



Cohere Medical Policy – Spinal Osteotomy

Clinical Guidelines for Medical Necessity Review

Version: 1

Effective Date: April 10, 2025

Important Notices

Notices & Disclaimers:

GUIDELINES ARE SOLELY FOR COHERE'S USE IN PERFORMING MEDICAL NECESSITY REVIEWS AND ARE NOT INTENDED TO INFORM OR ALTER CLINICAL DECISION-MAKING OF END USERS.

Cohere Health, Inc. ("**Cohere**") has published these clinical guidelines to determine the medical necessity of services (the "**Guidelines**") for informational purposes only, and solely for use by Cohere's authorized "**End Users**". These Guidelines (and any attachments or linked third-party content) are not intended to be a substitute for medical advice, diagnosis, or treatment directed by an appropriately licensed healthcare professional. These Guidelines are not in any way intended to support clinical decision-making of any kind; their sole purpose and intended use is to summarize certain criteria Cohere may use when reviewing the medical necessity of any service requests submitted to Cohere by End Users. Always seek the advice of a qualified healthcare professional regarding any medical questions, treatment decisions, or other clinical guidance. The Guidelines, including any attachments or linked content, are subject to change at any time without notice.

© 2025 Cohere Health, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

Other Notices:

HCPCS® and CPT® copyright 2025 American Medical Association. All rights reserved.

Fee schedules, relative value units, conversion factors and/or related components are not assigned by the AMA, are not part of CPT, and the AMA is not recommending their use. The AMA does not directly or indirectly practice medicine or dispense medical services. The AMA assumes no liability for data contained or not contained herein.

HCPCS and CPT are registered trademarks of the American Medical Association.

Guideline Information:

Specialty Area: Disorders of the Musculoskeletal System

Guideline Name: Cohere Medical Policy – Spinal Osteotomy

Date of last literature review: 04/09/2025

Document last updated: 04/09/2025

Type: ☒ Adult (18+ yo) | ☒ Pediatric (0-17 yo)

Table of Contents

Important Notices	2
Medical Necessity Criteria	4
Service: Spinal Osteotomy	4
Recommended Clinical Approach	4
Medical Necessity Criteria	4
Indications	4
Non-Indications	5
Level of Care Criteria	5
Procedure Codes (CPT/HCPCS)	6
Medical Evidence	7
References	9
Clinical Guideline Revision History/Information	11

Medical Necessity Criteria

Service: Spinal Osteotomy

Recommended Clinical Approach

Spinal osteotomy encompasses several invasive surgical procedures utilized to correct deformities of the spine including kyphosis, scoliosis, and lordosis. Posterior column osteotomies include the Smith-Peterson or Ponte osteotomy and are generally utilized for patients with non-fixed or mobile deformities in the sagittal or coronal planes. Three-column osteotomy procedures include pedicle subtraction osteotomy or vertebral column resection and are generally performed on patients with fixed or non-mobile, complex deformities. Spinal ligaments, bones, and facet joints may be removed and hardware such as hooks, rods and/or screws may be placed. There is often a high risk of bleeding and neurological complications with spinal osteotomies.¹ The goals of treatment include improving pain, balance, and preventing worsening of the deformity.²⁻³

Medical Necessity Criteria

Indications

- **Spinal osteotomy (cervical, thoracic, or lumbar)** is considered appropriate if **ANY** of the following is **TRUE**:
- ◆ Posterior column osteotomy (Smith-Peterson or Ponte osteotomy) with **ALL** of the following⁴⁻⁵:
 - Radiographic or advanced imaging has confirmed spinal deformity; **AND**
 - **ANY** of the following:
 - Non-fixed (mobile) sagittal plane deformities (less than 30 degrees correction required); **OR**
 - Non-fixed (mobile) coronal plane deformities (less than 30 degrees correction required)⁶; **OR**
 - Long, gradual, rounded kyphosis (e.g., Scheuermann kyphosis)⁷; **AND**
 - Clinical documentation supports that additional conservative treatment interventions (preceding referral to

spine surgeon) would not be expected to yield meaningful improvement; **AND**

- **ANY** of the following symptoms¹:
 - Pain or neurological deficit; **OR**
 - Lack of balance when sitting or standing or functional disability; **OR**
 - Radicular leg pain or weakness; **OR**
 - Decreased cardiopulmonary function⁸; **OR**
- ◆ Three-column osteotomy (pedicle subtraction osteotomy or vertebral column resection) with **ALL** of the following^{3,6}:
 - Radiographic or advanced imaging has confirmed advanced spinal deformity; **AND**
 - **ANY** of the following:
 - Ankylosing spondylitis^{4,9}; **OR**
 - Flatback syndrome; **OR**
 - Fixed (non-mobile) sagittal plane deformity greater than 30 degrees⁹; **OR**
 - Fixed (non-mobile) coronal plane deformity greater than 30 degrees¹; **OR**
 - Thoracic kyphosis greater than 50 degrees⁹; **OR**
 - History of circumferential fusion¹⁰; **AND**
 - Clinical documentation supports that additional conservative treatment interventions (preceding referral to spine surgeon) would not be expected to yield meaningful improvement; **AND**
 - **ANY** of the following symptoms¹:
 - Pain or neurological deficit; **OR**
 - Lack of balance when sitting or standing or functional disability; **OR**
 - Radicular leg pain or weakness; **OR**
 - Decreased cardiopulmonary function.⁸

Non-Indications

→ **Spinal osteotomy** is not considered appropriate if **ANY** of the following is **TRUE**:

- ◆ Inadequate pelvic bone stability to adequately provide spine support in thoracic or lumbar osteotomy^{9,11}; **OR**
- ◆ Poor bone quality¹¹; **OR**

- ◆ The patient has normal sagittal and/or coronal alignment.¹¹

Level of Care Criteria

Inpatient or Outpatient

Procedure Codes (CPT/HCPCS)

CPT/HCPCS Code	Code Description
22206	Osteotomy of spine, posterior or posterolateral approach, 3 columns, 1 vertebral segment (eg, pedicle/vertebral body subtraction); thoracic
22207	Osteotomy of spine, posterior or posterolateral approach, 3 columns, 1 vertebral segment (eg, pedicle/vertebral body subtraction); lumbar
22210	Osteotomy of spine, posterior or posterolateral approach, 1 vertebral segment; cervical
22212	Osteotomy of spine, posterior or posterolateral approach, 1 vertebral segment; thoracic
22214	Osteotomy of spine, posterior or posterolateral approach, 1 vertebral segment; lumbar
22220	Osteotomy of spine, including discectomy, anterior approach, single vertebral segment; cervical
22222	Osteotomy of spine, including discectomy, anterior approach, single vertebral segment; thoracic
22224	Osteotomy of spine, including discectomy, anterior approach, single vertebral segment; lumbar

Medical Evidence

Passias et al (2022) published a retrospective cohort review (2008–2018) of adults with spinal deformity who were greater than or equal to 18 years of age. The three-column osteotomy was stated to have potential for spinal realignment; however, complication rates are high. There were 13 participating centers in the study of these adults having undergone surgical spinal deformity correction with fusion to the pelvis. A total of 752 patients met the inclusion criteria with 138 having received the three-column osteotomy. Group I contained 79 patients and Group II contained 59 patients. 85 percent of patients were treated surgically with a posterior-only approach and 15 percent received an anterior-posterior combination approach. The authors concluded that three-column procedures declined between 2014 and 2018, even in severe deformity cases. An increase in proximal junctional failure (PJF) prophylaxis occurred simultaneously, with a reduction in complication rates and a significant increase in positive patient outcomes.¹²

In a 2024 systematic review, Coskun and colleagues evaluated clinical and radiologic outcomes of posterior column extension, pedicle subtraction, and vertebral column resection osteotomies in adult chin-to-chest deformity. There were 16 non-comparative studies including 288 patients. Of these patients, 107 received posterior vertebral column extension osteotomy and 33 patients underwent vertebral column osteotomy. Spinal levels C7 to T1 were most commonly treated. The group ultimately concluded that the studies reviewed were lower quality and therefore limited the evidence. Corrective osteotomies were determined to have positive results as the visual analog scales and neck disability indexes improved with the patients reviewed in the study.¹³

MacConnell et al (2024) conducted a study of sequential correction of sagittal vertical alignment and lumbar lordosis in adult flatback deformity. Using two groups of human T10–sacrum specimens: one group of degenerative flatback specimens and an iatrogenic group, specimens were subjected to simulated standing posture with a nominal sacral slope of 45 degrees and weighted load was added. To correct the degenerative lumbar flatback deformity, anterior lumbar interbody fusion (ALIF), lateral lumbar

interbody fusion (LLIF), and posterior column osteotomy (PCO) were performed at various lumbar levels. In the iatrogenic specimen group, flatback deformity was created with *in-situ* immobilization and eventually LLIF and PCO performed at the higher lumbar vertebrae areas. The group concluded that placement of ALIF cages in lower lumbar segments markedly improved the degenerative flatback specimens, and LLIF cages in addition to PCO improved alignment in both degenerative and iatrogenic flatback deformities.¹⁴

References

1. Vander Voort WD, Le HV, Klineberg EO. Osteotomy and surgical correction-related complications. In: Patel VV, Kleck CJ, Eds. *Complications in Orthopaedics: Spine Surgery*. 2025. Elsevier; 32:255–261.
2. Kose KC, Bozduman O, Yenigul AE, Iğrek S. Spinal osteotomies: indications, limits and pitfalls. *EFORT Open Rev*. 2017;2:73–82. doi: 10.1302/2058-5241.2.160069.
3. Bourghli A, Boissiere L, Obeid I. Lumbar pedicle subtraction osteotomy: techniques and outcomes. *NASSJ*. 2024;19. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xnsj.2024.100516>.
4. Bridwell KH. Decision-making regarding Smith–Petersen vs. pedicle subtraction osteotomy vs. vertebral column resection for spinal deformity. *SPINE*. 2006; 31(19):S171–S178.
5. Devlin VJ. Revision spine surgery. In: Devlin VJ, Ed. *Spine Secrets*. 3rd ed. Elsevier; 2021; 323–332.e1.
6. Raffa SJ, Boddu JV, Wang MY. Spinal osteotomies. In: Winn HR, Ed. *Youmans & Winn Neurological Surgery*. 8th ed. Elsevier, 2023; 366:2848–2852.e1.
7. Dorward, IG, Lenke LG. Osteotomies in the posterior-only treatment of complex adult spinal deformity; a comparative review. *Neurosurg Focus*. 2010; 28(3):e4. doi: 10.3171/2009.12.FOCUS09259.
8. Cowley RA, Panish B, Mo F. Planning and execution of osteotomies for spinal deformity. *Semin Spine Surg*. 2022; 34:100989.
9. Satin AM, Chen YH, Silber J, Essig D. Sagittal plane deformity: evaluation and management. *Semin Spine Surg*. 2017;208–214. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1053/jsemss.2017.08.006>.
10. Safaee MM, Ames CP, Clark AJ. Posterior-based management of spinal deformity. In: Steinmetz MP, Berven SH, Benzel EC, Eds. *Benzel's Spine Surgery*. 5th ed. Elsevier; 2022; 148:1279–1282.e1.
11. Gupta MC, Gupta S, Kelly MP, Bridwell KH. Pedicle subtraction osteotomy. *JBJS Essential Surgical Techniques*. 2020; 10(1):e0028(1–11) . <http://dx.doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.ST.19.00028>.
12. Passias PG, Krol O, Passfall L, et al. Three-column osteotomy in adult spinal deformity. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2022;104:1895–904. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.21.01172>

13. Coskun E, Wellington IJ, Chaudhary C, et al. Clinical and radiologic outcomes of posterior column extension, pedicle subtraction, and vertebral column resection osteotomies in adult chin on chest deformity. *NASSJ*. 2024; 18. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xnsj.2024.100324>
14. MacConnell A, Krob J, Muriuki MG, et al. Sequential correction of sagittal vertical alignment and lumbar lordosis in adult flatback deformity. *NASSJ*. 2024; 19. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xnsj.2024.100544>.

Clinical Guideline Revision History/Information

Original Date: April 10, 2025		
Review History		