



Cohere Medical Policy – Computed Tomography (CT), Lower Extremity

Clinical Guidelines for Medical Necessity Review

Version: 2
Effective Date: September 5, 2024

Important Notices

Notices & Disclaimers:

GUIDELINES ARE SOLELY FOR COHERE'S USE IN PERFORMING MEDICAL NECESSITY REVIEWS AND ARE NOT INTENDED TO INFORM OR ALTER CLINICAL DECISION-MAKING OF END USERS.

Cohere Health, Inc. ("**Cohere**") has published these clinical guidelines to determine the medical necessity of services (the "**Guidelines**") for informational purposes only, and solely for use by Cohere's authorized "**End Users**". These Guidelines (and any attachments or linked third-party content) are not intended to be a substitute for medical advice, diagnosis, or treatment directed by an appropriately licensed healthcare professional. These Guidelines are not in any way intended to support clinical decision-making of any kind; their sole purpose and intended use is to summarize certain criteria Cohere may use when reviewing the medical necessity of any service requests submitted to Cohere by End Users. Always seek the advice of a qualified healthcare professional regarding any medical questions, treatment decisions, or other clinical guidance. The Guidelines, including any attachments or linked content, are subject to change at any time without notice.

©2024 Cohere Health, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

Other Notices:

HCPCS® and CPT® copyright 2024 American Medical Association. All rights reserved.

Fee schedules, relative value units, conversion factors and/or related components are not assigned by the AMA, are not part of CPT, and the AMA is not recommending their use. The AMA does not directly or indirectly practice medicine or dispense medical services. The AMA assumes no liability for data contained or not contained herein.

HCPCS and CPT are registered trademarks of the American Medical Association.

Guideline Information:

Specialty Area: Diagnostic Imaging

Guideline Name: Cohere Medical Policy - Computed Tomography (CT), Lower Extremity

Date of last literature review: 9/3/2024

Document last updated: 9/4/2024

Type: Adult (18+ yo) | Pediatric (0-17yo)

Table of Contents

Important Notices	2
Table of Contents	3
Medical Necessity Criteria	4
Service: Computed Tomography (CT), Lower Extremity	4
Recommended Clinical Approach	4
Medical Necessity Criteria	4
Indications	4
Non-Indications	9
Disclaimer on Radiation Exposure in Pediatric Population	9
Level of Care Criteria	10
Procedure Codes (CPT/HCPCS)	10
Medical Evidence	11
References	12
Clinical Guideline Revision History/Information	14

Medical Necessity Criteria

Service: Computed Tomography (CT), Lower Extremity

Recommended Clinical Approach

Computed tomography (CT) of the lower extremity for trauma and fracture generally does not require contrast. IV contrast should be used at the request of the ordering provider with guidance from the radiologist. Common indications for administering contrast include infectious and inflammatory conditions, as well as fractures, tumors, palpable abnormalities, and concern for malignancy of the soft tissues. CT scan coverage can be modified to include the region of clinical suspicion. Intra-articular contrast may be useful in patients for whom internal joint derangement or cartilage loss is suspected, but in whom MR is not possible (e.g., incompatible pacemaker, unable to tolerate MR exam, metallic artifact).

Medical Necessity Criteria

Indications

- **Computed tomography (CT), lower extremity** is considered appropriate if **ANY** of the following is **TRUE**:
- ◆ Neoplastic conditions (including masses and mass-like conditions) requiring evaluation (e.g., for treatment planning, treatment response, or prognostication) and **ANY** of the following is **TRUE**¹⁻³:
 - Malignant or aggressive primary bone tumor¹; **OR**
 - Malignant or aggressive primary soft tissue tumor¹; **OR**
 - Metastatic lesions of the lower extremity; **OR**
 - Nonsuperficial (deep) soft tissue mass²; **OR**
 - Soft tissue mass and MRI or ultrasound is unable to be performed or is contraindicated²; **OR**
 - A primary bone tumor is suspected, and radiographs indicate **ANY** of the following³:
 - Radiograph is negative; **OR**
 - Benign features (osteoid osteoma is not suspected); **OR**
 - Osteoid osteoma is suspected; **OR**

- Lesion is present on plain radiographs; **OR**
- Indeterminate or aggressive appearance for malignancy; **OR**
- “Incidental” osseous lesion on MRI or CT scan for unrelated indication; **OR**
- Presence of a mass with **ANY** of the following²:
 - Absence of trauma; **OR**
 - Rapid growth; **OR**
 - Recurrence after prior surgery; **OR**
 - Non-diagnostic ultrasound or other inconclusive imaging; **OR**
- Follow-up exam to further characterize a bone or soft tissue lesion diagnosed on the initial radiologic exam, including radiograph, ultrasound, magnetic resonance (MR), and nuclear medicine studies³; **OR**
- Known malignancy with unexpected, localized lower extremity pain or swelling; **OR**
- Persistent palpable abnormality with non-diagnostic imaging (e.g., radiograph, ultrasound); **OR**
- Routine surveillance of known malignancy; **OR**
- ◆ Acute traumatic lower extremity injury (e.g., fracture, dislocation) that requires additional detail than is available with plain radiographs and **ANY** of the following is **TRUE**⁴⁻⁵:
 - Bony injury and **ANY** of the following is **TRUE**⁴:
 - Fracture (known) and additional detail needed; **OR**
 - Acute injury with occult fracture suspected; **OR**
 - Joint dislocation or instability; **OR**
 - Stress/insufficiency fracture (known) and follow-up imaging needed; **OR**
 - Stress/insufficiency fracture (suspected) with negative radiographs; **OR**
 - Suspected soft tissue injury (e.g., peroneal tendon injury) and MRI or ultrasound is unable to be performed or is contraindicated; **OR**
- ◆ Chronic injury with ongoing symptoms for greater than or equal to 6 weeks and **ALL** of the following is **TRUE**⁶⁻⁷:
 - The patient has failed conservative management (e.g., rest, analgesics, physical therapy, oral or injectable

- corticosteroids) must be documented for a period of greater than 6 weeks; **AND**
- Radiographs are negative for osseous injury, an alignment abnormality is suspected based on physical examination, and **ALL** of the following are **TRUE**:
 - MRI and/or ultrasound are contraindicated or cannot be performed; **AND**
 - Radiographs that suggest **ANY** of the following:
 - ◆ Dislocation; **OR**
 - ◆ Syndesmotic injury; **OR**
 - ◆ Other ligamentous injury; **OR**
 - ◆ The patient requires a CT with arthrogram for a knee meniscal tear, and **ALL** of the following is **TRUE**:
 - Concern for rupture or high-grade tear based on clinical history, imaging, or physical exam; **AND**
 - Joint-specific orthopedic evaluation and maneuvers suggest a tear; **OR**
 - ◆ Vascular conditions, known or suspected, including **ANY** of the following:
 - Detection, screening, surveillance, and follow-up of autoimmune, collagen vascular diseases, or inflammatory conditions (e.g., inflammatory arthritis)⁸; **OR**
 - Osteonecrosis, known or suspected, with negative radiographs⁹; **OR**
 - MRI and/or ultrasound are contraindicated or cannot be performed, and the patient requires evaluation for vascular malformation (with or without pain) due to **ANY** of the following findings¹⁰:
 - Diffuse or focal enlargement; **OR**
 - Discoloration; **OR**
 - Soft-tissue mass; **OR**
 - Ulceration; **OR**
 - Vascular bruit or thrill; **OR**
 - ◆ Pre and post-intervention evaluation (including the diagnosis of postoperative complications) when **ANY** of the following is **TRUE**:
 - Imaging after hip arthroplasty and **ANY** of the following is **TRUE**¹¹:
 - Hardware fracture; **OR**
 - History of acute injury; **OR**

- Metal-on-metal prosthesis with an adverse reaction to metal debris; **OR**
- Trunionosis (corrosion or metallosis), suspected; **OR**
- Pain with **ANY** of the following (infection excluded):
 - ◆ Aseptic loosening; **OR**
 - ◆ Instability; **OR**
 - ◆ Osteolysis; **OR**
- Periprosthetic fracture; **OR**
- Imaging after knee arthroplasty and **ANY** of the following are suspected (with or without pain)¹²:
 - Hardware fracture; **OR**
 - Infection; **OR**
 - Pain with **ANY** of the following (infection excluded):
 - ◆ Aseptic loosening; **OR**
 - ◆ Instability; **OR**
 - ◆ Osteolysis; **OR**
 - Periprosthetic fracture; **OR**
 - Concern for injury to extensor mechanism; **OR**
- ◆ Infection or an infectious disorder including **ANY** of the following:
 - Septic arthritis with **ANY** of the following:
 - Elevated laboratory markers (e.g., ESR/CRP, white blood cell count); **OR**
 - Findings are suggestive of joint effusion or soft tissue swelling¹³; **OR**
 - Clinical history of **ANY** of the following:
 - ◆ Adjacent infection; **OR**
 - ◆ Diabetes; **OR**
 - ◆ IV drug use; **OR**
 - ◆ Previous surgery on the suspected joint of concern (e.g., joint replacement/ ligament, labral, meniscus repair); **OR**
 - Physical exam that supports suspicion of septic arthritis; **OR**
 - Positive joint aspiration; **OR**
 - Septic arthritis is suspected with normal initial radiographs¹³; **OR**
 - Osteomyelitis, suspected¹²⁻¹³; **OR**

- Soft tissue infection suspected with a history of puncture wound with possible retained foreign body (radiographs normal)¹³; **OR**
- Soft tissue infection suspected with high clinical suspicion of necrotizing fasciitis¹³; **OR**
- ◆ Evaluation of **ANY** of the following uncategorized/miscellaneous symptoms when MRI is contraindicated or cannot be performed, and the patient requires evaluation¹⁴:
 - Marrow abnormalities¹⁸; **OR**
 - Pain or weakness of a lower extremity as indicated by **ALL** of the following:
 - Nondiagnostic or indeterminate imaging (e.g. radiographs, US); **AND**
 - Failure of conservative management (e.g., rest, analgesics, physical therapy, oral or injectable corticosteroids) must be documented for a period of greater than 3 months; **AND**
 - Concern for rupture or high-grade tear based on **ALL** of the following:
 - ◆ Clinical history; **AND**
 - ◆ Physical exam; **OR**
- ◆ Repeat imaging of a specific area or structure using the same imaging modality (in the absence of an existing follow-up guideline) is considered appropriate when **ALL** of the following is **TRUE**:
 - There is documented clinical necessity; **AND**
 - Prior imaging results of the specific area or structure, obtained using the same imaging modality, must be documented and available for comparison; **AND**
 - **ANY** of the following is **TRUE**:
 - A change in clinical status, such as worsening symptoms or the emergence of new symptoms, that may influence the treatment approach; **OR**
 - The requirement for interval reassessment, which may alter the treatment plan; **OR**
 - One-time follow-up of a prior indeterminate finding to assess for interval change; **OR**
 - The need for re-imaging either before or after

performing an invasive procedure.

Non-Indications

→ **Computed tomography (CT), lower extremity** is not considered appropriate if **ANY** of the following is **TRUE**¹⁵:

- ◆ The patient has undergone advanced imaging of the same body part within 3 months without undergoing treatment or developing new or worsening symptoms; **OR**
- ◆ If contrast is used, history of anaphylactic allergic reaction to iodinated contrast media.

*NOTE: The referring professional and radiologist should discuss the risks and benefits of contrast media administration, including possible prophylaxis, in patients with chronic or worsening kidney disease or severe renal failure.

**NOTE: CT in pregnant patients should be requested at the discretion of the ordering provider and obstetric care provider.

***NOTE: CT in patients with claustrophobia should be requested at the discretion of the ordering provider.

Disclaimer on Radiation Exposure in Pediatric Population

Due to the heightened sensitivity of pediatric patients to ionizing radiation, minimizing exposure is paramount. At Cohere, we are dedicated to ensuring that every patient, including the pediatric population, has access to appropriate imaging following accepted guidelines. Radiation risk is dependent mainly on the patient's age at exposure, the organs exposed, and the patient's sex, though there are other variables. The following technical guidelines are provided to ensure safe and effective imaging practices:

Radiation Dose Optimization: Adhere to the lowest effective dose principle for pediatric imaging. Ensure that imaging protocols are specifically tailored for pediatric patients to limit radiation exposure.¹⁶⁻¹⁷

Alternative Modalities: Prioritize non-ionizing imaging options such as ultrasound or MRI when clinically feasible, as they are less likely to expose the patient to ionizing radiation. For instance, MRI or ultrasound should be considered if they are more likely to provide an accurate diagnosis than CT, fluoroscopy, or radiography.¹⁶⁻¹⁷

Cumulative Dose Monitoring: Implement systems to track cumulative

radiation exposure in pediatric patients, particularly for those requiring multiple imaging studies. Regularly reassess the necessity of repeat imaging based on clinical evaluation.¹⁶⁻¹⁷

CT Imaging Considerations: When CT is deemed the best method for achieving a correct diagnosis, use the lowest possible radiation dose that still yields reliable diagnostic images.¹⁶⁻¹⁷

Cohere Imaging Gently Guideline

The purpose of this guideline is to act as a potential override when clinically indicated to adhere to Imaging Gently and Imaging Wisely guidelines and As Low As Reasonably Possible (ALARA) principles.

Level of Care Criteria

Inpatient or Outpatient

Procedure Codes (CPT/HCPCS)

CPT/HCPCS Code	Code Description/Definition
73700	Computed tomography (CT), lower extremity; without contrast material
73701	Computed tomography (CT), lower extremity; with contrast material
73702	Computed tomography (CT), lower extremity; without contrast material, followed by contrast material(s) and further sections
76380	Computed tomography, limited or localized follow-up study

Medical Evidence

Drezin et al. (2022) review the role of computed tomography (CT) and computed tomography angiography (CTA) in trauma and salvaging a threatened or mangled extremity. When reviewing CT scans to assess complications around the amputation site, attention should focus on signs such as surgical wound opening, ulceration, infection, post-surgical blood collections, lingering bone fragments, abnormal bone growth, excessive scarring, and the maintenance of vascular function. Damage control techniques involve swift actions to manage bleeding and restore blood circulation. Early implementation of fasciotomies may be required, along with immediate temporary realignment and stabilization using splints, traction, or external fixation. The measures aim to safeguard the repaired blood vessels and ensure a smooth connection without tension.¹⁸

Allen et al. (2020) performed an observational study to evaluate the incidence of fractures and ligament injuries among patients presenting with an acute ankle injury and normal findings on radiographic examination while also exploring optimal examination protocols. A total of 100 patients were enrolled in the study - 19 were diagnosed with major fractures, and 42 had small avulsion fractures. Further, 42 patients exhibited ankle effusions, alongside a notable occurrence of soft tissue injuries. CT scans and ultrasound can identify fractures and soft tissue injuries yet may be utilized less frequently in standard clinical practice. The authors also discuss advances in imaging techniques. Research indicates that cone beam CT surpasses ultrasound examination and the traditional combination of clinical assessment and radiography in fracture detection sensitivity. Despite this heightened sensitivity, cone beam CT maintains a radiation exposure level comparable to conventional radiography, suggesting it is a safer and more precise imaging alternative.¹⁹

Kellock et al. (2019) conducted a meta-analysis on the diagnostic accuracy of CT to identify occult proximal femoral fractures. The authors report 13 studies of varied reporting quality that included 1248 patients (496 with hip fractures, 752 without) with MRI or clinical follow-up serving as the reference standard. Fifty false-negative examinations were identified. The pooled sensitivity estimate was 94%, with specificity reaching 100%. The authors conclude that when clinical suspicion arises for occult proximal femoral fracture, and MRI is either contraindicated or inaccessible, CT represents a viable option. In cases where clinical concern persists despite normal CT results, MRI may be indicated.²⁰

References

1. Expert Panel on Musculoskeletal Imaging, Stanborough R, Demertzis JL, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria - malignant or aggressive primary musculoskeletal tumor-staging and surveillance: 2022 update. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2022 Nov;19(11S):S374-S389. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2022.09.015. PMID: 36436964.
2. Expert Panel on Musculoskeletal Imaging, Garner HW, Wessell DE, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria - soft tissue masses: 2022 update. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2023 May;20(5S):S234-S245. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2023.02.009. PMID: 37236746.
3. Expert Panel on Musculoskeletal Imaging, Bestic JM, Wessell DE, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria - primary bone tumors. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2020 May;17(5S):S226-S238. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2020.01.038. PMID: 32370967.
4. Expert Panel on Major Trauma Imaging, Shyu JY, Khurana B, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria - major blunt trauma. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2020 May;17(5S):S160-S174. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2020.01.024. PMID: 32370960.
5. Expert Panel on Musculoskeletal Imaging, Bencardino JT, Stone TJ, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria - stress (fatigue/insufficiency) fracture, including sacrum, excluding other vertebrae. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2017 May;14(5S):S293-S306. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2017.02.035. PMID: 28473086.
6. Expert Panel on Musculoskeletal Imaging, Smith SE, Chang EY, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria - acute trauma to the ankle. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2020 Nov;17(11S):S355-S366. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2020.09.014. PMID: 33153549.
7. Expert Panel on Musculoskeletal Imaging, Gorbachova T, Chang EY, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria - acute trauma to the foot. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2020 May;17(5S):S2-S11. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2020.01.019. PMID: 32370964.
8. Expert Panel on Musculoskeletal Imaging, Subhas N, Wu F, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria - chronic extremity joint pain - suspected inflammatory arthritis, crystalline arthritis, or erosive osteoarthritis: 2022 update. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2023 May;20(5S):S20-S32. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2023.02.020. PMID: 37236743.
9. Expert Panel on Musculoskeletal Imaging, Ha AS, Chang EY, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria - osteonecrosis: 2022 update. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2022 Nov;19(11S):S409-S416. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2022.09.009. PMID: 36436966.
10. Expert Panel on Vascular Imaging, Obara P, McCool J, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria - clinically suspected vascular malformation of the extremities. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2019 Nov;16(11S):S340-S347. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2019.05.013. PMID: 31685102.

11. Expert Panel on Musculoskeletal Imaging, Weissman BN, Palestro CJ, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria – imaging after total hip arthroplasty. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2023 Nov;20(11S):S413–S432. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2023.08.015. PMID: 38040462.
12. Expert Panel on Musculoskeletal Imaging, Walker EA, Fox MG, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria – imaging after total knee arthroplasty: 2023 update. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2023 Nov;20(11S):S433–S454. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2023.08.014. PMID: 38040463.
13. Expert Panel on Musculoskeletal Imaging, Pierce JL, Perry MT, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria – suspected osteomyelitis, septic arthritis, or soft tissue infection (excluding spine and diabetic foot): 2022 update. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2022 Nov;19(11S):S473–S487. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2022.09.013. PMID: 36436971.
14. Expert Panel on Musculoskeletal Imaging, Tafur M, Bencardino JT, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria – chronic foot pain. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2020 Nov;17(11S):S391–S402. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2020.09.015. PMID: 33153552.
15. Davenport MS, Perazella MA, Yee J, et al. Use of intravenous iodinated contrast media in patients with kidney disease: Consensus statements from the American College of Radiology and the National Kidney Foundation. *Kidney Med*. 2020 Jan 22;2(1):85–93. doi: 10.1016/j.xkme.2020.01.001. PMID: 33015613; PMCID: PMC7525144.
16. The Image Gently Alliance. Procedures – image gentle and CT scans. Updated 2014. Accessed June 26, 2024. <https://www.imagegently.org/Procedures/Computed-Tomography>.
17. National Cancer Institute. Radiation risks and pediatric computed tomography (CT): A guide for health care. Updated September 4, 2018. Accessed June 26, 2024. <https://www.cancer.gov/about-cancer/causes-prevention/risk/radiation/pediatric-ct-scans>.
18. Dreizin D, Smith EB, Champ K, et al. Roles of trauma CT and CTA in salvaging the threatened or mangled extremity. *Radiographics*. 2022 Mar-Apr;42(2):E50–E67. doi: 10.1148/rg.210092. PMID: 35230918; PMCID: PMC8906352.
19. Allen GM, Wilson DJ, Bullock SA, et al. Extremity CT and ultrasound in the assessment of ankle injuries: Occult fractures and ligament injuries. *Br J Radiol*. 2020 Jan;93(1105):20180989. doi: 10.1259/bjr.20180989. PMID: 31742428; PMCID: PMC6948070.
20. Kellock TT, Khurana B, Mandell JC. Diagnostic performance of CT for occult proximal femoral fractures: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2019 Dec;213(6):1324–1330. doi: 10.2214/AJR.19.21510. PMID: 31461322.

Clinical Guideline Revision History/Information

Original Date: April 29, 2022		
Review History		
Version 2	9/5/2024	Annual review and policy restructure.