



Cohere Medicare Advantage Policy – Positron Emission Tomography (PET)/PET-Computed Tomography (CT)

Clinical Guidelines for Medical Necessity Review

Version: 2
Effective Date: November 21, 2024

Important Notices

Notices & Disclaimers:

GUIDELINES ARE SOLELY FOR COHERE'S USE IN PERFORMING MEDICAL NECESSITY REVIEWS AND ARE NOT INTENDED TO INFORM OR ALTER CLINICAL DECISION-MAKING OF END USERS.

Cohere Health, Inc. ("**Cohere**") has published these clinical guidelines to determine the medical necessity of services (the "**Guidelines**") for informational purposes only, and solely for use by Cohere's authorized "**End Users**". These Guidelines (and any attachments or linked third-party content) are not intended to be a substitute for medical advice, diagnosis, or treatment directed by an appropriately licensed healthcare professional. These Guidelines are not in any way intended to support clinical decision-making of any kind; their sole purpose and intended use is to summarize certain criteria Cohere may use when reviewing the medical necessity of any service requests submitted to Cohere by End Users. Always seek the advice of a qualified healthcare professional regarding any medical questions, treatment decisions, or other clinical guidance. The Guidelines, including any attachments or linked content, are subject to change at any time without notice. This policy may be superseded by existing and applicable Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) statutes.

©2024 Cohere Health, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

Other Notices:

HCPCS® and CPT® copyright 2024 American Medical Association. All rights reserved.

Fee schedules, relative value units, conversion factors and/or related components are not assigned by the AMA, are not part of CPT, and the AMA is not recommending their use. The AMA does not directly or indirectly practice medicine or dispense medical services. The AMA assumes no liability for data contained or not contained herein.

HCPCS and CPT are registered trademarks of the American Medical Association.

Guideline Information:

Specialty Area: Diagnostic Imaging

Guideline Name: Positron Emission Tomography (PET)/PET-Computed Tomography (CT)

Date of last literature review: 11/20/2024

Document last updated: 11/20/2024

Type: Adult (18+ yo) | Pediatric (0-17 yo)

Table of Contents

Important Notices	2
Table of Contents	3
Medical Necessity Criteria	4
Service:	4
Benefit Category	4
Recommended Clinical Approach	4
Evaluation of Clinical Benefits and Potential Harms	4
Medical Necessity Criteria	5
Indications	5
Non-Indications	6
Level of Care Criteria	6
Procedure Codes (CPT/HCPCS)	6
Medical Evidence	7
References	8
Clinical Guideline Revision History/Information	9

Medical Necessity Criteria

Service: Positron Emission Tomography (PET)/PET-Computed Tomography (CT)

Benefit Category

Diagnostic Tests (other)

Please Note: This may not be an exhaustive list of all applicable Medicare benefit categories for this item or service.^{1,61}

Related CMS Documents

Please refer to the [CMS Medicare Coverage Database](#) for the most current applicable CMS National Coverage.^{1,57,61-63,69}

- [National Coverage Determination \(NCD\). Positron emission tomography \(FDG\) for oncologic indications \(220.6.17\)](#)
- [National Coverage Determination \(NCD\). Positron emission tomography \(NaF-18\) to identify bone metastasis of cancer \(220.6.19\)](#)
- [Local Coverage Determination \(LCD\). Positron emission tomography \(PET\) scan for inflammation and infection \(L39521\)](#)
- [Billing and Coding: Positron emission tomography \(PET\) scan for inflammation and infection \(A59318\)](#)
- [Local Coverage Determination \(LCD\). Multiple imaging in oncology \(L35391\)](#)

Recommended Clinical Approach

Positron emission tomography (PET) is a non-invasive diagnostic imaging procedure used to evaluate the metabolic activity in tissues. This technology is particularly useful for assessing oncologic, cardiovascular diseases and neurological disorders. Before undergoing a PET scan, patients typically undergo a series of preliminary assessments, including history and physical examination, and often other imaging studies like MRI or CT scans, which guide the need for further metabolic imaging.⁵⁷⁻⁵⁸

Oncologic positron emission tomography (PET) is considered advanced imaging and best utilized per institutional oncologic protocols and oncologic

(e.g., National Comprehensive Cancer Network [NCCN]) and radiological society guidelines including the Society of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging (SNMMI), the European Association of Nuclear Medicine (EANM), and the American College of Radiology (ACR). The decision to perform oncologic PET is often made at institutional tumor board meetings after multidisciplinary oncology teams review the case. Teams may include oncologic surgeons, radiation oncologists, medical oncologists, pathologists, and radiologists.¹⁻³

Evaluation of Clinical Benefits and Potential Harms

Cohere Health uses the criteria below to ensure consistency in reviewing the conditions to be met for coverage of positron emission tomography (PET)/PET computed tomography (CT). This process helps to prevent both incorrect denials and inappropriate approvals of medically necessary services. Specifically, limiting incorrect approvals reduces the risks associated with unnecessary procedures, such as complications from surgery, infections, and prolonged recovery times.

The potential clinical harms of using these criteria may include:

- Inherent risk of procedure: There are inherent risks of PET imaging, including cumulative radiation exposure, allergy, and harm to breast milk.⁶⁴⁻⁶⁶
- Potential danger to pregnancy: PET imaging completed during pregnancy confers a dose of ionizing radiation to the fetus and is generally only utilized when the potential benefits of this specific imaging modality outweigh the risks to the pregnancy.¹⁶ Fetal risk includes fetal demise, intrauterine growth restriction, microcephaly, delayed intellectual development, risk of childhood cancer, and fetal thyroid injury.⁶⁷
- Increased healthcare costs and complications from the inappropriate use of additional interventions.⁶⁸

The clinical benefits of using these criteria include:

- Improved diagnostic accuracy: PET imaging, and in particular, PET/CT, has shown greater accuracy in evaluating patients with either known or suspected malignancy than PET or CT alone or PET and CT obtained separately but interpreted together.²
- Noninvasive: PET is a noninvasive imaging modality. It is widely accepted that noninvasive procedures are less costly, associated with fewer complications, and preferred by both patients and providers. PET

also utilizes no injected contrast agent, conferring an inherent safety benefit.

- Enhanced overall patient satisfaction and healthcare experience.

This policy includes provisions for expedited reviews and flexibility in urgent cases to mitigate risks of delayed access. Evidence-based criteria are employed to prevent inappropriate denials and ensure that patients receive medically necessary care. The criteria aim to balance the need for effective treatment with the minimization of potential harms, providing numerous clinical benefits in helping avoid unnecessary complications from inappropriate care.

In addition, the use of these criteria is likely to decrease inappropriate denials by creating a consistent set of review criteria, thereby supporting optimal patient outcomes and efficient healthcare utilization.

Medical Necessity Criteria

Indications

→ **Positron emission tomography (PET) with or without concurrently acquired computed tomography (CT)(PET/CT) using fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG)** is considered appropriate if **ANY** of the following is **TRUE**^{1-3,57,61,62,63}.

- ◆ For initial anti-tumor treatment strategy, CMS continues to cover one FDG PET study for beneficiaries who have cancers that are biopsy-proven or strongly suspected based on other diagnostic testing when the beneficiary's treating physician determines that the FDG PET study is needed to determine the location and/or extent of the tumor for **ANY** of the following therapeutic purposes:
 - To determine whether the beneficiary is an appropriate candidate for an invasive diagnostic or therapeutic procedure; **OR**
 - To determine the optimal anatomic location for an invasive procedure; **OR**
 - To determine the anatomic extent of tumor when the recommended anti-tumor treatment reasonably depends on the extent of the tumor; **OR**

◆ Diagnosis, staging, treatment monitoring/re-staging for **ANY** of the following biopsy-proven or strongly suspected primary cancer/tumor types:

- Adrenal⁴; **OR**
- Anal⁵; **OR**
- Bladder⁶; **OR**
- Bone⁷; **OR**
- Brain/central nervous system⁸; **OR**
- Breast (see Non-Indications below)^{1,9-12}; **OR**
- Cervical (see Non-Indications below)¹³⁻¹⁴; **OR**
- Colorectal¹⁵⁻¹⁷; **OR**
- Endometrial¹⁸⁻¹⁹; **OR**
- Esophageal²⁰⁻²¹; **OR**
- Gastric²²; **OR**
- Gestational trophoblastic neoplasia²³; **OR**
- Head and neck²⁴⁻²⁶; **OR**
- Lung cancer, non-small cell²⁷⁻²⁹; **OR**
- Lung cancer, small cell²⁸⁻²⁹; **OR**
- Lymphoma³⁰⁻³¹; **OR**
- Melanoma, cutaneous (see Non-Indications below)³²; **OR**
- Multiple myeloma³³; **OR**
- Ovarian³⁴⁻³⁶; **OR**
- Pancreatic³⁷⁻³⁸; **OR**
- Pleural mesothelioma³⁹; **OR**
- Prostate (see Non-Indications below) ⁴⁰⁻⁴⁴; **OR**
- Squamous cell carcinoma of the skin⁴⁵; **OR**
- Soft tissue sarcoma⁴⁶⁻⁴⁷; **OR**
- Testes (indicated for seminoma cancers only)⁴⁸⁻⁴⁹; **OR**
- Thyroid⁵⁰⁻⁵¹; **OR**
- Vulvar⁵²; **OR**

◆ Characterization of solitary pulmonary nodules (SPNs) greater than 8 millimeters in diameter⁷⁰; **OR**

◆ **Prostate-specific membrane antigen (PSMA [using F-18 piflufolastat, F-18 flutufolastat, or Ga-68 PSMA-11]) PET** and the patient has prostate cancer/tumor and **ANY** of the following positive findings⁴⁰⁻⁴⁴:

- The patient has been treated with radical prostatectomy and **ANY** of the following is **TRUE**:

- Serum prostate-specific antigen (PSA) elevation greater than 0.1 ng/ml; **OR**
 - Persistence of elevated PSA (failure to fall to undetectable levels); **OR**
 - Previously undetectable PSA that has been increasing on two or more occasions; **OR**
- The patient has been treated with radiation therapy or other nonsurgical treatment and **ANY** of the following:
 - An increase of PSA by 2 ng/mL or greater above the lowest post-treatment PSA; **OR**
 - PSA increasing after radiation therapy and the patient is a candidate for salvage local therapy (even if the lowest PSA value is under 2 ng/mL); **OR**
- Initial treatment planning for suspected metastatic disease; **OR**
- Staging for individuals with a diagnosis of unfavorable intermediate-risk, high-risk, or very high-risk prostate cancer; **OR**
- GA-68 PSMA-II PET/CT before initial treatment with lutetium Lu-177 vipivotide tetraxetan (e.g., Pluvicto) for metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer; **OR**
- ◆ **F-18 fluciclovine or choline C-11 PET/CT** and **ANY** of the following positive findings⁴⁰⁻⁴⁴:
 - The patient has been treated with radical prostatectomy, and **ANY** of the following is **TRUE**:
 - Serum prostate-specific antigen (PSA) elevation greater than 0.1 ng/ml; **OR**
 - Persistence of elevated PSA (failure to fall to undetectable levels); **OR**
 - Previously undetectable PSA that has been increasing on two or more occasions; **OR**
 - The patient has been treated with radiation therapy or other nonsurgical treatment and **ANY** of the following:
 - An increase of PSA by 2 ng/mL or greater above the lowest post-treatment PSA; **OR**
 - PSA increasing after radiation therapy and the patient is a candidate for salvage local therapy (even if the lowest PSA value is under 2 ng/mL); **OR**

- ◆ **Dotatate PET** and the patient has a well-differentiated neuroendocrine tumor and **ANY** of the following^{4,53};
 - Diagnosis with high suspicion of neuroendocrine tumor based on prior imaging (e.g. prior CT), symptoms (e.g. intractable diarrhea) or laboratory values (e.g, High chromogranin levels) ; **OR**
 - Initial staging; **OR**
 - Treatment planning for lutetium Lu-177 Dotatate; **OR**
 - Restaging or treatment monitoring, and **ANY** of the following is **TRUE**^{28,36}:
 - The patient is assumed to have either no known disease or disease that is stable or clinically insignificant (every 6-12 months for an overall duration [e.g., 5 years]); **OR**
 - Suspected recurrence/progression; **OR**
 - Evaluation of response to treatment when a change in therapy is contemplated (no more often than after 2 cycles of chemotherapy and/or 6-8 weeks since the prior imaging evaluation); **OR**
- ◆ **Positron emission tomography (PET) scan for non-oncologic conditions** is considered appropriate if **ANY** of the following are **TRUE**⁵⁹⁻⁶⁰:
 - **ALL** of the following are **TRUE**:
 - MRI and CT are contraindicated or inconclusive; **AND**
 - **ANY** of the following is **TRUE**⁶²:
 - ◆ For patients greater than or equal to 18 years of age with fever of unknown origin (FUO) and **ALL** of the following⁶²:
 - Fever higher than 38.3 Celsius (101 degrees Fahrenheit); **AND**
 - Present for greater than or equal to 21 days defined by fever on 2 or more occasions with repeating episodes for 2 or more weeks prior to the study; **AND**
 - The patient is not immunocompromised; **AND**

- Investigation including history, physical, laboratory analysis, and standard imaging is non-diagnostic; **AND**
- The patient does not have any conditions that would limit the ability to interpret the PET scan; **OR**
- ◆ For diagnosis of equivocal cases of suspected osteomyelitis or spondylodiscitis (with abnormal radiographs or CT findings and **ALL** of the following:
 - MRI cannot be performed or is non-diagnostic or inconclusive; **AND**
 - The patient does not have any conditions that would limit the ability to interpret the PET (e.g., post-operative or post-traumatic conditions, uncontrolled blood sugars); **AND**
 - Not in conjunction with bone scintigraphy, leukocyte scintigraphy, and or MOAB scintigraphy; **OR**
- Evaluation of cardiac sources of infection or inflammation, as indicated by **ALL** of the following⁶²:
 - Documented suspicion on clinical exam and laboratory evaluation; **AND**
 - Non-specific or inconclusive imaging from echocardiography and/or CT; **AND**
 - The patient is being evaluated for **ANY** of the following conditions:
 - ◆ Infective endocarditis with a prosthetic valve; **OR**
 - ◆ Suspected cardiac device infection (pacemaker, defibrillators, LVAD, metallic implants); **OR**
 - ◆ CTA or MRA are inconclusive or nondiagnostic for **ANY** of the following:
 - Aortitis; **OR**
 - Systemic vasculitis; **OR**
 - Vascular graft infection; **OR**

- ◆ Cardiac sarcoidosis with **ANY** of the following:
 - Risk factors (such as systemic sarcoidosis); **OR**
 - Patient less than 60 years old with unexplained, new onset conduction system disease; **OR**
 - Heart failure without explanation; **OR**
 - Idiopathic sustained ventricular tachycardia unexplained by other causes; **OR**
- ◆ Repeat imaging (defined as repeat request following recent imaging of the same anatomic region with the same modality), in the absence of established guidelines, will be considered reasonable and necessary if **ANY** of the following is **TRUE**:
 - New or worsening symptoms, such that repeat imaging would influence treatment; **OR**
 - One-time clarifying follow-up of a prior indeterminate finding; **OR**
 - In the absence of change in symptoms, there is an established need for monitoring which would influence management.

Non-Indications

→ **Positron emission tomography (PET), with or without concurrently acquired computed tomography (CT)(PET/CT)** is not considered appropriate if **ANY** of the following is **TRUE**:

- For **oncologic indications** and **ANY** of the following:
 - Whole-body PET or PET/CT for cancer screening purposes only; **OR**
 - Initial diagnosis or staging of axillary lymph nodes in breast cancer^{1.9-12}; **OR**
 - Initial diagnosis of cervical cancer related to anti-tumor treatment strategy^{1.13-14}; **OR**
 - Initial staging of regional lymph nodes in melanoma^{1.32}; **OR**
 - Initial diagnosis and staging of adenocarcinoma of the prostate; **OR**
 - Non-seminomatous tumors of the testes⁴⁸⁻⁴⁹; **OR**

- F-18 sodium fluoride (NaF-18) PET for any indication, including identifying bone metastasis of cancer⁶⁹; **OR**
- For **infection/inflammation** and **ANY** of the following:
 - If used as first-line diagnostic test; **OR**
 - If **ANY** of the following tests have already been done or are planned as part of diagnostic evaluation without documented medical necessity:
 - ◆ Labeled WBC scan; **OR**
 - ◆ 67Ga SPECT/CT; **OR**
 - Endocarditis in a native valve; **OR**
 - If used for monitoring response to treatment with the exception of cardiac sarcoidosis; **OR**
 - The use of PET scan for inflammation and infection of other conditions not specifically addressed in the Indications section above will be considered investigational.

*NOTE: PET/CT in patients with claustrophobia should be requested at the discretion of the ordering provider.

**NOTE: PET/CT in pregnant patients should be requested at the discretion of the ordering provider and obstetric care provider.⁵⁴

***NOTE: PET scans should be scheduled at least 4–6 weeks after radiation therapy or surgery to avoid false positives due to inflammation from recent treatments.

Disclaimer on Radiation Exposure in Pediatric Population

Due to the heightened sensitivity of pediatric patients to ionizing radiation, minimizing exposure is paramount. At Cohere, we are dedicated to ensuring that every patient, including the pediatric population, has access to appropriate imaging following accepted guidelines. Radiation risk is dependent mainly on the patient's age at exposure, the organs exposed, and the patient's sex, though there are other variables. The following technical guidelines are provided to ensure safe and effective imaging practices:

Radiation Dose Optimization: Adhere to the lowest effective dose principle for pediatric imaging. Ensure that imaging protocols are specifically tailored for pediatric patients to limit radiation exposure.⁵⁵⁻⁵⁶

Alternative Modalities: Prioritize non-ionizing imaging options such as ultrasound or MRI when clinically feasible, as they are less likely to expose the patient to ionizing radiation. For instance, MRI or ultrasound should be considered if they are more likely to provide an accurate diagnosis than CT, fluoroscopy, or radiography. [55-56](#)

Cumulative Dose Monitoring: Implement systems to track cumulative radiation exposure in pediatric patients, particularly for those requiring multiple imaging studies. Regularly reassess the necessity of repeat imaging based on clinical evaluation. [55-56](#)

CT Imaging Considerations: When CT is deemed the best method for achieving a correct diagnosis, use the lowest possible radiation dose that still yields reliable diagnostic images. [55-56](#)

Cohere Imaging Gently Guideline

The purpose of this guideline is to act as a potential override when clinically indicated to adhere to Imaging Gently and Imaging Wisely guidelines and As Low As Reasonably Possible (ALARA) principles.

Level of Care Criteria

Outpatient

Procedure Codes (CPT/HCPCS)

CPT/HCPCS Code	Code Description
78811	Positron emission tomography (PET) imaging of chest
78812	Positron emission tomography (PET) imaging of skull base to midhigh
78813	Positron emission tomography (PET) imaging of whole body
78814	Positron emission tomography (PET) with concurrently acquired computed tomography (CT)
78815	Positron emission tomography (PET) with concurrently acquired computed tomography (CT) for attenuation correction and anatomical localization imaging of skull base to midhigh

78816	Positron emission tomography (PET) with concurrently acquired computed tomography (CT) of whole body
79101	Positron emission tomography (PET) with concurrently acquired computed tomography (CT) for attenuation correction and anatomical localization imaging; whole body
A9515	Choline c-11, diagnostic, per study dose up to 20 millicuries
A9552	Fluorodeoxyglucose f-18 fdg, diagnostic, per study dose, up to 45 millicuries
A9587	Gallium ga-68, dotatate, diagnostic, 0.1 millicurie
A9588	Fluciclovine f-18, diagnostic, 1 millicurie
A9593	Gallium ga-68 psma-11, diagnostic, (ucsf), 1 millicurie
A9594	Gallium ga-68 psma-11, diagnostic, (ucla), 1 millicurie
A9595	Piflufolastat f-18, diagnostic, 1 millicurie
A9596	Gallium ga-68 gozetotide, diagnostic, (illuccix), 1 millicurie
A9597	Positron emission tomography radiopharmaceutical, diagnostic, for tumor identification, not otherwise classified
A9607	Lutetium Lu 177 vipivotide tetraxetan, therapeutic, 1 millicurie
A9608	Flotufolastat f18, diagnostic, 1 millicurie
A9609	Fludeoxyglucose f18 up to 15 millicuries
A9800	Gallium Ga-68 gozetotide, diagnostic, (Locametz), 1 millicurie
G0219	PET imaging whole body; melanoma for non-covered indications
G0235	PET imaging, any site, not otherwise specified

G0252	PET imaging, full and partial-ring scanners only, for initial diagnosis of breast cancer and/or surgical planning for breast cancer (e.g., initial staging of axillary lymph nodes)
-------	---

Disclaimer: G, S, I, and N Codes are non-covered per CMS guidelines due to their experimental or investigational nature.

Medical Evidence

Jadvar et al. (2017) published Appropriate Use Criteria for the Society of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging (SNMMI), the European Association of Nuclear Medicine (EANM), the American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO), the American College of Nuclear Medicine (ACNM) the Society for Pediatric Radiology (SPR), and the Canadian Association of Nuclear Medicine (CANM). The group focused on meta-analyses and large individual studies comparing PET or PET/CT with other imaging modalities. It stated that the physician must prioritize which modality to begin with. PET/CT is said to have a strong role in restaging cancers and determining future patient management. Clinical studies cited to support the accuracy of PET/CT in detecting recurrent disease and assessing treatment response.³

The American College of Radiology (ACR) published the ACR-ACNM-SNMMI-SPR practice parameter for performing FDG-PET/CT in oncology in 2021. The indications presented in the document include use in the staging of malignancy, monitoring response to therapy, or restaging when the patient has relapsed. Additionally, this imaging modality may help localize the site of the primary tumor in the setting of metastatic disease, clarify indeterminate results, or localize occult disease when testing such as tumor markers indicates neoplastic disease. Finally, FDG-PET/CT may be used to plan treatment goals and to guide biopsy and radiation treatment planning.²

Published by the American College of Radiology in 2023, the ACR-ACNM-SNMMI practice parameter for performing Gallium-68 and Copper-64 Dotatate PET/CT imaging for neuroendocrine tumors (NETs). This imaging modality is appropriate for diagnosing, staging, restaging, and assessing treatment response in neuroendocrine tumors. Radiotracers such as those discussed in this practice parameter, which target cell membrane expression of somatostatin receptors (SSTRs), are useful in evaluating well-differentiated NETs compared to anatomical imaging. Fused imaging with computed tomography (PET/CT) in hybrid PET scanners has shown a high level of accuracy in evaluating patients with known or suspected malignancy.⁵³

References

1. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS). National coverage determination (NCD): Positron emission tomography (FDG) for oncologic indications (220.6.17). Effective June 11, 2013. Accessed July 24, 2024. <https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/search.aspx>.
2. American College of Radiology (ACR). ACR-ACNM-SNMMI-SPR practice parameter for the performing FDG-PET/CT in oncology (resolution 20). Published 2021. Accessed July 24, 2024. <https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/Practice-Parameters/FDG-PET-CT.pdf>.
3. Jadvar H, Coletti PM, Delgado-Bolton R, et al. Appropriate use criteria for FDG PET/CT in restaging and treatment response after assessment of malignant disease. *J Nucl Med*. 2017 Dec;58(12):2026-2037. doi: 10.2967/jnumed.117.197988. PMID: 29025980.
4. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology: Neuroendocrine and adrenal tumors (ver 1.2024). Published June 20, 2024. Accessed July 24, 2024. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/neuroendocrine.pdf.
5. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology: Anal carcinoma (ver 1.2024). Updated December 20, 2023. Accessed July 24, 2024. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/anal.pdf.
6. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology: Bladder carcinoma (ver 4.2024). Updated May 9, 2024. Accessed July 24, 2024. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/bladder.pdf.
7. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology: Bone cancer (ver. 2.2024). Updated March 12, 2024. Accessed July 24, 2024. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/bone.pdf.
8. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology: Central nervous system cancers (ver. 2.2024). Updated July 25, 2024. Accessed July 24, 2024. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/cns.pdf.
9. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology: Breast cancer (ver. 4.2024). Updated

July 3, 2024.

https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/breast.pdf.

10. Expert Panel on Breast Imaging, McDonald ES, Scheel JR, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria – imaging of invasive breast cancer. Published 2023. Accessed February 20, 2024.
<https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/3186697/Narrative>.
11. Expert Panel on Breast Imaging, Le-Petross HT, Slanetz PJ, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria – imaging of the axilla. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2022 May;19(5S):S87–S113. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2022.02.010. PMID: 35550807.
12. Expert Panel on Breast Imaging, Hayward JH, Linden OE, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria – monitoring response to neoadjuvant systemic therapy for breast cancer: 2022 update. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2023 May;20(5S):S125–S145. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2023.02.016. PMID: 37236739.
13. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology: Cervical cancer (ver. 3.2024). Updated May 6, 2024. Accessed July 24, 2024.
https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/cervical.pdf.
14. Expert Panel on GYN and OB Imaging, Shinagare AB, Burk KS, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria – pretreatment evaluation and follow-up of invasive cancer of the cervix: 2023 update. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2024 Jun;21(6S):S249–S267. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2024.02.026. PMID: 38823948.
15. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology: Colon cancer (ver. 4.2024). Updated May 24, 2024. Accessed July 24, 2024.
https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/colon.pdf.
16. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology: Rectal cancer (ver. 3.2024). Updated July 3, 2024.
https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/rectal.pdf.
17. Expert Panel on Gastrointestinal Imaging, Korngold EK, Moreno C, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria – staging of colorectal cancer: 2021 update. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2022 May;19(5S):S208–S222. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2022.02.012. PMID: 35550803.
18. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology: Uterine neoplasms (ver. 2.2024). Updated March 6, 2024. Accessed July 24, 2024.
https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/uterine.pdf.

19. Expert Panel on GYN and OB Imaging, Reinhold C, Ueno Y, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria – pretreatment evaluation and follow-up of endometrial cancer. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2020 Nov;17(11S):S472–S486. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2020.09.001. PMID: 33153558.
20. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology: Esophageal and esophagogastric junction cancers (ver. 3.2024). Updated April 26, 2024. Accessed July 24, 2024. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/esophageal.pdf.
21. Expert Panels on Thoracic and Gastrointestinal Imaging, Raptis CA, Goldstein A, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria – staging and follow-up of esophageal cancer. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2022 Nov;19(11S):S462–S472. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2022.09.008. PMID: 36436970.
22. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology: Gastric cancer (ver. 2.2024). Updated May 29, 2024. Accessed July 24, 2024. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/gastric.pdf.
23. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology: Gestational trophoblastic neoplasia (ver. 1.2024). Updated October 27, 2023. Accessed July 24, 2024. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/gtn.pdf.
24. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology: Head and neck cancers (ver. 4.2024). Updated May 1, 2024. Accessed July 24, 2024. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/head-and-neck.pdf.
25. Expert Panel on Neurological Imaging, Gule-Monroe MK, Calle S, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria – staging and post-therapy assessment of head and neck cancer. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2023 Nov;20(11S):S521–S564. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2023.08.008. PMID: 38040469.
26. Expert Panel on Neurologic Imaging, Aulino JM, Kirsch CFE, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria – neck mass adenopathy. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2019 May;16(5S):S150–S160. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2019.02.025. PMID: 31054741.
27. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology: Non-small cell lung cancer (ver. 7.2024). Updated June 26, 2024. Accessed July 24, 2024. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/nscl.pdf.
28. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology: Small cell lung cancer (ver. 3.2024).

- Updated June 11, 2024. Accessed July 24, 2024.
https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/sclc.pdf.
29. Expert Panel on Thoracic Imaging, de Groot PM, Chung JH, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria – noninvasive clinical staging of primary lung cancer. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2019 May;16(5S):S184-S195. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2019.02.008. PMID: 31054745.
 30. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology: Hodgkin lymphoma (ver. 3.2024). Updated March 18, 2024. Accessed July 24, 2024.
https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/hodgkins.pdf.
 31. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology: T-cell lymphomas (ver. 4.2024). Updated May 28, 2024. Accessed July 24, 2024.
https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/t-cell.pdf.
 32. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology: Melanoma, cutaneous (ver. 2.2024). Updated April 3, 2024. Accessed July 24, 2024.
https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/cutaneous_melanoma.pdf.
 33. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology: Multiple myeloma (ver. 4.2024). Updated April 26, 2024. Accessed July 24, 2024.
https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/myeloma.pdf.
 34. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology: Ovarian cancer including fallopian tube cancer and primary peritoneal cancer (ver. 3.2024). Updated July 15, 2024. Accessed July 24, 2024.
https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/ovarian.pdf.
 35. Expert Panel on Women’s Imaging, Pandharipande PV, Lowry KP, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria – ovarian cancer screening. Updated 2017. Accessed July 24, 2024.
<https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69463/Narrative/>.
 36. Expert Panel on Women’s Imaging, Kang SK, Reinhold C, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria – staging and follow-up of ovarian cancer. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2018 May;15(5S):S198-S207. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2018.03.015. PMID: 29724422.
 37. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology: Pancreatic adenocarcinoma (ver.

- 2.2024). Updated April 30, 2024. Accessed July 24, 2024.
https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/pancreatic.pdf.
38. Expert Panel on Gastrointestinal Imaging, Qayyum A, Tamm EP, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria - staging of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2017 Nov;14(11S):S560-S569. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2017.08.050. PMID: 29101993.
39. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology: Mesothelioma, pleural (ver. 1.2024). Updated November 21, 2023. Accessed July 24, 2024.
https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/meso_pleural.pdf.
40. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology: Prostate cancer (ver. 4.2024). Updated May 17, 2024. Accessed July 24, 2024.
https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/prostate.pdf.
41. American College of Radiology (ACR), American College of Nuclear Medicine (ACNM). ACR-ACNM practice parameter for the performance of fluorine-18 fluciclovine PET/CT for recurrent prostate cancer (resolution 30). Updated 2018. Accessed July 24, 2024.
<https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/Practice-Parameters/PET-CT-ProstateCancer.pdf>.
42. Expert Panel on Urological Imaging, Akin O, Woo S, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria - pre-treatment detection, surveillance, and staging of prostate cancer. Updated 2022. Accessed February 5, 2024.
<https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69371/Narrative/>.
43. Expert Panel on Urologic Imaging, Turkbey B, Oto A, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria - post-treatment follow-up of prostate cancer. Updated 2022. Accessed July 24, 2024.
<https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69369/Narrative/>.
44. Shen K, Liu B, Zhou X, et al. The evolving role of ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT in diagnosis and prognosis prediction in progressive prostate cancer. *Front. Oncol*. 2021 Jul 29;11:683793. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2021.683793. PMID: 34395251; PMCID: PMC8358601.
45. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology: Squamous cell skin cancer (ver. 1.2024). Updated November 9, 2023. Accessed July 24, 2024.
https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/squamous.pdf.
46. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology: Soft tissue sarcoma (ver. 1.2024).

- Updated April 26, 2024. Accessed July 24, 2024.
https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/sarcoma.pdf.
47. Expert Panel on Musculoskeletal Imaging, Garner HW, Wessell DE, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria - soft tissue masses: 2022 update. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2023 May;20(5S):S234-S245. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2023.02.009. PMID: 37236746.
48. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology: Testicular cancer (ver. 1.2024). Updated March 15, 2024. Accessed July 24, 2024.
https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/testicular.pdf.
49. Expert Panel on Urological Imaging, Schieda N, Oto A, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria - staging and surveillance of testicular cancer: 2021 update. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2022 May;19(5S):S194-S207. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2022.02.009. PMID: 35550802.
50. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology: Thyroid carcinoma (ver. 3.2024). Updated June 18, 2024. Accessed July 24, 2024.
https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/thyroid.pdf.
51. Expert Panel on Neurological Imaging, Zander D, Bunch PM, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria - parathyroid adenoma. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2021 Nov;18(11S):S406-S422. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2021.08.013. PMID: 34794597.
52. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology: Vulvar cancer (ver. 4.2024). Updated May 1, 2024. Accessed July 24, 2024.
https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/vulvar.pdf.
53. American College of Radiology (ACR), American College of Nuclear Medicine (ACNM), Society of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging (SNMMI). ACR-ACNM-SNMMI practice parameter for the performance of Gallium-68 and Copper-64 Dotatate PET/CT imaging for neuroendocrine tumors. *Clin Nucl Med*. 2018 Dec;43(12):899-908. doi: 10.1097/RLU.0000000000002309. PMID: 30394933.
54. American College of Radiology (ACR), Society for Pediatric Radiology (SPR). ACR-SPR practice parameter for imaging pregnant or potentially pregnant patients with ionizing radiation- resolution 31. Updated 2023. Accessed March 1, 2024. <https://www.acr.org/-/media/acr/files/practice-parameters/pregnant-pts.pdf>.
55. The Image Gently Alliance. Procedures - image gentle and CT scans. Updated 2014. Accessed July 24, 2024.

- <https://www.imagegently.org/Procedures/Computed-Tomography>.
56. National Cancer Institute. Radiation risks and pediatric computed tomography (CT): A guide for health care. Updated September 4, 2018. Accessed July 24, 2024.
<https://www.cancer.gov/about-cancer/causes-prevention/risk/radiation/pediatric-ct-scans>.
57. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS). Billing and coding: Positron emission tomography (PET) scan for inflammation and infection (A59318). Effective Date November 22, 2023. Accessed July 31, 2024.
<https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/search.aspx>.
58. Culver DA, Aryal S, Barney J, et al. Efzofitmod for the treatment of pulmonary sarcoidosis. *Chest*. 2023 Apr;163(4):881-890. doi: 10.1016/j.chest.2022.10.037. PMID: 36356657; PMCID: PMC10258437.
59. Pierce JL, Perry MT, Wessell DE, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria. Suspected osteomyelitis, septic arthritis, or soft tissue infection (excluding spine and diabetic foot). Revised 2022. <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/3094201/Narrative/>
60. Palestro CJ, Abikhzer G, Bar-Sever Z, et al. Society of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging. Appropriate use criteria for the use of nuclear medicine in fever of unknown origin. Published 2023. <https://snmmi.org/Web/Clinical-Practice/Appropriate-Use-Criteria/Articles/Appropriate-Use-Criteria-for-the-Use-of-Nuclear-Medicine-in-Fever-of-Unknown-Origin>
61. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS). National Coverage Determination (NCD): Positron emission tomography (NaF-18) to identify bone metastasis of cancer. Effective Date December 15, 2021. <https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/view/ncd.aspx?ncdid=336&ncdver=2&bc=0>
62. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS). Local Coverage Determination (LCD): Positron emission tomography (PET) scan for inflammation and infection (L39521). Effective Date August 13, 2023. <https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/view/lcd.aspx?lcdid=39521&ver=7&bc=0>
63. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS). Local Coverage Determination (LCD): Multiple imaging in oncology (L35391). Effective Date November 14, 2019. <https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/view/lcd.aspx?lcdid=35391&ver=17&bc=0>

64. Zhang F, Lu Z, Wang F. Advances in the pathogenesis and prevention of contrast-induced nephropathy. *Life sciences*. 2020 Oct 15;259:118379.
65. Rudnick MR, Leonberg-Yoo AK, Litt HI, Cohen RM, Hilton S, Reese PP. The controversy of contrast-induced nephropathy with intravenous contrast: what is the risk?. *American Journal of Kidney Diseases*. 2020 Jan 1;75(1):105-13.
66. Summers LN, Harry ML, Colling KP. Evaluating our progress with trauma transfer imaging: repeat CT scans, incomplete imaging, and delayed definitive care. *Emergency Radiology*. 2021 Oct;28(5):939-48.
67. Nguyen T, Bhosale PR, Cassia L, Surabhi V, Javadi S, Milbourne A, Faria SC. Malignancy in pregnancy: Multimodality imaging and treatment. *Cancer*. 2023 May 15;129(10):1479-91.
68. Kjelle E, Brandsæter IØ, Andersen ER, Hofmann BM. Cost of low-value imaging worldwide: a systematic review. *Applied Health Economics and Health Policy*. 2024 Mar 1:1-7.
69. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS). National Coverage Determination (NCD): Positron emission tomography (NaF-18) to identify bone metastasis of cancer (220.6.19). Effective Date December 15, 2021. <https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/view/ncd.aspx?ncdid=336&ncdver=2&bc=0>
70. Gould MK, Donington J, Lynch WR, et al. Evaluation of individuals with pulmonary nodules: when is it lung cancer? *Chest*. 2013;143(5):e93S-e120S. <http://journal.publications.chestnet.org>.

Clinical Guideline Revision History/Information

Original Date: October 3, 2024		
Review History		
Version 2	November 21, 2024	Added CMS verbiage related to initial tumor treatment strategy; added bullet and reference related to solitary pulmonary nodules