



Cohere Medical Policy–Computed Tomography Angiography (CTA), Abdomen/Pelvis, including Lower Extremity RunOff

Clinical Guidelines for Medical Necessity Review

Version: 3
Effective Date: October 30, 2024

Important Notices

Notices & Disclaimers:

GUIDELINES ARE SOLELY FOR COHERE'S USE IN PERFORMING MEDICAL NECESSITY REVIEWS AND ARE NOT INTENDED TO INFORM OR ALTER CLINICAL DECISION-MAKING OF END USERS.

Cohere Health, Inc. ("**Cohere**") has published these clinical guidelines to determine the medical necessity of services (the "**Guidelines**") for informational purposes only, and solely for use by Cohere's authorized "**End Users**". These Guidelines (and any attachments or linked third-party content) are not intended to be a substitute for medical advice, diagnosis, or treatment directed by an appropriately licensed healthcare professional. These Guidelines are not in any way intended to support clinical decision-making of any kind; their sole purpose and intended use is to summarize certain criteria Cohere may use when reviewing the medical necessity of any service requests submitted to Cohere by End Users. Always seek the advice of a qualified healthcare professional regarding any medical questions, treatment decisions, or other clinical guidance. The Guidelines, including any attachments or linked content, are subject to change at any time without notice.

©2024 Cohere Health, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

Other Notices:

HCPCS® and CPT® copyright 2024 American Medical Association. All rights reserved.

Fee schedules, relative value units, conversion factors and/or related components are not assigned by the AMA, are not part of CPT, and the AMA is not recommending their use. The AMA does not directly or indirectly practice medicine or dispense medical services. The AMA assumes no liability for data contained or not contained herein.

HCPCS and CPT are registered trademarks of the American Medical Association.

Guideline Information:

Specialty Area: Diagnostic Imaging

Guideline Name: Cohere Medical Policy - Computed Angiography (CTA), Abdomen/Pelvis

Date of last literature review: 8/13/2024

Document last updated: 10/30/2024

Type: Adult (18+ yo) | Pediatric (0-17 yo)

Table of Contents

Important Notices	2
Table of Contents	3
Medical Necessity Criteria	4
Service: Computed Tomography Angiography (CTA), Abdomen/Pelvis	4
Recommended Clinical Approach	4
Medical Necessity Criteria	4
Indications	4
Non-Indications	10
Disclaimer on Radiation Exposure in Pediatric Population	11
Level of Care Criteria	12
Procedure Codes (CPT/HCPCS)	12
Medical Evidence	13
References	14
Clinical Guideline Revision History/Information	18

Medical Necessity Criteria

Service: Computed Tomography Angiography (CTA), Abdomen/Pelvis

Recommended Clinical Approach

Computed tomography angiography (CTA) is a preferred imaging test for various aortic conditions because of its excellent spatial resolution, rapid image acquisition, and wide availability. CTA provides a robust tool for planning aortic interventions and diagnosing acute and chronic vascular diseases in the abdomen. CTA is the standard for imaging aneurysms before intervention and evaluating the aorta in the acute setting to assess the traumatic injury, dissection, and aneurysm rupture. Knowledge of the imaging features of these disease processes, inflammatory vasculitides, and occlusive atherosclerotic disease is essential for guiding the surgical and medical management of patients. Computed tomography venography (CTV) is a diagnostic imaging procedure that uses computed tomography (CT) to visualize the veins in the body. CTV can detect deep vein thrombosis (DVT) and evaluate venous insufficiency. Advantages include the ability to capture high-resolution images and are non-invasive and efficient.¹

Medical Necessity Criteria

Indications

→ **Computed tomography angiography or venography (CTA/CTV) of the abdomen/pelvis (CPT 74191, 74174)** is considered appropriate when **ANY** of the following is **TRUE**:

- ◆ Vascular conditions, known or suspected, including **ANY** of the following:
 - Suspected renal artery stenosis, when intervention is planned if diagnosed, including **ANY** of the following:
 - Previous imaging (e.g., ultrasound) indicates small kidney or unequal kidney sizes²⁻³; **OR**
 - Renal artery Doppler ultrasound suggests renal artery stenosis; **OR**
 - Early-onset hypertension (age less than 35, diastolic greater than 110 mmHg)⁴; **OR**
 - Late-onset hypertension (age greater than 50)²⁻⁴; **OR**
 - Renal artery bruit²⁻⁴; **OR**

- Malignant or accelerated hypertension⁴; **OR**
- Sudden development or worsening of hypertension⁴; **OR**
- Deterioration of renal function in response to angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors⁴; **OR**
- Hypertension resistant to medication, and the patient must be currently taking **ALL** of the following at maximally tolerated doses⁴⁻⁵;
 - ◆ Long-acting calcium channel blocker; **AND**
 - ◆ Long-acting ace inhibitor or angiotensin receptor blocker (ARB); **AND**
 - ◆ Diuretic (e.g., loop or thiazide); **OR**
- Mesenteric ischemia or ischemic enteritis/colitis when **ANY** of the following is **TRUE**:
 - High suspicion for ischemic enteritis/colitis or mesenteric/bowel infarct by another imaging study; **OR**
 - Anion-gap metabolic acidosis and/or high lactate in the setting of severe abdominal pain or abdominal pain that is out of proportion to the physical exam; **OR**
 - Known vascular risk factors or known vascular disease (e.g., age greater than 60 years, known coronary artery disease [CAD] or peripheral artery disease [PAD]) with severe abdominal pain or abdominal pain that is out of proportion to the physical exam; **OR**
 - Known vascular risk factors with post-prandial pain that affects daily life (e.g., fear of food, weight loss); **OR**
 - Symptoms or signs indicating lower GI bleeding; **OR**
- Thromboembolic disease; **OR**
- Concern for aneurysm when ultrasound is inconclusive or non-diagnostic, based on documented clinical or imaging findings; **OR**
- Known unrepaired aortic aneurysm over 5.4 cm in size, initial evaluation, if surgical intervention is being planned⁷⁻⁸; **OR**

- Unrepaired aortic aneurysm when ultrasound and non-contrast CT are inconclusive. Follow-up evaluation is based on aneurysm size when **ANY** of the following is **TRUE**⁹:
 - 3 to 3.9 cm, every 3 years; **OR**
 - 4 to 4.9 cm, annually; **OR**
 - 5 to 5.4 cm, every 6 months; **OR**
- Preoperative planning for thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysm (TAAA) or dissection (with or without symptoms) and **ANY** of the following is **TRUE**¹⁰:
 - CTA of the chest has been performed; **OR**
 - Endovascular repair of thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysm (TAAA); **OR**
 - Open repair of thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysm (TAAA); **OR**
 - Follow-up after **ANY** of the following:
 - ◆ Endovascular repair of thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysm (TAAA); **OR**
 - ◆ Known thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysm (TAAA) or dissection without repair; **OR**
 - ◆ Open repair of thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysm (TAAA); **OR**
- Vascular invasion or displacement by tumor or other process; **OR**
- Assessment of patients with spontaneous coronary artery dissection (SCAD), one-time; **OR**
- Trauma, with concern for solid organ or vascular injury¹¹⁻¹²; **OR**
- Vasculitis, initial evaluation, when **ANY** of the following is **TRUE**^{11,13-15}:
 - Biopsy proven; **OR**
 - Rheumatologic panel work-up including but not limited to erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) and C-reactive protein (CRP) is suggestive of vasculitis; **OR**
 - The outcome of the imaging is expected to change management and/or treatment plan; **OR**
- Evaluation of hepatic arteries, when ultrasound is inconclusive, nondiagnostic, or abnormal requiring confirmation; **OR**

- Evaluation of other visceral arteries, including, but not limited to, suspected superior mesenteric artery (SMA) syndrome and median arcuate ligament syndrome (MAL)¹⁶⁻¹⁷; **OR**
 - Evaluation of the portal venous system (hepatic portal system) after Doppler ultrasound has been performed; **OR**
 - Diffuse unexplained lower extremity edema with negative or inconclusive ultrasound; **OR**
 - Suspected venous compression syndrome (e.g., Nutcracker syndrome [renal vein compression], May-Thurner syndrome [iliac vein compression syndrome including pelvic CT venography])¹⁸⁻¹⁹; **OR**
 - Large vein thrombosis of the major abdominal or pelvic veins, including inferior vena cava (IVC), iliac, renal, portal, and hepatic veins, when Doppler ultrasound is inconclusive or indicates the presence or complications; **OR**
 - Detailed evaluation for pelvic congestion syndrome; **OR**
 - Other, unspecified vascular findings that were inconclusive on prior imaging; **OR**
- ◆ Preoperative, postoperative, or pre-treatment evaluation for **ANY** of the following:
- Evaluation post-endovascular repair (EVAR) or open repair of abdominal aortic aneurysm (AAA) and **ANY** of the following is **TRUE**^{2-3,9}:
 - Follow-up within one month; **OR**
 - Follow-up within one year; **OR**
 - Type II endoleak, every six months for up to two years after diagnosis; **OR**
 - Type II endoleak, annual followup; **OR**
 - Planning for vascular surgery, interventional procedure; **OR**
 - Other procedures involving arteries (e.g., inferior epigastric arteries for breast reconstruction, ureteropelvic junction [UPJ] obstruction, solid organ transplant, prostate embolization); **OR**
 - Renal transplant rejection/dysfunction, when ultrasound and nuclear medicine (e.g., MAG3, DTPA) scans are inconclusive or are indicative of a vascular cause of rejection or dysfunction²⁰; **OR**
 - Anastomotic integrity or stent patency; **OR**

- Other vascular graft complication (e.g., suspected infection, pseudoaneurysm, or thrombosis)¹¹; **OR**
- ◆ Gastrointestinal bleeding including **ANY** of the following⁹:
 - Lower gastrointestinal tract bleeding with **ANY** of the following²¹:
 - Active bleeding is clinically observed as hematochezia or melena in a hemodynamically stable patient, where colonoscopy is contraindicated or unavailable; **OR**
 - Active bleeding in a hemodynamically unstable patient or a patient who has required more than 5 units of blood within 24 hours; **OR**
 - Concern for ongoing or recurrent bleeding after treatment (e.g., colonoscopy or transcatheter angiography); **OR**
 - Upper gastrointestinal bleeding (non-variceal) with **ANY** of the following²²:
 - High suspicion of an arterial source endoscopy is contraindicated (e.g., post-surgical or traumatic cause), or inconclusive; **OR**
 - Source of bleeding is not identified on endoscopy; **OR**
- ◆ Known or suspected syndromes with increased risk of vascular anomalies including **ANY**, but not limited to, the following:
 - As a one-time screening for syndromes with a vascular component (e.g., fibromuscular dysplasia, Ehlers–Danlos syndrome, Marfan syndrome, neurofibromatosis, William’s syndrome, tuberous sclerosis); **OR**
 - Loeys Dietz (every two years for screening; surveillance as indicated depending on abnormalities found)^{23–24}; **OR**
 - Other syndromes not otherwise specified, follow-up as clinical documentation supports; **OR**
- ◆ Repeat imaging (defined as repeat request following recent imaging of the same anatomic region with the same modality), in the absence of established guidelines, will be considered reasonable and necessary if **ANY** of the following is **TRUE**:
 - New or worsening symptoms, such that repeat imaging would influence treatment; **OR**
 - One-time clarifying follow-up of a prior indeterminate finding; **OR**

- In the absence of change in symptoms, there is an established need for monitoring which would influence management.

→ **Computed tomography angiogram and/or venography (CTA/CTV) of the abdomen/pelvis with runoff (CPT 74175, 75635)** is considered appropriate when **ANY** of the following is **TRUE**:

◆ Lower extremity ischemic symptoms when **ALL** of the following are **TRUE**:

- **ANY** of the following:
 - Leg pain worsens with activity and relief with rest (claudication); **OR**
 - Non-healing lower extremity ulcers; **AND**
- **ALL** of the following:
 - Limitation of performance of daily activities; **AND**
 - Expected mobility after treatment warrants revascularization; **AND**
 - Revascularization is planned²⁵; **AND**
 - Abnormal ABI as evidenced by **ANY** of the following:
 - ◆ ABI is inconclusive or nondiagnostic; **OR**
 - ◆ ABI less than 0.9 or greater than 1.4 on at least one leg; **OR**
 - ◆ ABI less than 1.1 in patients with risk factors for atherosclerosis (e.g., personal history of diabetes or known cardiac disease)²⁶; **OR**

◆ Critical limb ischemia strongly suspected (sudden onset of a cold leg with pain, gangrene, rest pain)²⁷; **OR**

◆ Evidence of severe venous reflux disease, including venous leg ulcer, when pelvic or abdominal etiology is suspected; **OR**

◆ Repeat imaging (defined as repeat request following recent imaging of the same anatomic region with the same modality), in the absence of established guidelines, will be considered reasonable and necessary if **ANY** of the following is **TRUE**:

- New or worsening symptoms, such that repeat imaging would influence treatment; **OR**
- One-time clarifying follow-up of a prior indeterminate finding; **OR**
- In the absence of change in symptoms, there is an established need for monitoring which would influence management.

Non-Indications

→ **Computed tomography angiogram and/or venography (CTA/CTV) of the abdomen/pelvis with contrast** is not considered appropriate if **ANY** of the following is **TRUE**²⁸:

- ◆ The patient has undergone advanced imaging of the same body part within 3 months without undergoing treatment or developing new or worsening symptoms²⁹; **OR**
- ◆ The request is for CT/CTA with contrast, and the patient has a history of anaphylactic allergic reaction to iodinated contrast media.

*NOTE: The referring professional and radiologist should discuss the risks and benefits of contrast media administration, including possible prophylaxis, in patients with chronic or worsening kidney disease or severe renal failure.

**NOTE: CT in pregnant patients should be requested at the discretion of the ordering provider and obstetric care provider.

***NOTE: CT in patients with claustrophobia should be requested at the discretion of the ordering provider.

Disclaimer on Radiation Exposure in Pediatric Population

Due to the heightened sensitivity of pediatric patients to ionizing radiation, minimizing exposure is paramount. At Cohere, we are dedicated to ensuring that every patient, including the pediatric population, has access to appropriate imaging following accepted guidelines. Radiation risk is dependent mainly on the patient's age at exposure, the organs exposed, and the patient's sex, though there are other variables. The following technical guidelines are provided to ensure safe and effective imaging practices:

Radiation Dose Optimization: Adhere to the lowest effective dose principle for pediatric imaging. Ensure that imaging protocols are specifically tailored for pediatric patients to limit radiation exposure.³⁰⁻³¹

Alternative Modalities: Prioritize non-ionizing imaging options such as ultrasound or MRI when clinically feasible, as they are less likely to expose the patient to ionizing radiation. For instance, MRI or ultrasound should be considered if they are more likely to provide an accurate diagnosis than CT,

fluoroscopy, or radiography.³⁰⁻³¹

Cumulative Dose Monitoring: Implement systems to track cumulative radiation exposure in pediatric patients, particularly for those requiring multiple imaging studies. Regularly reassess the necessity of repeat imaging based on clinical evaluation.³⁰⁻³¹

CT Imaging Considerations: When CT is deemed the best method for achieving a correct diagnosis, use the lowest possible radiation dose that still yields reliable diagnostic images.³⁰⁻³¹

Cohere Imaging Gently Guideline

The purpose of this guideline is to act as a potential override when clinically indicated to adhere to Imaging Gently and Imaging Wisely guidelines and As Low As Reasonably Possible (ALARA) principles.

Level of Care Criteria

Inpatient or Outpatient

Procedure Codes (CPT/HCPCS)

CPT/HCPCS Code	Code Description
72191	Computed tomographic angiography (CTA), pelvis; with contrast material(s) including non-contrast images, if performed, and image postprocessing
74174	Computed tomographic angiography (CTA), abdomen and pelvis; with contrast material(s), including non-contrast images, if performed, and image postprocessing
74175	Computed tomographic angiography (CTA), abdomen; with contrast material(s), including non-contrast images, if performed, and image postprocessing
75635	Computed tomographic angiography (CTA), abdominal aorta, and bilateral iliofemoral lower extremity runoff; with contrast material(s), including non-contrast images, if performed, and image postprocessing

Medical Evidence

Allam et al. (2024) review multiple imaging modalities in vasculitis. Advantages of computed tomography angiography (CTA) include its high availability and rapid execution, along with excellent spatial and temporal resolution. Additionally, coronary CTA can be performed simultaneously if there is a suspicion of coronary artery disease (CAD). A prospective study by Lariviere et al. (2016) demonstrates that CTA can identify late complications such as stenosis, dissection, or aneurysms. In another prospective study, CTA showed a sensitivity of 73% and a specificity of 78% for diagnosing giant cell arteritis compared to clinical diagnosis.³²⁻³³

Fernando et al. (2022) conducted a meta-analysis to assess the effectiveness of various diagnostic methods, including presenting symptoms, physical examination findings, CTA, and point-of-care ultrasound (PoCUS) in accurately identifying ruptured abdominal aortic aneurysm (rAAA). A total of 2077 patients from 20 studies were included. While classic clinical symptoms related to rAAA may lack sensitivity, their absence does not always exclude the diagnosis. CTA exhibits reasonable accuracy but may still fail to detect some instances of rAAA. PoCUS may be a valuable resource when determining the transfer of suspected rAAA patients to a vascular center.¹⁹

Rotzinger et al. (2020) prospectively compared three image acquisition techniques in lower extremity CT angiography. Of the 60 consecutive patients enrolled, each was randomly assigned to one of three groups: the standard anterograde technique (SA), the adaptive anterograde technique (AA), and the retrograde acquisition technique (RA). Quantitative image quality was evaluated by measuring intraluminal attenuation at 536 different levels. Additionally, occlusive or aneurysmal disease was documented for each patient and limb. The RA technique demonstrated lower attenuation compared to the SA and AA techniques. The highest and most consistent attenuation was found with the AA technique. Qualitative analysis revealed that AA had the lowest rate of non-diagnostic vascular segments, followed by SA and RA. Venous contamination was significantly higher with RA, particularly at the aortic level. The presence of stenosis or occlusion did not significantly affect attenuation values across all techniques, whereas aneurysmal disease significantly impacted luminal attenuation in AA (increased attenuation) and RA (decreased attenuation) at the iliac and femoral levels.³⁴

References

1. American College of Radiology (ACR), North American Society for Cardiovascular Imaging (NASCI), Society of Interventional Radiology (SIR), Society for Pediatric Radiology (SPR). ACR–NASCI–SIR–SPR practice parameter for the performance and interpretation of body computed tomography (CTA) - resolution 47. Updated 2021. Accessed August 6, 2024. <https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/Practice-Parameters/body-cta.pdf>.
2. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS). Local coverage determination: Magnetic resonance angiography (MRA)(L33633). Revision Effective Date October 1, 2019. Accessed August 6, 2024. <https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/search.aspx>.
3. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS). Local coverage determination: Magnetic resonance angiography (MRA)(L34865). Revision Effective Date July 1, 2020. Accessed August 6, 2024. <https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/search.aspx>.
4. Expert Panels on Urologic Imaging and Vascular Imaging, Harvin HJ, Verma N, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria - renovascular hypertension. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2017 Nov;14(11S):S540–S549. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2017.08.040. PMID: 29101991.
5. Unger T, Borghi C, Charchar F, et al. 2020 International Society of Hypertension global hypertension practice guidelines. *Hypertension*. 2020 Jun;75(6):1334–1357. doi: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.120.15026. PMID: 32370572.
6. Expert Panel on GYN and OB Imaging, Uyeda JW, George E, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria - postpartum hemorrhage. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2020 Nov;17(11S):S459–S471. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2020.09.011. PMID: 33153557.
7. Expert Panel on Vascular Imaging, Reis SP, Majdalany BS, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria - pulsatile abdominal mass suspected abdominal aortic aneurysm. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2017 May;14(5S):S258–S265. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2017.01.027. PMID: 28473082.
8. Expert Panel on Vascular Imaging, Wang DS, Shen J, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria - pulsatile abdominal mass, suspected abdominal aortic aneurysm: 2023 update. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2023 Nov;20(11S):S513–S520. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2023.08.010. PMID: 38040468.
9. Chaikof EL, Dalman RL, Eskandari MK, et al. The Society for Vascular Surgery practice guidelines on the care of patients with an abdominal aortic aneurysm. *J Vasc Surg*. 2018 Jan;67(1):2–77.e2. doi: 10.1016/j.jvs.2017.10.044. PMID: 29268916.
10. Expert Panels on Vascular Imaging and Interventional Radiology, Contrella BN, Khaja MS, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria -

thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysm or dissection: Treatment planning and follow-up. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2023 May;20(5S):S265–S284. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2023.02.007. PMID: 37236748.

11. Isselbacher EM, Preventza O, Hamilton Black 3rd J, et al. 2022 ACC/AHA guideline for the diagnosis and management of aortic disease: A report of the American Heart Association/American College of Cardiology Joint Committee on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Circulation*. 2022 Dec 13;146(24):e334–e482. doi: 10.1161/CIR.0000000000001106. PMID: 36322642.
12. Expert Panel on Major Trauma Imaging, Shyu JY, Khurana B, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria – major blunt trauma. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2020 May;17(5S):S160–S174. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2020.01.024. PMID: 32370960.
13. Expert Panel on Vascular Imaging, Aghayev A, Steigner ML, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria – noncerebral vasculitis. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2021 Nov;18(11S):S380–S393. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2021.08.005. PMID: 34794595.
14. Maz M, Chung SA, Abril A, et al. 2021 American College of Rheumatology/Vasculitis Foundation guideline for the management of giant cell arteritis and Takayasu arteritis. *Arthritis Rheumatol*. 2021 Aug;73(8):1349–1365. doi: 10.1002/art.41774. PMID: 34235884.
15. Chung SA, Gorelik M, Langford CA, et al. 2021 American College of Rheumatology/Vasculitis Foundation guideline for the management of polyarteritis nodosa. *Arthritis Rheumatol*. 2021 Aug;73(8):1384–1393. doi: 10.1002/art.41776. PMID: 34235883.
16. Horton KM, Talamini MA, Fishman EK. Median arcuate ligament syndrome: Evaluation with CT angiography. *Radiographics*. 2005 Sep–Oct;25(5):1177–82. doi: 10.1148/rg.255055001. PMID: 16160104.
17. Expert Panel on Neurologic Imaging, Ginsburg M, Obara P, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria – imaging of mesenteric ischemia. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2018 Nov;15(11S):S332–S340. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2018.09.018. PMID: 30392602.
18. Kolber MK, Cui Z, Chen CK, et al. Nutcracker syndrome: Diagnosis and therapy. *Cardiovasc Diagn Ther*. 2021 Oct;11(5):1140–1149. doi: 10.21037/cdt-20-160. PMID: 34815965; PMCID: PMC8569268.
19. Fernando SM, Tran A, Cheng W, et al. Accuracy of presenting symptoms, physical examination, and imaging for diagnosis of ruptured abdominal aortic aneurysm: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Acad Emerg Med*. 2022 Apr;29(4):486–496. doi: 10.1111/acem.14475. PMID: 35220634.
20. Expert Panel on Urologic Imaging, Taffel MT, Nikolaidis P, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria – renal transplant dysfunction. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2017 May;14(5S):S272–S281. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2017.02.034. PMID: 28473084.
21. Expert Panel on Interventional Radiology, Karuppasamy K, Kapoor BS, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria – radiologic management of lower gastrointestinal tract bleeding: 2021 update. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2021 May;18(5S):S139–S152. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2021.02.018. PMID: 33958109.

22. Expert Panels on Vascular Imaging and Gastrointestinal Imaging, Singh-Bhinder N, Kim DH, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria – nonvariceal upper gastrointestinal bleeding. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2017 May;14(5S):S177–S188. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2017.02.038. PMID: 28473074.
23. Chu LC, Johnson PT, Dietz HC, et al. CT angiographic evaluation of genetic vascular disease: Role in detection, staging, and management of complex vascular pathologic conditions. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2014 May;202(5):1120–9. doi: 10.2214/AJR.13.11485. PMID: 24758669.
24. MacCarrick G, Black 3rd JH, Bowdin S, et al. Loeys-Dietz syndrome: A primer for diagnosis and management. *Genet Med*. 2014 Aug;16(8):576–87. doi: 10.1038/gim.2014.11. PMID: 24577266; PMCID: PMC4131122.
25. Expert Panel on Vascular Imaging, Azene EM, Steigner ML, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria – lower extremity arterial claudication–imaging assessment for revascularization: 2022 update. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2022 Nov;19(11S):S364–S373. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2022.09.002. PMID: 36436963; PMCID: PMC9876734.
26. Gerhard-Herman MD, Gornik HL, Barrett C, et al. 2016 AHA/ACC guideline on the management of patients with lower extremity peripheral artery disease: Executive summary: A report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Circulation*. 2017 Mar 21;135(12):e686–e725. doi: 10.1161/CIR.0000000000000470. PMID: 27840332; PMCID: PMC5479414.
27. Expert Panel on Vascular Imaging, Browne WF, Sung J, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria – sudden onset of cold, painful leg: 2023 update. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2023 Nov;20(11S):S565–S573. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2023.08.012. PMID: 38040470.
28. Davenport MS, Perazella MA, Yee J, et al. Use of intravenous iodinated contrast media in patients with kidney disease: Consensus statements from the American College of Radiology and the National Kidney Foundation. *Radiology*. 2020;294(3):660–668. doi: 10.1148/radiol.2019192094. PMID: 33015613; PMCID: PMC7525144.
29. Wasser EJ, Prevedello LM, Sodickson A, Mar W, Khorasani R. Impact of a real-time computerized duplicate alert system on the utilization of computed tomography. *JAMA Intern Med*. 2013;173(11):1024–1026. doi: 10.1001/jamainternmed.2013.543. PMID: 23609029.
30. The Image Gently Alliance. Imaging gently. Accessed August 6, 2024. <https://www.imagegently.org>.
31. National Cancer Institute. Radiation risks and pediatric computed tomography (CT): A guide for health care. Updated September 4, 2018. Accessed August 6, 2024. <https://www.cancer.gov/about-cancer/causes-prevention/risk/radiati>

on/pediatric-ct-scans.

32. Allam MN, Ali NB, Mahmoud AK, et al. Multi-modality imaging in vasculitis. *Diagnostics (Basel)*. 2024 Apr 18;14(8):838. doi: 10.3390/diagnostics14080838. PMID: 38667483; PMCID: PMC11049623.
33. Lariviere D, Benali K, Coustet B, et al. Positron emission tomography and computed tomography angiography for the diagnosis of giant cell arteritis: A real-life prospective study. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2016 Jul;95(30):e4146. doi: 10.1097/MD.0000000000004146. PMID: 27472684; PMCID: PMC5265821.
34. Rotzinger DC, Lu TL, Kawkabani A, et al. Computed tomography angiography in peripheral arterial disease: Comparison of three image acquisition techniques to optimize vascular enhancement-randomized controlled trial. *Front Cardiovasc Med*. 2020 Apr 28;7:68. doi: 10.3389/fcvm.2020.00068. PMID: 32411728; PMCID: PMC7198850.

Clinical Guideline Revision History/Information

Original Date: April 15, 2022		
Review History		
Version 2	8/15/2024	Annual review and policy restructure.
Version 3	10/30/2024	Edited repeat imaging criteria language.