



**Cohere Medical Policy -
Transcatheter Aortic Valve
Replacement/Implantation (TAVR/TAVI)**
Clinical Guidelines for Medical Necessity Review

Version: 2
Effective Date: August 13, 2024

Important Notices

Notices & Disclaimers:

GUIDELINES SOLELY FOR COHERE'S USE IN PERFORMING MEDICAL NECESSITY REVIEWS AND ARE NOT INTENDED TO INFORM OR ALTER CLINICAL DECISION MAKING OF END USERS.

Cohere Health, Inc. ("**Cohere**") has published these clinical guidelines to determine medical necessity of services (the "**Guidelines**") for informational purposes only, and solely for use by Cohere's authorized "**End Users**". These Guidelines (and any attachments or linked third party content) are not intended to be a substitute for medical advice, diagnosis, or treatment directed by an appropriately licensed healthcare professional. These Guidelines are not in any way intended to support clinical decision making of any kind; their sole purpose and intended use is to summarize certain criteria Cohere may use when reviewing the medical necessity of any service requests submitted to Cohere by End Users. Always seek the advice of a qualified healthcare professional regarding any medical questions, treatment decisions, or other clinical guidance. The Guidelines, including any attachments or linked content, are subject to change at any time without notice.

©2024 Cohere Health, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

Other Notices:

HCPCS® and CPT® copyright 2024 American Medical Association. All rights reserved.

Fee schedules, relative value units, conversion factors and/or related components are not assigned by the AMA, are not part of CPT, and the AMA is not recommending their use. The AMA does not directly or indirectly practice medicine or dispense medical services. The AMA assumes no liability for data contained or not contained herein.

HCPCS and CPT are registered trademarks of the American Medical Association.

Guideline Information:

Specialty Area: Cardiovascular Disease

Guideline Name: Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement/Implantation (TAVR/TAVI)

Literature review current through: 8/8/2024

Document last updated: 8/13/2024

Type: Adult (18+ yo) | Pediatric (0-17 yo)

Table of Contents

Important Notices	2
Table of Contents	3
Medical Necessity Criteria	4
Service: Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement/Implantation (TAVR/TAVI)	4
General Guidelines	4
Medical Necessity Criteria	4
Indications	4
Non-Indications	5
Level of Care Criteria	6
Procedure Codes (CPT/HCPCS)	6
Medical Evidence	7
References	8
Clinical Guideline Revision History/Information	9

Medical Necessity Criteria

Service: Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement/Implantation (TAVR/TAVI)

General Guidelines

- **Units, Frequency, & Duration:** None.
- **Criteria for Subsequent Requests:** Dysfunction of previous TAVR/TAVI.
- **Recommended Clinical Approach:** TAVR/TAVI is an effective catheter-based procedure for the treatment of aortic stenosis. Patients considered for TAVR/TAVI include all risk cohorts (low- to high-risk). Compared to surgical aortic valve replacement (SAVR), TAVR has a lower risk of stroke, major bleeding, and atrial fibrillation and a shorter hospital length of stay and shorter recovery.¹⁻⁸ TAVR/TAVI valves are durable for at least 5 years.¹ The Society of Thoracic Surgeons estimated surgical risk score provides a useful measure of the extent of patient comorbidities and may help identify which patients will benefit from TAVR/TAVI.¹
- **Exclusions:** Life expectancy less than 1 year despite a successful procedure or those with a chance of “survival with benefit” of less than 25% at 2 years.^{1, 7-8}

Medical Necessity Criteria

Indications

- **TAVR/TAVI** is considered appropriate if **ANY** of the following is **TRUE**¹⁻⁸:
- ◆ For patients with severe symptomatic calcific aortic stenosis and **ANY** of the following¹:
 - Aortic valve area is less than 1.0 cm; **OR**
 - Aortic valve area index is less than or equal to 0.6 cm²/m²; **OR**
 - Maximum Doppler velocity is greater than or equal to 4.0 m/s; **OR**
 - Mean aortic valve gradient greater than or equal to 40 mm/Hg at rest; **OR**
 - ◆ For asymptomatic patients with severe calcific native aortic valve stenosis as indicated by **ALL** of the following:
 - Peak systolic velocity of greater than or equal to 4.0 m/s or mean gradient of at least 40 mm/Hg; **AND**
 - Severely restricted AV leaflet opening; **AND**
 - EF less than or equal to 50%; **AND**

- Age between 65 and 80; **OR**
- ◆ For symptomatic patients with suspected low flow/low gradient aortic stenosis and aortic valve calcium (AVC) of greater than or equal to 1300 Agaston units in women or 2000 Agaston units in men¹; **OR**
- ◆ For valve-in-valve procedures for failed prior bioprosthetic valves, including **ANY** of the following^{1,3-6}:
 - The patient has a bioprosthetic aortic valve with aortic stenosis, and **ALL** of the following are **TRUE**:
 - The patient has symptomatic (e.g., fatigue, dyspnea, angina, syncope, or presyncope) severe stenosis; **AND**
 - Improvement in hemodynamics is anticipated; **OR**
 - The patient has a bioprosthetic aortic valve with aortic regurgitation, and **ALL** of the following are **TRUE**:
 - The patient has symptomatic (e.g., dyspnea, orthopnea) severe regurgitation; **AND**
 - Improvement in hemodynamics is anticipated.

Non-Indications

- **TAVR/TAVI** may not be considered appropriate if **ANY** of the following is **TRUE**^{2,5-8}:
- ◆ Life expectancy less than 12 months related to a non-cardiac cause; **OR**
 - ◆ Myocardial infarction within the last thirty days; **OR**
 - ◆ Congenital unicuspid valve, bicuspid valve with unsuitable anatomy for TAVR/TAVI, or noncalcified valve; **OR**
 - ◆ Hypertrophic obstructive cardiomyopathy; **OR**
 - ◆ A short distance between the annulus and coronary ostium for an artery unprotected by a bypass graft and concern that TAVR/TAVI may result in coronary obstruction that cannot be protected; **OR**
 - ◆ Left ventricular ejection fraction less than 20%; **OR**
 - ◆ Severe pulmonary hypertension with right ventricular dysfunction determined to be not due to severe aortic stenosis and/or determined to be unlikely to improve after TAVR/TAVI; **OR**
 - ◆ Echocardiographic evidence of intracardiac mass, thrombus, or vegetation (unless completely healed); **OR**
 - ◆ Severe primary mitral regurgitation without a plan to perform a transcatheter MitraClip procedure after TAVR/TAVI; **OR**

- ◆ Severe functional mitral regurgitation determined unlikely to improve after TAVR/TAVI or without a plan to perform a transcatheter MitraClip procedure after TAVR/TAVI; **OR**
- ◆ MRI confirmed stroke or transient ischemic attack (TIA) within the last six months unless the heart team determines that the risk of delaying treatment of aortic stenosis exceeds the potential benefit from delaying TAVR/TAVI; **OR**
- ◆ Mixed aortic valve disease (concomitant aortic regurgitation) if there is determined to be inadequate valve calcification to allow TAVR/TAVI; **OR**
- ◆ Significant aortoiliac disease that would interfere with delivery and deployment of the stent-valve without alternative access approach available (transcarotid, transaxillary, transapical or transcaval)³⁻⁶; **OR**
- ◆ The patient is unable to tolerate an anticoagulation/antiplatelet regimen⁷; **OR**
- ◆ Allergy or sensitivity to titanium or nickel.⁸

Level of Care Criteria

Inpatient

Procedure Codes (CPT/HCPCS)

CPT/HCPCS Code	Code Description
33361	Transcatheter aortic valve replacement (TAVR/TAVI) with prosthetic valve using percutaneous femoral artery approach
33362	Transcatheter aortic valve replacement (TAVR/TAVI) with prosthetic valve by open femoral artery approach
33363	Transcatheter aortic valve replacement (TAVR/TAVI) with prosthetic valve by open axillary artery approach

33364	Transcatheter aortic valve replacement (TAVR/TAVI) with prosthetic valve by open iliac artery approach
33365	Transcatheter aortic valve replacement (TAVR/TAVI) with prosthetic valve by median mediastinotomy
33366	Transcatheter aortic valve replacement (TAVR/TAVI) using prosthetic valve with transapical exposure

Medical Evidence

Otto et al. (2020) developed a guideline for the American College of Cardiology and the American Heart Association for the management of patients with valvular heart disease. They state that surgical (SAVR) rather than transcatheter aortic valve replacement (TAVR) is recommended in patients less than 65 years of age due to lower surgical risk but with need for longer valve durability. In patients older than age 65, TAVR presents less surgical risk, pain and length of hospital stay compared to SAVR, while SAVR is associated with lower risk of paravalvular leak, re-operation and potential need for pacemaker implantation.¹

Chen and colleagues (2018) studied 2032 patients in the PARTNER 2A (Placement of Aortic Transcatheter Valve) randomized trial to determine whether prior cardiac surgery was associated with increased surgical risk. These patients with severe aortic stenosis were determined to have intermediate surgical risk and were randomized between TAVR with the Sapien XT transcatheter valve vs. SAVR. 25.1% of patients had prior cardiac surgery, with 98.2% of those being coronary artery bypass grafting. No significant differences were found between patients with TAVR vs. SAVR in patients with or without prior cardiac surgery in 30 days up to 2 years.³

In 2018, Spaziano et al. prospectively studied TAVR in the catheterization laboratory vs a hybrid operating room. They stated that comparisons between these two locations were scarce. The primary endpoint of the FRANCE TAVI was all-cause mortality at one year, with secondary endpoints of 30-day complications and 3 year mortality. 12,121 patients with a mean age of 82.9 years, and roughly even numbers of men and women were included in the study, with 62% undergoing TAVR in a catheterization lab vs. 38% in a hybrid operating room. Major bleeding and infections were found to be higher in the hybrid OR group. Midterm mortality was found to be similar between the catheterization lab and the hybrid operating room; therefore findings supported performance in either location.⁴

References

1. Otto CM, Nishimura RA, Bonow RO, et al. 2020 ACC/AHA Guideline for the management of patients with valvular heart disease. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2021;77(4):25-197. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2020.11.018.
2. American College of Radiology. ACR manual on contrast media. https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/files/clinical-resources/contrast_media.pdf
3. Chen S, Redfors B, Ben-Yehuda O, et al. Transcatheter versus surgical aortic valve replacement in patients with prior cardiac surgery in the randomized PARTNER 2A trial. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv*. 2018;11(21):2207-2216.
4. Spaziano M, Lefèvre T, Romano M, et al. Transcatheter aortic valve replacement in the catheterization laboratory versus hybrid operating room: insights from the FRANCE TAVI registry. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv*. 2018; 11(21):2195-2203.
5. Chetcuti SJ, Deeb GM, Popma JJ, et al. Self-expanding transcatheter aortic valve replacement in patients with low-gradient aortic stenosis. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2019;12(1):67-80.
6. Egger F, Zweiker D, Freynhofer MK, et al. Austrian TAVI Group. Impact of on-site cardiac surgery on clinical outcomes after transfemoral transcatheter aortic valve replacement. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv*. 2018;11(21):2160-2167.
7. US Food & Drug Administration (FDA). Summary of safety and effectiveness data: Edwards Sapien 3 transcatheter heart valve. Published August 16, 2019. <https://www.fda.gov>.
8. US Food & Drug Administration (FDA). Summary of safety and effectiveness data: Medtronic CoreValve system. Published July 10, 2017. <https://www.fda.gov>.

Clinical Guideline Revision History/Information

Original Date: April 12, 2024		
Review History		
Version 2	August 13, 2024	Updated indications, non-indications, references