



Cohere Medicare Advantage Policy – Computed Tomography (CT), Spine (Cervical, Thoracic, and Lumbar)

Clinical Policy for Medical Necessity Review

Version: 2.1

Cohere Health UMC Approval Date: October 2, 2025

Last Annual Review: October 2, 2025

Revision: November 21, 2025

Next Annual Review: October 2, 2026

Important Notices

Notices & Disclaimers:

GUIDELINES ARE SOLELY FOR COHERE’S USE IN PERFORMING MEDICAL NECESSITY REVIEWS AND ARE NOT INTENDED TO INFORM OR ALTER CLINICAL DECISION-MAKING OF END USERS.

Cohere Health, Inc. (“**Cohere**”) has published these clinical guidelines to determine the medical necessity of services (the “**Guidelines**”) for informational purposes only, and solely for use by Cohere’s authorized “**End Users**”. These Guidelines (and any attachments or linked third-party content) are not intended to be a substitute for medical advice, diagnosis, or treatment directed by an appropriately licensed healthcare professional. These Guidelines are not in any way intended to support clinical decision-making of any kind; their sole purpose and intended use is to summarize certain criteria Cohere may use when reviewing the medical necessity of any service requests submitted to Cohere by End Users. Always seek the advice of a qualified healthcare professional regarding any medical questions, treatment decisions, or other clinical guidance. The Guidelines, including any attachments or linked content, are subject to change at any time without notice. This policy may be superseded by existing and applicable Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) statutes.

© 2025 Cohere Health, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

Other Notices:

HCPCS® and CPT® copyright 2025 American Medical Association. All rights reserved.

Fee schedules, relative value units, conversion factors and/or related components are not assigned by the AMA, are not part of CPT, and the AMA is not recommending their use. The AMA does not directly or indirectly practice medicine or dispense medical services. The AMA assumes no liability for data contained or not contained herein.

HCPCS and CPT are registered trademarks of the American Medical Association.

Policy Information:

Specialty Area: Diagnostic Imaging

Policy Name: Cohere Medicare Advantage Policy - Computed Tomography (CT), Spine (Cervical, Thoracic, and Lumbar)

Type: Adult (18+ yo) | Pediatric (0-17 yo)

Table of Contents

Important Notices	2
Medical Necessity Criteria	4
Service: Computed Tomography (CT), Spine (Cervical, Thoracic, and Lumbar)	4
Related CMS Documents	4
Description	4
Medical Necessity Criteria	5
Indications	5
Non-Indications	5
Definitions	5
Level of Care Criteria	5
Procedure Codes (CPT/HCPCS)	5
Evaluation of Clinical Harms and Benefits	7
Medical Evidence	9
References	10
Policy Revision History/Information	11

Medical Necessity Criteria

Service: Computed Tomography (CT), Spine (Cervical, Thoracic, and Lumbar)

Related CMS Documents

Please refer to the [CMS Medicare Coverage Database](#) for the most current applicable CMS National Coverage.¹⁻⁵

- [National Coverage Determination \(NCD\). Computed tomography \(220.1\)](#)
- [Local Coverage Determination \(LCD\). MRI and CT scans of the head and neck \(L37373\)](#)
 - [Billing and Coding: MRI and CT scans of the head and neck \(A57204\)](#)
- [Local Coverage Determination \(LCD\). Multiple imaging in oncology \(L35391\)](#)
 - [Billing and Coding: Multiple imaging in oncology \(A56848\)](#)

Description

Computed tomography (CT) utilizes ionizing radiation to create images based on varying absorption of X-rays by different tissues. This technology provides detailed cross-sectional views and enables the generation of multidimensional 2-D and 3-D reconstructions. CT scanning is valuable in clinical practice, particularly for evaluating spinal structures. A CT scan (non-contrast) is appropriate in the setting of acute trauma or suspected fracture. CT myelogram (CT with contrast) is a two-part procedure—iodinated contrast is first injected into the spinal canal under fluoroscopic guidance before a CT scan covering the region of concern is performed.⁶⁻⁸

Medical Necessity Criteria

Indications

Computed tomography (CT), spine (cervical/thoracic/ lumbar) is considered appropriate when **ANY** of the following is **TRUE**:

- New onset pain or radiculopathy without trauma or significant mechanism of injury with **ALL** of the following:
 - MRI is contraindicated or cannot be performed; **AND**
 - Documented failure of at least 6 weeks of conservative treatment within the past 6 months, including **ALL** of the following³⁻⁶:
 - Anti-inflammatory medications, non-opioid analgesics, or prescription medications (e.g., oral steroids, neuropathic pain medications) if not contraindicated; **AND**
 - Physical therapy, chiropractic care, or a provider-directed home exercise program (HEP)^A; **OR**
- Spondylosis/spondylolisthesis of the lumbar spine with **ANY** of the following⁷⁻¹⁰:
 - Pediatric patient with equivocal radiographs; **OR**
 - Adult patient with **ALL** of the following:
 - Equivocal radiographs; **AND**
 - MRI is contraindicated or cannot be performed; **AND**
 - Documented failure of at least 6 weeks of conservative treatment in the past 6 months, including **ALL** of the following³⁻⁶:
 - Anti-inflammatory medications, non-opioid analgesics, or prescription medications (e.g., oral steroids, neuropathic pain medications) if not contraindicated; **AND**
 - Physical therapy, chiropractic care, or a provider-directed home exercise program (HEP)^A; **OR**
- New onset symptoms without trauma or significant mechanism of injury and **ALL** of the following¹⁻³:
 - MRI is indeterminate or cannot be performed; **AND**
 - **ANY** of the following:
 - Myelopathic symptoms^B; **OR**
 - Bladder dysfunction; **OR**
 - Bowel dysfunction; **OR**
 - Dermatomal sensory loss not related to peripheral neuropathy; **OR**
 - Objective muscle weakness not related to peripheral neuropathy; **OR**

- Saddle anesthesia; **OR**
- Sexual dysfunction; **OR**
- Suspected amyloid deposition in the spine¹; **OR**
- Cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) leak (may include spontaneous intracranial hypotension)¹; **OR**
- Suspected gout¹; **OR**
- Suspected atlantoaxial instability in a patient with rheumatoid arthritis (RA) with abnormal or inconclusive radiographs of the cervical spine; **OR**
- Known or suspected axial spondyloarthritis (axSpA) (i.e., ankylosing spondylitis [AS], reactive arthritis, psoriatic spondyloarthritis, enteropathic spondyloarthritis, juvenile spondyloarthritis, undifferentiated spondyloarthritis) with **ALL** of the following^{3,10}:
 - Initial imaging with radiographs; **AND**
 - MRI is contraindicated or cannot be performed; **AND**
 - Ankylosing spondylitis of unclear disease activity while on biologic medication; **OR**
- Diagnosis and surveillance of soft tissue masses/neoplasms (bone, intradural-extramedullary, intramedullary, extradural etc.) and **ANY** of the following^{3,10}:
 - Initial diagnosis of suspected tumor or malignancy as indicated by **ANY** of the following:
 - Abnormal laboratory values; **OR**
 - Inconclusive or abnormal prior imaging; **OR**
 - Suspected metastatic tumor; **OR**
 - To monitor response to treatment for **ANY** of the following¹¹:
 - Baseline imaging (i.e., postoperative); **OR**
 - Periodic imaging of primary site based upon risk of locoregional recurrence; **OR**
 - Long-term follow-up; **OR**
 - End-of-treatment imaging; **OR**
 - Signs or symptoms suggesting recurrence; **OR**
- Suspected or known infection involving the spine, with **ALL** of the following¹²:
 - MRI is indeterminate or cannot be performed; **AND**
 - **ANY** of the following:
 - **ALL** of the following:
 - Signs or symptoms (e.g., new/worsening back or neck pain with or without fever); **AND**

- **ANY** of the following:
 - Abnormal laboratory evaluation (i.e., abnormal white blood cell count, ESR, or CRP); **OR**
 - “Red flag” risk factor (diabetes mellitus, current or prior IV drug use, cancer, HIV, or dialysis); **OR**
 - Decubitus ulcer or wound overlying the spine; **OR**
 - Prior imaging findings concerning for infection; **OR**
 - History of surgical or interventional procedure to the spine with clinical suspicion for infection; **OR**
 - Follow-up imaging of infection with worsening symptoms/laboratory values (i.e., white blood cell count, ESR/CRP) or radiographic findings; **OR**
- Trauma-related conditions, including **ANY** of the following¹³⁻¹⁵:
 - Follow-up to initial imaging (e.g., radiograph, MRI) with positive findings; **OR**
 - Follow-up to inconclusive imaging, with high suspicion for **ANY** of the following injury types:
 - Fracture; **OR**
 - Ligamentous; **OR**
 - New onset post-traumatic radiculopathy with **ALL** of the following:
 - MRI is indeterminate or cannot be performed¹³; **AND**
 - **ANY** of the following:
 - Suspected cauda equina syndrome; **OR**
 - Low back pain with or without radiculopathy and **ANY** of the following risk factors:
 - Low-velocity trauma; **OR**
 - Osteoporosis; **OR**
 - Elderly age; **OR**
 - Chronic steroid use; **OR**
 - Follow-up of acute cervical spine blunt trauma without unstable injury on initial imaging; **OR**
 - Acute cervical, thoracic, or lumbar spine blunt trauma with suspected or confirmed ligamentous, spinal cord, or nerve root injury on CT imaging; **OR**
 - Any suspected thoracolumbar spine trauma in a child; **OR**
 - New onset post-traumatic neurological deficit (myelopathy) following significant trauma⁶ with **ALL** of the following:
 - MRI is indeterminate or cannot be performed¹³; **AND**

- Bladder dysfunction; **OR**
 - Bowel dysfunction; **OR**
 - Fecal incontinence; **OR**
 - Loss of anal sphincter tone; **OR**
 - Objective muscle weakness; **OR**
 - Saddle anesthesia; **OR**
 - Objective dermatomal sensory loss; **OR**
 - Urinary retention or overflow incontinence; **OR**
 - Objective weakness (bilateral or progressive) in the lower extremities that is not related to peripheral neuropathy; **OR**
 - Persistent or worsening post-traumatic pain without acute findings on initial imaging among patients who are high-risk (including patients who are elderly, osteoporotic, or have chronic steroid use); **OR**
- Vascular conditions, known or suspected, including **ANY** of the following¹:
 - Extradiscal vascular malformations; **OR**
 - Spinal cord infarction; **OR**
 - Spinal vascular malformations and/or the cause of occult subarachnoid hemorrhage; **OR**
- Myelopathic symptoms, and **ALL** of the following:
 - MRI is indeterminate or cannot be performed; **AND**
 - **ANY** of the following¹:
 - Connective tissue disorders (e.g., systemic lupus erythematosus); **OR**
 - Muscular dystrophies and myopathies; **OR**
 - **ANY** of the following demyelinating diseases:
 - Transverse myelitis; **OR**
 - Acute disseminated encephalomyelitis; **OR**
 - Acute inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculopathy (Guillain-Barre syndrome); **OR**
 - Chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculopathy (including relapsing polyneuropathy); **OR**
 - Myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein antibody-associated disease; **OR**
 - Neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder; **OR**
- Preoperative, postoperative, or pre-treatment evaluation with **ANY** of the following:
 - Postradiation changes (e.g., myelopathy); **OR**
 - Epidural and subdural fluid collection¹; **OR**

- Pre-procedure assessment for vertebroplasty and kyphoplasty¹⁸ when imaging will impact management¹⁹; **OR**
- Postoperative fluid collections and soft-tissue changes (extradural and intradural)¹; **OR**
- Postoperative with new or worsening neurological symptoms; **OR**
- Ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament (OPLL); **OR**
- Congenital conditions, with **ALL** of the following^{1,20}:
 - MRI is indeterminate or cannot be performed; **AND**
 - **ANY** of the following congenital conditions:
 - Back and neck pain in a child under 16 years of age with red flags (e.g., fevers, chills, malaise, weight loss, decreased appetite, unrelenting pain, night pain that awakens one from sleep, focal neurological signs, loss of bowel or bladder control, neck stiffness, rash, photophobia, confusion)²¹; **OR**
 - Toe walking in a child under 5.5 years of age²²⁻²⁴; **OR**
 - Known high-risk disorders affecting the atlantoaxial articulation (e.g., Down syndrome, Marfan syndrome) with abnormal or inconclusive radiographs of the cervical spine; **OR**
 - Chiari malformation with **ANY** of the following²⁹:
 - There is concern for clinically relevant pathology, such as hydrocephalus or spine syrinx; **OR**
 - To aid treatment planning prior to surgical decompression; **OR**
 - Scoliosis with **ANY** of the following²⁹:
 - Neurological symptoms; **OR**
 - Requiring preoperative assessment; **OR**
 - Worsening pain not previously imaged; **OR**
 - Syringomyelia (syrinx); **OR**
- Repeat imaging (defined as a repeat request following recent imaging of the same anatomic region with the same or similar modality) will be considered reasonable and necessary if **ALL** of the following are **TRUE**:
 - There are no established guidelines; **AND**
 - **ANY** of the following:
 - There are new or worsening symptoms not addressed in the guidelines, such that repeat imaging would influence treatment; **OR**
 - There is need for a one-time clarifying follow-up of a prior indeterminate finding; **OR**
 - In the absence of change in symptoms, there is an established need

for monitoring which would influence management.

Non-Indications

Computed tomography (CT), spine (cervical/thoracic/lumbar) is not considered appropriate if **ANY** of the following is **TRUE**:

- The patient has undergone advanced imaging of the same body part within 3 months without undergoing treatment or developing new or worsening symptoms²⁷; **OR**
- Chronic neck pain in the absence of other criteria³; **OR**
- Plexopathy (non-traumatic, with or without malignancy), including **ANY** of the following²⁸:
 - Brachial; **OR**
 - Lumbosacral; **OR**
- Diagnosis, surveillance, or management of multiple sclerosis (MS).²⁹⁻³⁰

*NOTE: CT in patients with claustrophobia should be requested at the discretion of the ordering provider.

**NOTE: CT in pregnant patients should be requested at the discretion of the ordering provider and obstetric care provider.

***NOTE: CT myelography is an effective alternative to MRI in patients with pacemakers, metallic implants, extreme obesity, or tremors.

Definitions

^A**Provider-directed home exercise programs (HEP)** should include³¹:

- Patient education of prescribed exercises with written instructions,
- Documentation of patient compliance with the HEP.

^B**Myelopathic symptoms**: Reduction or loss of fine motor skills, gait abnormality, increased muscular reflexes, pathological reflexes, paresthesia of limb, loss of hand dexterity.³²

^C**Trauma**: Blunt trauma, unintentional falls, fall from greater than or equal to 3 ft (0.9 m) or at least 5 stairs, axial load injury, vehicular trauma, high

speed MVC/rollover/ejection, bicycle collision, motorized recreational vehicle accident, firearms injury, or sports-related injury.³³⁻³⁴

Disclaimer on Radiation Exposure in Pediatric Population

Due to the heightened sensitivity of pediatric patients to ionizing radiation, minimizing exposure is paramount. At Cohere, we are dedicated to ensuring that every patient, including the pediatric population, has access to appropriate imaging following accepted guidelines. Radiation risk is dependent mainly on the patient's age at exposure, the organs exposed, and the patient's sex, though there are other variables. The following technical guidelines are provided to ensure safe and effective imaging practices:

Radiation Dose Optimization: Adhere to the lowest effective dose principle for pediatric imaging. Ensure that imaging protocols are specifically tailored for pediatric patients to limit radiation exposure.²⁵⁻²⁶

Alternative Modalities: Prioritize non-ionizing imaging options such as ultrasound or MRI when clinically feasible, as they are less likely to expose the patient to ionizing radiation. For instance, MRI or ultrasound should be considered if they are more likely to provide an accurate diagnosis than CT, fluoroscopy, or radiography.²⁵⁻²⁶

Cumulative Dose Monitoring: Implement systems to track cumulative radiation exposure in pediatric patients, particularly for those requiring multiple imaging studies. Regularly reassess the necessity of repeat imaging based on clinical evaluation.²⁵⁻²⁶

CT Imaging Considerations: When CT is deemed the best method for achieving a correct diagnosis, use the lowest possible radiation dose that still yields reliable diagnostic images.²⁵⁻²⁶

Cohere Imaging Gently Guideline

The purpose of this guideline is to act as a potential override when clinically indicated to adhere to Imaging Gently and Imaging Wisely guidelines and As Low As Reasonably Possible (ALARA) principles.

Level of Care Criteria

Inpatient or Outpatient

Procedure Codes (CPT/HCPCS)

CPT/HCPCS Code	Code Description
72125	Computed tomography (CT), cervical spine; without contrast material
72126	Computed tomography (CT), cervical spine; with contrast material
72127	Computed tomography (CT), cervical spine; without contrast material, followed by contrast material(s) and further sections
72128	Computed tomography (CT), thoracic spine; without contrast material
72129	Computed tomography (CT), thoracic spine; with contrast material
72130	Computed tomography (CT), thoracic spine; without contrast material, followed by contrast material(s) and further sections
72131	Computed tomography (CT), lumbar spine; without contrast material
72132	Computed tomography (CT), lumbar spine; with contrast material
72133	Computed tomography (CT), lumbar spine; without contrast material, followed by contrast material(s) and further sections
76380	Computed tomography, limited or localized follow-up study

Disclaimer: S Codes are non-covered per CMS guidelines due to their experimental or investigational nature.

Evaluation of Clinical Harms and Benefits

Clinical determinations for Medicare Advantage beneficiaries are made in accordance with 42 CFR 422.101 guidance outlining CMS's required approach to decision hierarchy in the setting of NCDs/LCDs identified as being "not fully established". When clinical coverage criteria are "not fully established" Medicare Advantage organizations are instructed to create publicly accessible clinical coverage criteria based on widely-accepted clinical guidelines and/or scientific studies backed by a robust clinical evidence base. Clinical coverage criteria provided by Cohere Health in this manner include coverage rationale and risk/benefit analysis.

The potential clinical harms of using these criteria may include:

- Inherent risk of procedure: There are inherent risks of imaging, including cumulative radiation exposure, contrast, allergy, nephrotoxicity, and contrast extravasation into surrounding tissues.²⁵
- Potential harm to fetus and danger to pregnancy: CT imaging completed during pregnancy confers a dose of ionizing radiation to the fetus and is generally only utilized when the potential benefits of this specific imaging modality outweigh the risks to the pregnancy. Fetal risk includes fetal demise, intrauterine growth restriction, microcephaly, delayed intellectual development, risk of childhood cancer, and fetal thyroid injury.²⁷
- Increased healthcare costs and complications from the inappropriate use of emergency services and additional treatments.

The clinical benefits of using these criteria include:

- Enhanced diagnostics: CT scanning provides fast, painless, noninvasive and accurate diagnosis. In case of emergency, CT scans can expediently reveal internal injuries and bleeding. Comparative meta-analyses among CT, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), and dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry (DEXA) do not distinguish among these modalities in their ability to predict mechanical complications and clinical outcomes in patients with spine surgery.¹⁷⁻¹⁸
- Reproducible imaging of high quality: CT scans of the spine can provide detailed information about spinal vertebrae and soft tissues that cannot be visualized by standard X-rays of the spine. Single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) coupled with CT provides complementary

information because sites of abnormal radiopharmaceutical uptake on the spine are of diagnostic value.¹⁷

- CT permits evaluation of vertebral body height, architecture, and integrity of the posterior cortex and pedicles before VA, which is critical in patients with cortical disruption, posterior cortex osseous retropulsion, and spinal canal compression.³⁰
- Enhanced overall patient satisfaction and healthcare experience
- Appropriate allocation of healthcare resources at the individual beneficiary and population levels.

Medical Evidence

Ahmad et al. (2023) conducted a systematic review on the use of computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) with respect to the correlations between bone mineral density (BMD) derived from scans and dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry (DEXA). A comprehensive analysis of 25 studies was included (15 utilizing CT and 10 utilizing MRI) with a total of 2745 patients. Articles published from 2011 to 2021 were included investigating the associations between CT or MRI measurements, such as CT-derived Hounsfield units (CT-HU) values or MRI parameters, and DEXA-derived BMD, specifically focusing on lumbar spine or hip regions. CT-HU exhibits stronger correlations with DEXA measurements than MRI parameters, however, both CT and MRI demonstrate moderate correlations with DEXA. Additional research is needed within spine surgery cohorts, including inferior correlations in populations with degenerative spine conditions.³⁰

Bäcker et al. (2021) performed a systematic literature review and meta-analysis to assess the sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy of dual-energy computed tomography (DE-CT) in detecting bone marrow edema and disc edema in spinal injuries. Early diagnosis of vertebral injuries is crucial to prevent treatment delays. Imaging modalities such as MRI or DE-CT are necessary to identify bone marrow or disc edemas. The analysis encompassed 13 studies involving 515 patients, 3335 vertebrae, and 926 acute fractures confirmed by MRI, which was used for comparison in 12 publications. DE-CT demonstrated an overall sensitivity of 86.2%, specificity of 91.2%, and accuracy of 89.3%. In addition, five studies reported the accuracy of conventional CT, yielding an overall sensitivity of 81.3%, specificity of 80.7%, and accuracy of 80.9%. Overall, DE-CT shows promise as a diagnostic tool for detecting bone marrow and disc edemas, potentially offering an alternative to MRI, the current gold standard.²⁸

Ghudasara et al. (2019) review the use of postoperative CT following spine surgery. Scans are useful to identify implant locations and integrity, evaluate the efficacy of decompression and intervertebral arthrodesis procedures, and identify associated complications. While metallic implant artifacts may limit postoperative spinal CT scans, advancements in parameter optimization and

metal artifact reduction techniques (e.g., iterative reconstruction and monoenergetic extrapolation methods) offer significant improvements in image quality. Furthermore, they are valuable in detecting and characterizing any postoperative irregularities. Complications following spinal surgery and intervertebral arthroplasty range from issues with implant position and integrity to adjacent segment degeneration, collections, fistulas, pseudo meningoceles, cerebrospinal fluid leaks, and surgical site infections.²⁴

References

1. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS). National Coverage Determination. Computed tomography (220.1). Effective Date March 12, 2008.
<https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/view/ncd.aspx?ncdid=176&ncdver=2&keywordtype=starts&keyword=Computed%20Tomography&bc=0>
2. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS). Local Coverage Determination (LCD). MRI and CT scans of the head and neck (L37373). Revision Effective Date October 23, 2025.
<https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/view/lcd.aspx?LCDId=37373>
3. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS). Billing and Coding: MRI and CT scans of the head and neck (A57204). Revision Effective Date October 23, 2025.
<https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/view/article.aspx?articleid=57204&ver=40&bc=0>
4. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS). Local Coverage Determination (LCD). Multiple imaging in oncology (L35391). Revision Effective Date November 14, 2019.
<https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/view/lcd.aspx?lcdid=35391&ver=17&bc=0>
5. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS). Billing and Coding: Multiple imaging in oncology (A56848). Revision Effective Date January 1, 2025.
<https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/view/article.aspx?articleid=56848&ver=16&bc=0>
6. Hutchins TA, Peckham M, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria - low back pain: 2021 update. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2021 Nov;18(11S):S361-S379. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2021.08.002
7. Eldaya RW, Parsons MS, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Cervical Pain or Cervical Radiculopathy: 2024 Update. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2025;22(5S):S136-S162. doi:10.1016/j.jacr.2025.02.035

8. Agarwal V, Shah LM, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria – myelopathy: 2021 update. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2021 May;18(5S):S73–S82. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2021.01.020
9. Bestic JM, Wessell DE, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria – primary bone tumors. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2020 May;17(5S):S226–S238. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2020.01.038
10. Pinter NK, Pfiffner TJ, Mechtler LL. Neuroimaging of spine tumors. *Handb Clin Neurol*. 2016;136:689–706. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-444-53486-6.00033-8
11. Ackman JB, Chung JH, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria – imaging of mediastinal masses. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2021;18(5S):S37–S51. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2021.01.007
12. de Groot PM, Chung JH, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria – noninvasive clinical staging of primary lung cancer. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2019;16(5S):S184–S195. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2019.02.008
13. Raptis CA, Goldstein A, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria – staging and follow-up of esophageal cancer. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2022;19(11S):S462–S472. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2022.09.008
14. Talbott JF, Shah VN, Uzelac A, et al. Imaging-based approach to extradural infections of the spine. *Semin Ultrasound CT MR*. 2018 Dec;39(6):570–586. doi: 10.1053/j.sult.2018.09.003
15. Ortiz AO, Levitt A, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria – suspected spine infection. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2021 Nov;18(11S):S488–S501. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2021.09.001
16. Beckmann NM, West OC, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria – suspected spine trauma. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2019 May;16(5S):S264–S285. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2019.02.002
17. Khan MA, Jennings JW, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria – management of vertebral compression fractures: 2022 update. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2023 May;20(5S):S102–S124. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2023.02.015
18. McDonald MA, Kirsch CFE, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria – cervical neck pain or cervical radiculopathy. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2019 May;16(5S):S57–S76. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2019.02.023
19. Czuczman GJ, Mandell JC, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria – inflammatory back pain, known or suspected axial spondyloarthritis:

- 2021 update. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2021 Nov;18(11S):S340–S360. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2021.08.003
20. Tan S, Ward MM. Computed tomography in axial spondyloarthritis. *Curr Opin Rheumatol*. 2018 Jul;30(4):334–339. doi: 10.1097/BOR.0000000000000507
21. Kucybała I, Urbanik A, Wojciechowski W. Radiologic approach to axial spondyloarthritis: Where are we now and where are we heading? *Rheumatol Int*. 2018 Oct;38(10):1753–1762. doi: 10.1007/s00296-018-4130-1
22. Boulter DJ, Job J, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria – plexopathy: 2021 update. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2021 Nov;18(11S):S423–S441. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2021.08.014
23. American College of Radiology (ACR), American Society of Neuroradiology (ASNR), American Society of Spine Radiology (ASSR), Society for Pediatric Radiology (SPR). ACR–ASNR–ASSR–SPR practice parameter for the performance of computed tomography (CT) of the spine – resolution 23. Updated 2022. <https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/Practice-Parameters/CT-Spine.pdf>
24. Ghodasara N, Yi PH, Clark K, et al. Postoperative spinal CT: What the radiologist needs to know. *Radiographics*. 2019 Oct;39(6):1840–1861. doi: 10.1148/rg.2019190050
25. The Image Gently Alliance. Procedures – image gently and CT scans. Updated 2014. <https://www.imagegently.org/Procedures/Computed-Tomography>
26. National Cancer Institute. Radiation risks and pediatric computed tomography (CT): A guide for health care. Updated September 4, 2018. <https://www.cancer.gov/about-cancer/causes-prevention/risk/radiation/pediatric-ct-scans>
27. Nguyen T, Bhosale PR, Cassia L, et al. Malignancy in pregnancy: Multimodality imaging and treatment. *Cancer*. 2023;129(10):1479–1491. doi:10.1002/cncr.34688
28. Bäcker HC, Wu CH, Perka C, et al. Dual-energy computed tomography in spine fractures: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Spine Surg*. 2021 Jun;15(3):525–535. doi: 10.14444/8074

29. Holly LT, Batzdorf U. Chiari malformation and syringomyelia. *J Neurosurg Spine*. 2019 Nov 1;31(5):619–628. doi: 10.3171/2019.7.SPINE181139
30. Ahmad A, Crawford 3rd CH, Glassman SD, et al. Correlation between bone density measurements on CT or MRI versus DEXA scan: A systematic review. *N Am Spine Soc J*. 2023 Mar 1;14:100204. doi: 10.1016/j.xnsj.2023.100204
31. Argent R, Daly A, Caulfield B. Patient involvement with home-based exercise programs: Can connected health interventions influence adherence? *JMU*. 2018 Mar 1;6(3):e8518
32. Oyinkan Marquis B, Capone PM. Myelopathy. *Handb Clin Neurol*. 2016;136:1015–1026. doi:10.1016/B978-0-444-53486-6.00052-1
33. MDCalc. Canadian C-Spine Rule. *MDCalc*. MedCalc Software Ltd. 2025. Ostend, Belgium. Accessed November 18, 2025. <https://www.mdcalc.com/calc/696/canadian-c-spine-rule>
34. Schroeder GD, Vaccaro AR, Welch WC, et al. Best practices guidelines: Spine injury. Chicago, IL: American College of Surgeons; March 2022. https://www.facs.org/media/k45gikqv/spine_injury_guidelines.pdf

Policy Revision History/Information

Original Date: October 3, 2024		
Review History		
Version 2	10/02/2025	<p>Annual review.</p> <p>Simplified Harms and Benefits section.</p> <p>Updated Repeat Imaging language.</p> <p>Added non-indication - "The patient has undergone advanced imaging of the same body part within 3 months without undergoing treatment or developing new or worsening symptoms."</p> <p>Rearranged bullets for improved usability and organization.</p> <p>Added citations #3,5,7-9.</p>
Version 2.1	11/21/2025	<p>Per CMS updates:</p> <p>Removed L35175 and A57215- Retired by CMS 10/23/2025</p> <p>Updated links and reference for L37373 and A57204- CMS updated without criteria change</p>