</sup>; **OR**
  - ◆ If contrast is used, history of anaphylactic allergic reaction to gadolinium contrast media with detailed guidelines for use in patients with renal insufficiency; **OR**
  - ◆ The patient has metallic clips on vascular aneurysms; **OR**
  - ◆ Incompatible implantable devices (e.g., pacemakers, defibrillators, cardiac valves); **OR**
  - ◆ Metallic foreign body in orbits/other critical area(s) or within the field of view and obscuring area of concern.

\*NOTE: MRI in patients with claustrophobia should be requested at the discretion of the ordering provider.

\*\*NOTE: MRI in pregnant patients should be requested at the discretion of the ordering provider and obstetric care provider.

## Level of Care Criteria

Inpatient or Outpatient

## Procedure Codes (CPT/HCPCS)

CPT/ HCPCS Code	Code Description
70544	Magnetic resonance angiography (MRA), head; without contrast material(s)
70545	Magnetic resonance angiography (MRA), head; with contrast material(s)
70546	Magnetic resonance angiography (MRA), head; without contrast material(s), followed by contrast material(s) and further sequences

**Disclaimer:** S Codes are non-covered per CMS guidelines due to their experimental or investigational nature.

# Medical Evidence

Amin et al. (2023) present a scientific statement from the American Heart Association regarding the diagnosis, workup, and risk reduction of transient ischemic attack in the emergency department. Computed tomography angiography (CTA) demonstrates superior sensitivity and positive predictive value compared to magnetic resonance angiography (MRA) in detecting intracranial stenosis and occlusion. As a result, CTA is the recommended imaging modality over time-of-flight (without contrast) MRA. If there is a concern regarding administering iodinated contrast, expedited magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) with MRA is a viable alternative. Time-of-flight MRA may result in images of lower quality as there is a tendency to overestimate cervical carotid stenosis compared to gadolinium-enhanced MRA. However, this type of MRA may be suitable for screening purposes. Gadolinium-enhanced MRA of the neck is the preferred choice for patients who can safely receive gadolinium contrast.<sup>22</sup>

AbuRahma et al. (2022) review clinical guidelines for managing extracranial cerebrovascular disease published by the Society for Vascular Surgery. Contrast-enhanced MRA can produce three-dimensional images that rival those from a formal arteriography. A key advantage of MRA is less radiation exposure to the individual, and the use of iodinated-based contrast materials is not needed. Further, MRA allows for the integration of MRI of the brain, enabling the identification of clinically silent cerebral infarction. It also facilitates the assessment of plaque morphology, focusing on detecting intraplaque hemorrhage. The severity of carotid stenosis is more identifiable with MRA than CTA. While MRA excels in various aspects, it is unsuitable for screening carotid artery disease due to its substantial cost.<sup>23</sup>

Cummins et al. (2022) discuss the role of TOF MRA for pulsatile tinnitus (PT) and the identification of vascular causes of PT, including dural arteriovenous fistulas (DAVFs). The annual intracranial hemorrhage risk of DAVFs is over 24%. TOF-MRA is considered one of the most sensitive and specific noninvasive methods for diagnosing DAVF. The diagnosis of arterial aneurysms is aided by the use of TOF MRA, with a sensitivity greater than 90% and specificity over 80%. Stenoses are also detected by MRA (a sensitivity of 95.5% and specificity of 87.2%). When severe carotid artery stenosis is a cause of PT, the sensitivity

and specificity of TOF MRA is nearly 100%. Advantages of MRA include a greater pooled sensitivity for diagnosis than CT as well as excellent spatial resolution and is the most powerful sequence for DAVF diagnosis. In addition, MRA can diagnose intracranial and high cervical arterial etiologies (e.g., fibromuscular dysplasia, carotid stenosis, variant anatomy). Disadvantages include high cost, scanning time, and the dephasing of tortuous vessels.<sup>24</sup>

## References

1. American College of Radiology (ACR), North American Society for Cardiovascular Imaging (NASCI), Society for Pediatric Radiology (SPR). ACR–NASCI–SPR practice parameter for the performance of body magnetic resonance angiography (MRA). Published 2020. Accessed January 8, 2024. <https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/Practice-Parameters/Body-MRA.pdf>.
2. Rahman WT, Griauzde J, Chaudhary N, et al. Neurovascular emergencies: Imaging diagnosis and neurointerventional treatment. *Emerg Radiol*. 2017 Apr;24(2):183–193. doi: 10.1007/s10140-016-1450-x. PMID: 27718098.
3. Expert Panel on Neurological Imaging, Shih RY, Burns J, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria – head trauma: 2021 update. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2021 May;18(5S):S13–S36. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2021.01.006. PMID: 33958108.
4. Rutman AM, Vranic JE, Mossa-Basha M. Imaging and management of blunt cerebrovascular injury. *Radiographics*. 2018 Mar-Apr;38(2):542–563. doi: 10.1148/rg.2018170140. PMID: 29528828.
5. Expert Panel on Neurologic Imaging, Kennedy TA, Corey AS, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria – orbits vision and visual loss. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2018 May;15(5S):S116–S131. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2018.03.023. PMID: 29724415.
6. Expert Panel on Neurologic Imaging, Salmela MB, Mortazavi S, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria – cerebrovascular disease. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2017 May;14(5S):S34–S61. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2017.01.051. PMID: 28473091.
7. Thompson BG, Brown Jr RD, Amin–Hanjani S, et al. Guidelines for the management of patients with unruptured intracranial aneurysms: A guideline for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. *Stroke*. 2015 Aug;46(8):2368–400. doi: 10.1161/STR.0000000000000070. PMID: 26089327.
8. Howard BM, Hu R, Barrow JW, et al. Comprehensive review of imaging of intracranial aneurysms and angiographically negative subarachnoid hemorrhage. *Neurosurg Focus*. 2019 Dec 1;47(6):E20. doi: 10.3171/2019.9.FOCUS19653. PMID: 31786554.
9. Grossberg JA, Howard BM, Saindane AM. The use of contrast-enhanced, time-resolved magnetic resonance angiography in cerebrovascular

- pathology. *Neurosurg Focus*. 2019 Dec 1;47(6):E3. doi: 10.3171/2019.9.FOCUS19627. PMID: 31786556.
10. Expert Panel on Neurologic Imaging, Ledbetter LN, Burns J, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria – cerebrovascular diseases, aneurysm, vascular malformation, and subarachnoid hemorrhage. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2021 Nov;18(11S):S283–S304. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2021.08.012. PMID: 34794589.
  11. Expert Panel on Neurologic Imaging, Utukuri PS, Shih RY, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria – headache. Revised 2022. Accessed January 17, 2024. <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69482/Narrative/>.
  12. Barnaure I, Liberato AC, Gonzalez RG, et al. Isolated intraventricular haemorrhage in adults. *Br J Radiol*. 2017 Jan;90(1069):20160779. doi: 10.1259/bjr.20160779. PMID: 27805421; PMCID: PMC5605039.
  13. Willinek WA, von Falkenhausen M, Born M, et al. Noninvasive detection of steno-occlusive disease of the supra-aortic arteries with three-dimensional contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance angiography: A prospective, intra-individual comparative analysis with digital subtraction angiography. *Stroke*. 2005 Jan;36(1):38–43. doi: 10.1161/01.STR.0000149616.41312.00. PMID: 15569881.
  14. Cosottini M, Pingitore A, Puglioli M, et al. Contrast-enhanced three-dimensional magnetic resonance angiography of atherosclerotic internal carotid stenosis as the noninvasive imaging modality in revascularization decision making. *Stroke*. 2003 Mar;34(3):660–4. doi: 10.1161/01.STR.0000057462.02141.6F. PMID: 12624288.
  15. Debrey SM, Yu H, Lynch JK, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of magnetic resonance angiography for internal carotid artery disease: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Stroke*. 2008;39:2237–48. doi: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.107.509877. PMID: 18556586.
  16. Kleindorfer DO, Towfighi A, Chaturvedi S, et al. 2021 guideline for the prevention of stroke in patients with stroke and transient ischemic attack: A guideline from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. *Stroke*. 2021 Jul;52(7):e364–e467. doi: 10.1161/STR.0000000000000375. PMID: 34024117.
  17. Brott TG, Halperin JL, Abbara S, et al. 2011 ASA/ACCF/AHA/AANN/AANS/ACR/ASNR/CNS/SAIP/SCAI/SIR/SNIS/SVM/SVS guideline on the management of patients with extracranial carotid and vertebral artery disease. A report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice

Guidelines, and the American Stroke Association, American Association of Neuroscience Nurses, American Association of Neurological Surgeons, American College of Radiology, American Society of Neuroradiology, Congress of Neurological Surgeons, Society of Atherosclerosis Imaging and Prevention, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, Society of Interventional Radiology, Society of NeuroInterventional Surgery, Society for Vascular Medicine, and Society for Vascular Surgery. *Circulation*. 2011 Jul 26;124(4):e54-130. doi: 10.1161/CIR.0b013e31820d8c98. PMID: 21282504. Erratum in: *Circulation*. 2011 Jul 26;124(4):e146; *Circulation*. 2012 Jul 10;126(2):e26.

18. American College of Radiology (ACR), American Society of Neuroradiology (ASNR), Society of NeuroInterventional Surgery (SNIS), Society for Pediatric Radiology (SPR). ACR-ASNR-SNIS-SPR practice parameter for the performance of cervicocerebral magnetic resonance angiography (MRA) - resolution 43. Updated 2020. Accessed February 1, 2024. <https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/Practice-Parameters/CervicoCerebralMRA.pdf>.
19. Expert Panel on Neurological Imaging, Hagiwara M, Policeni B, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria - sinonasal disease: 2021 update. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2022 May;19(5S):S175-S193. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2022.02.011. PMID: 35550800.
20. Derdeyn CP, Zipfel GJ, Albuquerque FC, et al. Management of brain arteriovenous malformations: A scientific statement for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. *Stroke*. 2017 Aug;48(8):e200-e224. doi: 10.1161/STR.000000000000134. PMID: 28642352.
21. Hayes SN, Kim ESH, Saw J, et al. Spontaneous coronary artery dissection: Current state of the science: A scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2018 May 8; 137(19):e523-e557. doi: 10.1161/CIR.0000000000000564. PMID: 29472380; PMCID: PMC5957087.
22. Amin HP, Madsen TE, Bravata DM, et al. Diagnosis, workup, risk reduction of transient ischemic attack in the emergency department setting: A scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Stroke*. 2023 Mar;54(3):e109-e121. doi: 10.1161/STR.0000000000000418. PMID: 36655570.
23. AbuRahma AF, Avgerinos ED, Chang RW, et al. Society for Vascular Surgery clinical practice guidelines for management of extracranial cerebrovascular disease. *J Vasc Surg*. 2022 Jan;75(1S):4S-22S. doi: 10.1016/j.jvs.2021.04.073. PMID: 34153348.

24. Cummins DD, Caton MT, Shah V, et al. MRI and MR angiography evaluation of pulsatile tinnitus: A focused, physiology-based protocol. *J Neuroimaging*. 2022 Mar;32(2):253-263. doi: 10.1111/jon.12955. PMID: 34910345; PMCID: PMC8917066.
25. Hitchcock E, Gibson WT. A review of the genetics of intracranial berry aneurysms and implications for genetic counseling. *J Genet Couns*. 2017;26(1):21-31. doi:10.1007/s10897-016-0029-8
26. Jung WS, Kim JH, Ahn SJ, et al. Prevalence of intracranial aneurysms in patients with aortic dissection. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 2017;38(11):2089-2093. doi:10.3174/ajnr.A5359
27. Egbe AC, Padang R, Brown RD, et al. Prevalence and predictors of intracranial aneurysms in patients with bicuspid aortic valve. *Heart*. 2017;103(19):1508-1514. doi:10.1136/heartjnl-2016-311076
28. Rouchaud A, Brandt MD, Rydberg AM, et al. Prevalence of intracranial aneurysms in patients with aortic aneurysms. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. Sep 2016;37(9):1664-8. doi:10.3174/ajnr.A4827
29. Pickard SS, Prakash A, Newburger JW, Malek AM, Wong JB. Screening for intracranial aneurysms in coarctation of the aorta: a decision and cost-effectiveness analysis. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes*. 2020;13(8):e006406. doi:10.1161/circoutcomes.119.006406
30. Xu HW, Yu SQ, Mei CL, Li MH. Screening for intracranial aneurysm in 355 patients with autosomal-dominant polycystic kidney disease. *Stroke*. 2011;42(1):204-6. doi:10.1161/strokeaha.110.578740
31. Malhotra A, Wu X, Matouk CC, Forman HP, Gandhi D, Sanelli P. MR angiography screening and surveillance for intracranial aneurysms in autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease: a cost-effectiveness analysis. *Radiology*. 2019;291(2):400-408. doi:10.1148/radiol.2019181399
32. Flahault A, Joly D. Screening for intracranial aneurysms in patients with autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol*. Aug 7 2019;14(8):1242-1244.
33. Bederson JB, Awad IA, Wiebers DO, et al. Recommendations for the management of patients with unruptured intracranial aneurysms: A statement for healthcare professionals from the Stroke Council of the American Heart Association. *Stroke*. 2000 Nov;31(11):2742-50. doi: 10.1161/01.str.31.11.2742. PMID: 11062304.
34. Rinkel GJ, Ruigrok YM. Preventive screening for intracranial aneurysms. *Int J Stroke*. 2022 Jan;17(1):30-36. doi: 10.1177/17474930211024584. PMID: 34042530; PMCID: PMC8739572.

35. Magnetic Resonance Angiography in Relatives of Patients with Subarachnoid Hemorrhage Study Group. Risks and benefits of screening for intracranial aneurysms in first-degree relatives of patients with sporadic subarachnoid hemorrhage. *N Engl J Med*. 1999 Oct 28;341(18):1344–50. doi: 10.1056/NEJM199910283411803. PMID: 10536126.
36. Brown Jr RD, Huston J, Hornung R, et al. Screening for brain aneurysm in the Familial Intracranial Aneurysm study: Frequency and predictors of lesion detection. *J Neurosurg*. 2008 Jun;108(6):1132–8. doi: 10.3171/JNS/2008/108/6/1132. PMID: 18518716; PMCID: PMC4190025.
37. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS). National coverage determination (NCD): Magnetic resonance imaging (220.2). Effective Date April 10, 2018. Accessed September 10, 2024. <https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/view/ncd.aspx?ncdid=177&ncdver=6&bc=0>.
38. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS). Local coverage determination (LCD): Magnetic resonance angiography (MRA) (L33633). Effective date October 1, 2029. Accessed September 10, 2024. <https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/view/lcd.aspx?lcdid=33633&ver=49&bc=0>.
39. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS). Billing and coding: Magnetic resonance angiography (MRA)(A56747). Accessed September 10, 2024. <https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/view/article.aspx?articleid=56747&ver=22&bc=0>.
40. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS). Local coverage determination (LCD): Magnetic resonance angiography (MRA)(L34865). Effective Date July 1, 2020. Accessed September 10, 2024. <https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/view/lcd.aspx?lcdid=34865&ver=72&bc=0>.
41. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS). Billing and coding: Magnetic resonance angiography (MRA)(A56805). Effective Date October 1, 2023. Accessed September 10, 2024. <https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/view/article.aspx?articleid=56805&ver=29&bc=0>.
42. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS). Local coverage determination (LCD): Magnetic resonance angiography (MRA)(L34372). Effective Date July 1, 2020. Accessed September 10, 2024. <https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/view/lcd.aspx?lcdid=34372&ver=22&bc=0>.

43. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS). Billing and coding: Magnetic resonance angiography (MRA) (A57779). Effective Date July 1, 2020. Accessed September 10, 2024. <https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/view/article.aspx?articleid=57779&ver=5&bc=0>.
44. American College of Radiology (ACR). ACR manual on contrast media. 2024. [https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/Clinical-Resources/Contrast\\_Media.pdf](https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/Clinical-Resources/Contrast_Media.pdf).
45. American College of Radiology (ACR). ACR practice parameter for performing and interpreting magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). 2022. <https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/Practice-Parameters/MR-Perf-Interpret.pdf?la=en>.
46. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG). Guidelines for diagnostic imaging during pregnancy and lactation: Committee opinion (no. 723). Published October 2017. Accessed September 23, 2024.
47. Kjelle E, Brandsæter IØ, Andersen ER, Hofmann BM. Cost of low-value imaging worldwide: a systematic review. *Applied Health Economics and Health Policy*. 2024 Mar 1:1-7.
48. Friedman DP, Levin DC, Rao VM. Trends in the utilization of CT angiography and MR angiography of the head and neck in the Medicare population. *J Am Coll Rad*. 2010;(7)11:854-858. Doi 10.1016/j.jacr.2010.05.007.
49. Yang CW, Carr JC, Futterer SF, et al. Contrast-enhanced MR angiography of the carotid and vertebrobasilar circulations. *Am J Neuroradiol*. 2005;(26):2095-2101. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC8148843/pdf/0891.pdf>
50. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS). Local coverage determination (LCD): Magnetic resonance angiography (MRA)(L34424). Revision Effective Date: March 27, 2025. <https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/view/lcd.aspx?lcdid=34424&ver=47&=>
51. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS). Billing and coding: Magnetic resonance angiography (MRA)(A56775). Revision Effective Date: March 27, 2025. <https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/view/article.aspx?articleid=56775&ver=23>

# Clinical Guideline Revision History/Information

Original Date: October 24, 2024

## Review History

Version 1.1	05/01/2025	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Revised per CMS update for 03/27/2025</li><li>• Updated Revision Date</li><li>• Updated Links and References for L34424, A56775</li></ul>