cohere EALTH н

Cohere Medical Policy - Magnetic Resonance Angiography (MRA), Head Clinical Guidelines for Medical Necessity Review

Version: 2 Effective Date: August 29, 2024

Important Notices

Notices & Disclaimers:

GUIDELINES ARE SOLELY FOR COHERE'S USE IN PERFORMING MEDICAL NECESSITY REVIEWS AND ARE NOT INTENDED TO INFORM OR ALTER CLINICAL DECISION-MAKING OF END USERS.

Cohere Health, Inc. ("**Cohere**") has published these clinical guidelines to determine the medical necessity of services (the "**Guidelines**") for informational purposes only, and solely for use by Cohere's authorized "**End Users**". These Guidelines (and any attachments or linked third-party content) are not intended to be a substitute for medical advice, diagnosis, or treatment directed by an appropriately licensed healthcare professional. These Guidelines are not in any way intended to support clinical decision-making of any kind; their sole purpose and intended use is to summarize certain criteria Cohere may use when reviewing the medical necessity of any service requests submitted to Cohere by End Users. Always seek the advice of a qualified healthcare professional regarding any medical questions, treatment decisions, or other clinical guidance. The Guidelines, including any attachments or linked content, are subject to change at any time without notice.

©2024 Cohere Health, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

Other Notices:

HCPCS® and CPT® copyright 2024 American Medical Association. All rights reserved.

Fee schedules, relative value units, conversion factors and/or related components are not assigned by the AMA, are not part of CPT, and the AMA is not recommending their use. The AMA does not directly or indirectly practice medicine or dispense medical services. The AMA assumes no liability for data contained or not contained herein.

HCPCS and CPT are registered trademarks of the American Medical Association.

Guideline Information:

Specialty Area: Diagnostic Imaging **Guideline Name:** Cohere Medical Policy - Magnetic Resonance Angiography (MRA), Head

Date of last literature review: 8/22/2024 Document last updated: 8/29/2024 Type: [X] Adult (18+ yo) | [X] Pediatric (0-17 yo)

Table of Contents

Important Notices	2
Table of Contents	3
Medical Necessity Criteria	4
Service: Magnetic Resonance Angiography (MRA), Head	4
Recommended Clinical Approach	4
Medical Necessity Criteria	4
Indications	4
Non-Indications	8
Level of Care Criteria	8
Procedure Codes (CPT/HCPCS)	9
Medical Evidence	10
References	
Clinical Guideline Revision History/Information	15

Medical Necessity Criteria

Service: Magnetic Resonance Angiography (MRA), Head

Recommended Clinical Approach

Imaging analysis utilizing magnetic resonance angiography (MRA) of the head can be performed alone or in conjunction with MRA of the neck. Contrast and concurrent exams should be guided by clinical suspicion of disease presence or exclusion to direct value-based care. Staging, pre-surgical planning, and screening are also recommendations of a clinical approach. MRA plays a crucial role in the routine assessment of patients experiencing stroke syndrome, specifically for the evaluation of both cervical and intracranial vessels, enabling the identification and diagnosis of vascular anomalies. In conjunction with MRI, MRA enhances the examination by providing a comprehensive analysis of the cerebral parenchyma. MRA also serves as a viable alternative to CT angiography when using iodinated contrast material is not feasible.

Medical Necessity Criteria

Indications

- → Magnetic resonance angiography (MRA), head is considered appropriate if ALL of the following are TRUE¹⁻²:
 - CTA is contraindicated or inconclusive (e.g., anaphylactic reaction due to IV contrast reaction, pregnancy, pediatric); AND
 - **ANY** of the following is **TRUE**:
 - Trauma-related conditions as indicated by **ANY** of the following:
 - Trauma of the head with a suspected intracranial arterial injury based on clinical findings or prior imaging³; OR
 - Traumatic injury to cervicocerebral vessels, suspected⁴; OR

- Traumatic visual defect (including orbital injury)⁵; **OR**
- Vascular conditions, known or suspected, including ANY of the following:
 - Aneurysm with **ANY** of the following:
 - Screening for cerebral artery aneurysm when
 ANX of the following in TPUE²⁵⁻³²:
 - **ANY** of the following is **TRUE**²⁵⁻³²:
 - Autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease (adults); OR
 - The patient has two or more first-degree relatives (parent, brother, sister, or child) with a history of intracranial aneurysm;
 OR
 - The patient is symptomatic with one first-degree relative who has a history of intracranial aneurysm³³⁻³⁶; OR
 - Fibromuscular dysplasia; **OR**
 - Loeys-Dietz syndrome; **OR**
 - Spontaneous coronary arteries dissection (SCAD); OR
 - Known aortic coarctation (after age 10);
 OR
 - **ANY** of the following:
 - Diagnosis of intracranial hemorrhage; OR
 - Diagnosis of subarachnoid hemorrhage;
 OR
 - Intracerebral hemorrhage, known or suspected¹²; OR
 - The patient has a history of subarachnoid hemorrhages⁶; OR
 - Cervical bruit or thrill with suspicion of neck carotid stenosis; OR
 - Headache with **ANY** of the following:
 - ◆ Acute onset worst/thunderclap headache[⊥]; **OR**
 - New onset or pattern during pregnancy or peripartum period; OR
 - Associated with exercise, exertion, Valsalva, or sexual activity; OR
 - Intracranial arterial dissection, suspected; OR

- Intracranial occlusive disease (arterial or venous), suspected¹³ OR
- Intracranial hypertension (idiopathic), suspected; OR
- \circ Vascular disease¹³⁻¹⁵; OR
- Vascular malformation of the brain or skull base including **ANY** of the following occult cerebrovascular malformations:
 - ◆ Capillary telangiectasia; OR
 - Cavernous angioma; **OR**
 - Developmental venous anomaly; OR
- Vasculitis, suspected¹⁰; OR
- Signs/symptoms highly suggestive of leaking/ruptured internal carotid artery (ICA) or arteriovenous malformations (AVM) (e.g., blood in the cerebral spinal fluid, stiff neck, sudden explosive headache); OR
- Stroke/cerebrovascular accident (CVA); OR
- $\circ~$ Transient ischemic attack (TIA) $^{\mbox{\tiny I6}}$; OR
- Vertebral basilar insufficiency (VBI), suspected, with
 ANY of the following symptoms:
 - ♦ Abnormal speech; OR
 - Ataxia and weakness in both sides of the body;
 OR
 - Blindness; OR
 - Diplopia; OR
 - Dizziness; OR
 - Dysarthria; OR
 - Dysphagia; OR
 - Headache; OR
 - ♦ Vertigo; **OR**
 - ♦ Vomiting; **OR**
- For evaluation of **ANY** of the following
 - uncategorized/miscellaneous symptoms when applicable:
 - Massive epistaxis; OR
 - Neurological deficit(s) (focal or lateralizing); OR
 - Nontraumatic orbital asymmetry, exophthalmos, or enophthalmos⁵; OR
 - Ptosis (new-onset); **OR**

- Pulsatile tinnitus (subjective or objective) for vascular etiology; OR
- Visual loss (e.g., nonischemic)⁵; **OR**
- Optic nerve symptoms⁵; **OR**
- Chiasm symptoms (including pre- or post chiasm)⁵;
 OR
- Ophthalmoplegia⁵; **OR**
- Diplopia⁵; OR
- Preoperative, postoperative, or pre-treatment evaluation for **ANY** of the following:
 - Refractory trigeminal neuralgia when done for surgical planning; OR
 - Follow-up study for **ANY** of the following¹⁴⁻¹⁵:
 - Arteriovenous fistula (AVF); OR
 - Intracranial aneurysm; OR
 - Preoperative evaluation for **ANY** of the following:
 - Carotid endarterectomy or percutaneous intervention with ANY of the following¹⁷:
 - Acute stroke, and performed in conjunction with neck MRA; OR
 - **ANY** of the following duplex (Doppler) scan findings:
 - Findings are indeterminate; **OR**
 - High carotid bifurcation; **OR**
 - High-grade stenosis in an asymptomatic patient; OR
 - ♦ Kinks; OR
 - ♦ Loops; OR
 - History of neck radiation therapy; **OR**
 - Delineation of the vascular supply of vascular neoplasm¹⁸⁻¹⁹; OR
 - Vascular malformation of brain or skull base;
 OR
 - Post-procedural evaluation following surgical or endovascular therapy (e.g., coiling)⁶; OR
 - Vascular anatomy to determine the effect of therapeutic interventions, as indicated by **ANY** of the following:
 - ♦ Aneurysm; OR
 - ♦ Arteriovenous malformation (AVM)²⁰; OR

- ◆ Dissection²¹; **OR**
- Endovascular embolization; **OR**
- Post-treatment changes for ANY of the following:
 - Surgical procedures (including interventional); **OR**
 - Radiation therapy; **OR**
- Stent placement for treatment of stenosis; OR
- Tumor embolization; **OR**
- Magnetic resonance venography (MRV), head is considered appropriate if ALL of the following are TRUE:
 - Ultrasound and CT venography (CTV) are contraindicated or inconclusive (e.g., body habitus for ultrasound, anaphylactic reaction due to IV contrast reaction, pregnancy, pediatric); AND
 - **ANY** of the following is **TRUE**:
 - Vascular conditions, known or suspected, including ANY of the following:
 - Venous/dural sinus abnormalities such as ANY of the following:
 - Acquired thrombosis/occlusion; OR
 - Venous sinus stenosis; **OR**
 - Large vein injury secondary to trauma; **OR**
 - Vascular invasion or displacement by tumor;
 OR
 - Headache with **ANY** of the following:
 - With new onset or pattern during pregnancy or peripartum period; OR
 - Headache with features of intracranial hypertension (e.g., papilledema, pulsatile tinnitus, visual symptoms worse with Valsalva)¹;
 OR
 - Initial diagnostic, one-time pre or one-time post-treatment evaluation for treatment planning or evidence of clinical concern for vascular malformation; **OR**
- Repeat imaging of a specific area or structure using the same imaging modality (in the absence of an existing follow-up guideline) is considered appropriate when ALL of the following is

TRUE:

- There is documented clinical necessity; AND
- Prior imaging results of the specific area or structure, obtained using the same imaging modality, must be documented and available for comparison; **AND**
- **ANY** of the following is **TRUE**:
 - A change in clinical status, such as worsening symptoms or the emergence of new symptoms, that may influence the treatment approach; OR
 - The requirement for interval reassessment, which may alter the treatment plan; **OR**
 - One-time follow-up of a prior indeterminate finding to assess for interval change; OR
 - The need for re-imaging either before or after performing an invasive procedure.

Non-Indications

- → Magnetic resonance angiography (MRA) or magnetic resonance venography (MRV), head is not considered appropriate if ANY of the following is TRUE:
 - The patient has undergone advanced imaging of the same body part within 3 months without undergoing treatment or developing new or worsening symptoms; OR
 - If contrast is used, history of anaphylactic allergic reaction to gadolinium contrast media with detailed guidelines for use in patients with renal insufficiency; OR
 - The patient has metallic clips on vascular aneurysms; OR
 - Incompatible implantable devices (e.g., pacemakers, defibrillators, cardiac valves); OR
 - Metallic foreign body in orbits/other critical area(s) or within the field of view and obscuring area of concern.

*NOTE: MRI in patients with claustrophobia should be requested at the discretion of the ordering provider.

**NOTE: MRI in pregnant patients should be requested at the discretion of the ordering provider and obstetric care provider.

Level of Care Criteria Inpatient or Outpatient

Procedure Codes (CPT/HCPCS)

CPT/ HCPCS Code	Code Description	
70544	Magnetic resonance angiography (MRA), head; without contrast material(s)	
70545	Magnetic resonance angiography (MRA), head; with contrast material(s)	
70546	Magnetic resonance angiography (MRA), head; without contrast material(s), followed by contrast material(s) and further sequences	

Medical Evidence

Amin et al. (2023) present a scientific statement from the American Heart Association regarding the diagnosis, workup, and risk reduction of transient ischemic attack in the emergency department. Computed tomography angiography (CTA) demonstrates superior sensitivity and positive predictive value compared to magnetic resonance angiography (MRA) in detecting intracranial stenosis and occlusion. As a result, CTA is the recommended imaging modality over time-of-flight (without contrast) MRA. If there is a concern regarding administering iodinated contrast, expedited magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) with MRA is a viable alternative. Time-of-flight MRA may result in images of lower quality as there is a tendency to overestimate cervical carotid stenosis compared to gadolinium-enhanced MRA. However, this type of MRA may be suitable for screening purposes. Gadolinium-enhanced MRA of the neck is the preferred choice for patients

who can safely receive gadolinium contrast.²²

AbuRahma et al. (2022) review clinical guidelines for managing extracranial cerebrovascular disease published by the Society for Vascular Surgery. Contrast-enhanced MRA can produce three-dimensional images that rival those from a formal arteriography. A key advantage of MRA is less radiation exposure to the individual, and the use of iodinated-based contrast materials is not needed. Further, MRA allows for the integration of MRI of the brain, enabling the identification of clinically silent cerebral infarction. It also facilitates the assessment of plaque morphology, focusing on detecting intraplaque hemorrhage. The severity of carotid stenosis is more identifiable with MRA than CTA. While MRA excels in various aspects, it is unsuitable for screening carotid artery disease due to its substantial cost.²³

Cummins et al. (2022) discuss the role of TOF MRA for pulsatile tinnitus (PT) and the identification of vascular causes of PT, including dural arteriovenous fistulas (DAVFs). The annual intracranial hemorrhage risk of DAVFs is over 24%. TOF-MRA is considered one of the most sensitive and specific noninvasive methods for diagnosing DAVF. The diagnosis of arterial aneurysms is aided by the use of TOF MRA, with a sensitivity greater than 90% and specificity over 80%. Stenoses are also detected by MRA (a sensitivity of 95.5% and specificity of 87.2%). When severe carotid artery stenosis is a cause of PT, the sensitivity

and specificity of TOF MRA is nearly 100%. Advantages of MRA include a greater pooled sensitivity for diagnosis than CT as well as excellent spatial resolution and is the most powerful sequence for DAVF diagnosis. In addition, MRA can diagnose intracranial and high cervical arterial etiologies (e.g., fibromuscular dysplasia, carotid stenosis, variant anatomy). Disadvantages include high cost, scanning time, an the dephasing of tortuous vessels.²⁴

References

- American College of Radiology (ACR), North American Society for Cardiovascular Imaging (NASCI), Society for Pediatric Radiology (SPR). ACR-NASCI-SPR practice parameter for the performance of body magnetic resonance angiography (MRA). Published 2020. Accessed January 8, 2024. https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/Practice-Parameters/Body-MRA.pdf.
- Rahman WT, Griauzde J, Chaudhary N, et al. Neurovascular emergencies: Imaging diagnosis and neurointerventional treatment. *Emerg Radiol*. 2017 Apr;24(2):183-193. doi: 10.1007/s10140-016-1450-x. PMID: 27718098.
- Expert Panel on Neurological Imaging, Shih RY, Burns J, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria - head trauma: 2021 update. J Am Coll Radiol. 2021 May;18(5S):S13-S36. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2021.01.006. PMID: 33958108.
- Rutman AM, Vranic JE, Mossa-Basha M. Imaging and management of blunt cerebrovascular injury. *Radiographics*. 2018 Mar-Apr;38(2):542-563. doi: 10.1148/rg.2018170140. PMID: 29528828.
- Expert Panel on Neurologic Imaging, Kennedy TA, Corey AS, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria - orbits vision and visual loss. J Am Coll Radiol. 2018 May;15(5S):S116-S131. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2018.03.023. PMID: 29724415.
- Expert Panel on Neurologic Imaging, Salmela MB, Mortazavi S, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria - cerebrovascular disease. J Am Coll Radiol. 2017 May;14(5S):S34-S61. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2017.01.051. PMID: 28473091.
- Thompson BG, Brown Jr RD, Amin-Hanjani S, et al. Guidelines for the management of patients with unruptured intracranial aneurysms: A guideline for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. Stroke. 2015 Aug;46(8):2368-400. doi: 10.1161/STR.00000000000000070. PMID: 26089327.
- Howard BM, Hu R, Barrow JW, et al. Comprehensive review of imaging of intracranial aneurysms and angiographically negative subarachnoid hemorrhage. *Neurosurg Focus*. 2019 Dec 1;47(6):E20. doi: 10.3171/2019.9.FOCUS19653. PMID: 31786554.
- Grossberg JA, Howard BM, Saindane AM. The use of contrast-enhanced, time-resolved magnetic resonance angiography in cerebrovascular pathology. *Neurosurg Focus*. 2019 Dec 1;47(6):E3. doi: 10.3171/2019.9.FOCUS19627. PMID: 31786556.

- Expert Panel on Neurologic Imaging, Ledbetter LN, Burns J, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria - cerebrovascular diseases, aneurysm, vascular malformation, and subarachnoid hemorrhage. J Am Coll Radiol. 2021 Nov;18(11S):S283-S304. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2021.08.012. PMID: 34794589.
- Expert Panel on Neurologic Imaging, Utukuri PS, Shih RY, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria - headache. Revised 2022. Accessed January 17, 2024. https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69482/Narrative/.
- Barnaure I, Liberato AC, Gonzalez RG, et al. Isolated intraventricular haemorrhage in adults. *Br J Radiol*. 2017 Jan;90(1069):20160779. doi: 10.1259/bjr.20160779. PMID: 27805421; PMCID: PMC5605039.
- 13. Willinek WA, von Falkenhausen M, Born M, et al. Noninvasive detection of steno-occlusive disease of the supra-aortic arteries with three-dimensional contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance angiography: A prospective, intra-individual comparative analysis with digital subtraction angiography. *Stroke.* 2005 Jan;36(1):38-43. doi: 10.1161/01.STR.0000149616.41312.00. PMID: 15569881.
- 14. Cosottini M, Pingitore A, Puglioli M, et al. Contrast-enhanced three-dimensional magnetic resonance angiography of atherosclerotic internal carotid stenosis as the noninvasive imaging modality in revascularization decision making. *Stroke*. 2003 Mar;34(3):660-4. doi: 10.1161/01.STR.0000057462.02141.6F. PMID: 12624288.
- Debrey SM, Yu H, Lynch JK, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of magnetic resonance angiography for internal carotid artery disease: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Stroke.* 2008;39:2237-48. doi: 10.1161/ STROKEAHA.107.509877. PMID: 18556586.
- 16. Kleindorfer DO, Towfighi A, Chaturvedi S, et al. 2021 guideline for the prevention of stroke in patients with stroke and transient ischemic attack: A guideline from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. Stroke. 2021 Jul;52(7):e364-e467. doi: 10.1161/STR.000000000000375. PMID: 34024117.
- 17. Brott TG, Halperin JL, Abbara S, et al. 2011 ASA/ACCF/AHA/AANN/AANS/ ACR/ASNR/CNS/SAIP/SCAI/SIR/SNIS/SVM/SVS guideline on the management of patients with extracranial carotid and vertebral artery disease. A report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines, and the American Stroke Association, American Association of Neuroscience Nurses, American Association of Neurological Surgeons, American College of Radiology, American Society of

Neuroradiology, Congress of Neurological Surgeons, Society of Atherosclerosis Imaging and Prevention, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, Society of Interventional Radiology, Society of NeuroInterventional Surgery, Society for Vascular Medicine, and Society for Vascular Surgery. *Circulation*. 2011 Jul 26;124(4):e54-130. doi: 10.1161/CIR.0b013e31820d8c98. PMID: 21282504. Erratum in: *Circulation*. 2011 Jul 26;124(4):e146; *Circulation*. 2012 Jul 10;126(2):e26.

- 18. American College of Radiology (ACR), American Society of Neuroradiology (ASNR), Society of NeuroInterventional Surgery (SNIS), Society for Pediatric Radiology (SPR). ACR-ASNR-SNIS-SPR practice parameter for the performance of cervicocerebral magnetic resonance angiography (MRA) - resolution 43. Updated 2020. Accessed February 1, 2024. https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/Practice- Parameters/ CervicoCerebralMRA.pdf.
- Expert Panel on Neurological Imaging, Hagiwara M, Policeni B, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria - sinonasal disease: 2021 update. J Am Coll Radiol. 2022 May;19(5S):S175-S193. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2022.02.011. PMID: 35550800.
- 20. Derdeyn CP, Zipfel GJ, Albuquerque FC, et al. Management of brain arteriovenous malformations: A scientific statement for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. *Stroke.* 2017 Aug;48(8):e200-e224. doi: 10.1161/STR.000000000000134. PMID: 28642352.
- Hayes SN, Kim ESH, Saw J, et al. Spontaneous coronary artery dissection: Current state of the science: A scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2018 May 8; 137(19):e523-e557. doi: 10.1161/CIR.00000000000564. PMID: 29472380; PMCID: PMC5957087.
- 22. Amin HP, Madsen TE, Bravata DM, et al. Diagnosis, workup, risk reduction of transient ischemic attack in the emergency department setting: A scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Stroke*. 2023 Mar;54(3):e109-e121. doi: 10.1161/STR.0000000000000418. PMID: 36655570.
- 23. AbuRahma AF, Avgerinos ED, Chang RW, et al. Society for Vascular Surgery clinical practice guidelines for management of extracranial cerebrovascular disease. *J Vasc Surg*. 2022 Jan;75(1S):4S-22S. doi: 10.1016/j.jvs.2021.04.073. PMID: 34153348.
- 24.Cummins DD, Caton MT, Shah V, etc. MRI and MR angiography evaluation of pulsatile tinnitus: A focused, physiology-based protocol. *J Neuroimaging*. 2022 Mar;32(2):253-263. doi: 10.1111/jon.12955. PMID: 34910345; PMCID: PMC8917066.

- 25. Hitchcock E, Gibson WT. A review of the genetics of intracranial berry aneurysms and implications for genetic counseling. *J Genet Couns*. 2017;26(1):21-31. doi:10.1007/s10897-016-0029-8
- 26. Jung WS, Kim JH, Ahn SJ, et al. Prevalence of intracranial aneurysms in patients with aortic dissection. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 2017;38(11):2089-2093. doi:10.3174/ajnr.A5359
- 27. Egbe AC, Padang R, Brown RD, et al. Prevalence and predictors of intracranial aneurysms in patients with bicuspid aortic valve. *Heart*. 2017;103(19):1508-1514. doi:10.1136/heartjnl-2016- 311076
- 28.Rouchaud A, Brandt MD, Rydberg AM, et al. Prevalence of intracranial aneurysms in patients with aortic aneurysms. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. Sep 2016;37(9):1664-8. doi:10.3174/ajnr.A4827
- 29. Pickard SS, Prakash A, Newburger JW, Malek AM, Wong JB. Screening for intracranial aneurysms in coarctation of the aorta: a decision and cost-effectiveness analysis. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes*. 2020;13 (8):e006406. doi:10.1161/circoutcomes.119.006406
- 30.Xu HW, Yu SQ, Mei CL, Li MH. Screening for intracranial aneurysm in 355 patients with autosomal- dominant polycystic kidney disease. *Stroke*. 2011;42(1):204-6. doi:10.1161/strokeaha.110.578740
- 31. Malhotra A, Wu X, Matouk CC, Forman HP, Gandhi D, Sanelli P. MR angiography screening and surveillance for intracranial aneurysms in autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease: a cost- effectiveness analysis. *Radiology*. 2019;291(2):400-408. doi:10.1148/radiol.2019181399
- 32. Flahault A, Joly D. Screening for intracranial aneurysms in patients with autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol.* Aug 7 2019;14(8):1242-1244.
- 33.Bederson JB, Awad IA, Wiebers DO, et al. Recommendations for the management of patients with unruptured intracranial aneurysms: A statement for healthcare professionals from the Stroke Council of the American Heart Association. *Stroke*. 2000 Nov;31(11):2742-50. doi: 10.1161/01.str.31.11.2742. PMID: 11062304.
- 34.Rinkel GJ, Ruigrok YM. Preventive screening for intracranial aneurysms. Int J Stroke. 2022 Jan;17(1):30-36. doi: 10.1177/17474930211024584. PMID: 34042530; PMCID: PMC8739572.
- 35. Magnetic Resonance Angiography in Relatives of Patients with Subarachnoid Hemorrhage Study Group. Risks and benefits of screening for intracranial aneurysms in first-degree relatives of patients with sporadic subarachnoid hemorrhage. *N Engl J Med.* 1999 Oct 28;341(18):1344-50. doi: 10.1056/NEJM199910283411803. PMID: 10536126.

36.Brown Jr RD, Huston J, Hornung R, et al. Screening for brain aneurysm in the Familial Intracranial Aneurysm study: Frequency and predictors of lesion detection. *J Neurosurg*. 2008 Jun;108(6):1132–8. doi: 10.3171/JNS/2008/108/6/1132. PMID: 18518716; PMCID: PMC4190025.

Clinical Guideline Revision History/Information

Original Date:			
Review History			
Version 2	08/29/2024	Annual review and policy restructure	