# cohere EALTH н

## Cohere Medical Policy - Computed Tomography Angiography (CTA), Head Clinical Guidelines for Medical Necessity Review

Version: 3 Effective Date: October 30, 2024

#### Notices & Disclaimers:

#### GUIDELINES ARE SOLELY FOR COHERE'S USE IN PERFORMING MEDICAL NECESSITY REVIEWS AND ARE NOT INTENDED TO INFORM OR ALTER CLINICAL DECISION-MAKING OF END USERS.

Cohere Health, Inc. ("**Cohere**") has published these clinical guidelines to determine the medical necessity of services (the "**Guidelines**") for informational purposes only, and solely for use by Cohere's authorized "**End Users**". These Guidelines (and any attachments or linked third-party content) are not intended to be a substitute for medical advice, diagnosis, or treatment directed by an appropriately licensed healthcare professional. These Guidelines are not in any way intended to support clinical decision-making of any kind; their sole purpose and intended use is to summarize certain criteria Cohere may use when reviewing the medical necessity of any service requests submitted to Cohere by End Users. Always seek the advice of a qualified healthcare professional regarding any medical questions, treatment decisions, or other clinical guidance. The Guidelines, including any attachments or linked content, are subject to change at any time without notice.

©2024 Cohere Health, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

#### **Other Notices:**

HCPCS® and CPT® copyright 2024 American Medical Association. All rights reserved.

Fee schedules, relative value units, conversion factors and/or related components are not assigned by the AMA, are not part of CPT, and the AMA is not recommending their use. The AMA does not directly or indirectly practice medicine or dispense medical services. The AMA assumes no liability for data contained or not contained herein.

HCPCS and CPT are registered trademarks of the American Medical Association.

#### Guideline Information:

**Specialty Area:** Diagnostic Imaging **Guideline Name:** Cohere Medical Policy - Computed Tomography Angiography (CTA), Head

Date of last literature review: 8/22/2024 Document last updated: 10/30/2024 Type: [X] Adult (18+ yo) | [X] Pediatric (0-17 yo)

#### **Table of Contents**

Important Notices	2
Table of Contents	3
Medical Necessity Criteria	4
Service: Computed Tomography Angiography (CTA), Head	4
Recommended Clinical Approach	4
Medical Necessity Criteria	4
Indications	4
Non-Indications	10
Disclaimer on Radiation Exposure in Pediatric Population	10
Procedure Codes (CPT/HCPCS)	11
Medical Evidence	
References	13
Clinical Guideline Revision History/Information	

## **Medical Necessity Criteria**

#### Service: Computed Tomography Angiography (CTA), Head

#### **Recommended Clinical Approach**

Computed tomography angiography (CTA) and CT venography (CTV) of the head help detect and characterize vascular disease and anatomy relevant to treating extravascular disorders. CTA may be used as the primary modality for detecting disease, as an adjunctive tool for characterizing a known disease, or to assess changes over time.<sup>1</sup> Magnetic resonance angiography (MRA) or magnetic resonance venography (MRV) is the preferred imaging study due to the lack of ionizing radiation.

#### Medical Necessity Criteria

#### Indications

- → Computed tomography angiography (CTA), head is indicated if ANY of the following is TRUE:
  - Neoplastic conditions including surgical and radiation therapy localization, planning, and neuronavigation to delineate the vascular anatomy (e.g., tumor is in the vicinity or encases a major artery or occludes major vein)<sup>1</sup>; OR
  - Trauma-related conditions as indicated by **ANY** of the following:
    - Head trauma with suspected intracranial arterial injury due to clinical risk factors or positive findings on prior imaging<sup>2</sup>;
       OR
    - Blunt cerebrovascular injury (BCVI) is suspected based on the mechanism and location of trauma (CT neck is also indicated with CT head)<sup>3</sup>; OR
    - Traumatic vascular injuries<sup>1</sup>; **OR**
    - Suspected carotid or vertebral artery dissection secondary to trauma; **OR**
  - Vascular conditions, known or suspected, including ANY of the following:
    - Aneurysm with **ANY** of the following<sup>5-10</sup>:
      - Screening for cerebral artery aneurysm when ANY of the following is TRUE<sup>26-34</sup>:

- Autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease (adults); OR
- The patient has two or more first-degree relatives (parent, brother, sister, or child) with a history of intracranial aneurysm; OR
- The patient is symptomatic with one first-degree relative who has a history of intracranial aneurysm<sup>4-7</sup>; OR
- Fibromuscular dysplasia; OR
- ◆ Loeys-Dietz syndrome; **OR**
- Spontaneous coronary arteries dissection (SCAD); OR
- ◆ Known aortic coarctation (after age 10); OR
- **ANY** of the following:
  - Diagnosis of intracranial hemorrhage; **OR**
  - Diagnosis of subarachnoid hemorrhage; **OR**
  - Intracerebral hemorrhage, known or suspected<sup>12</sup>; OR
  - The patient has a history of subarachnoid hemorrhages<sup>6</sup>; OR
- Cervical bruit or thrill with suspicion of neck carotid stenosis; **OR**
- Headache with **ANY** of the following:
  - Acute onset worst/thunderclap headache<sup>11</sup>; **OR**
  - New onset or pattern during pregnancy or peripartum period; OR
  - Associated with exercise, exertion, Valsalva, or sexual activity; OR
- Intracranial arterial dissection, suspected; OR
- Intracranial occlusive disease (arterial or venous), suspected<sup>13</sup>; OR
- Intracranial hypertension (idiopathic), suspected; OR
- Pseudoaneurysm<sup>1</sup>; **OR**
- Recent stroke, up to 6 months (remote history is not an indication unless recent TIA episodes)<sup>1</sup>; OR
- Cranial neuropathy as indicated by ANY of the following and prior imaging is suspicious for a vascular pathology (e.g., aneurysm, arteriovenous fistulas [AVF])<sup>1,2-9</sup>.

- Lower cranial nerve palsies, weakness or paralysis (CN IX-XII) (i.e., glossopharyngeal neuralgia); OR
- Unilateral isolated weakness or paralysis of the tongue (hypoglossal nerve, CN XII); OR
- Refractory trigeminal neuralgia when done for surgical planning; OR
- Isolated third nerve palsy (oculomotor) with pupil involvement to evaluate for aneurysm; OR
- Any combination or isolated involvement of cranial nerves where prior imaging is suspicious for vascular pathology; OR
- Acute or recent unexplained intracranial hemorrhage<sup>1</sup>; OR
- Atherosclerotic stenosis or occlusive disease, including suspected vasospasm or thromboembolism with ANY of the following<sup>1</sup>:
  - Patient has documented symptoms suggestive of stroke or TIA; OR
  - Based on prior imaging; **OR**
- Vasculopathy that is non-atherosclerotic, non-inflammatory (e.g., radiation vasculopathy) and suspected on prior imaging (e.g., CT, MRI)<sup>1</sup>; OR
- The patient has **ANY** of the following:
  - Abnormal neurologic signs; OR
  - Fever; **OR**
  - Visual disturbance; OR
  - Vertigo; OR
  - Weight loss; **OR**
- Vascular malformation and fistula based on prior imaging<sup>1</sup>;
  OR
- Cerebrovascular disease in a patient age 18 or older including ANY of the following<sup>10</sup>:
  - Acute subarachnoid hemorrhage (SAH), suspected or known on CT; OR
  - Central nervous system (CNS) vasculitis, suspected;
    OR
  - Cerebral aneurysm (treated or untreated), known; **OR**
  - Cerebral vasospasm, suspected; **OR**
  - High-flow vascular malformation (AVM/AVF), known;
    OR

- Aneurysmal SAH in a younger patient who is at-risk of de novo aneurysm formation; OR
- The patient has **ANY** of the following:
  - ♦ Moyamoya disease; OR
  - Bicuspid aortic valve; OR
  - Aortic aneurysm; OR
  - $\blacklozenge$  Coarctation of the aorta; **OR**
- Cerebrovascular disease in a patient age 17 or younger and ANY of the following is TRUE<sup>11</sup>:
  - Acute stroke is suspected with **ANY** of the following:
    - Non-sickle-cell related with new focal fixed or worsening neurologic deficit lasting less than 24 hours from last seen normal state and there are no contraindications to emergent intervention; OR
    - Known or suspected arteriopathy or moyamoya<sup>9-10</sup> OR
    - Known or suspected central nervous system vasculitis; OR
    - Known or suspected low- or high-flow vascular anomaly; OR
    - The patient is not a candidate for emergent intervention; OR
  - Acute subarachnoid hemorrhage (SAH), suspected or known on CT; OR
  - Spontaneous cervicocranial arterial dissection based on clinical or imaging findings. (CTA head and neck ordered together); OR
  - Nontraumatic intracranial hemorrhage (hematoma) of unknown etiology found on CT or MRI and additional imaging study is needed; OR
  - Nontraumatic SAH detected by non-contrast CT; **OR**
- Vertebrobasilar insufficiency (VBI) as indicated by ANY of the following<sup>12-13</sup>:
  - Abnormal neurologic examination is consistent with central vertigo; OR
  - Associated with other brainstem neurologic deficits;
    OR

- HINTS (Head Impulse-Nystagmus-Test of Skew) examination is consistent with central vertigo; OR
- Neurological symptoms (central vertigo); OR
- For evaluation of ANY of the following miscellaneous pathologies when prior testing has failed:
  - Headache with an unrevealing MRI and **ANY** of the following is **TRUE**:
    - Persistent in nature; **OR**
    - Undifferentiated; **OR**
    - Unexplained; **OR**
  - Pulsatile tinnitus (unilateral or bilateral) as evidenced by ANY of the following<sup>1,15-16</sup>:
    - Localized to one ear (prior testing not required); **OR**
    - Focal neurological abnormalities (consider MRI brain internal auditory canal [IAC] protocol first); OR
    - Asymmetric hearing loss (consider MRI brain internal auditory canal [IAC] protocol first); OR
  - Visual impairment including **ANY** of the following<sup>17</sup>:
    - Isolated third nerve palsy (oculomotor) with pupil involvement to evaluate for aneurysm; OR
    - Suspected venous thrombosis (e.g., dural sinus thrombosis) when MRV is contraindicated or cannot be performed; OR
- Preoperative assessment of vascular anatomy or pathology; OR
- Post-treatment follow-up to monitor treatment result and surveillance of vascular condition; OR
- Congenital conditions or anomalies including vascular abnormality associated with chronic anemic conditions (e.g., sickle cell disease) (MRA head is preferred in pediatric patients).<sup>18-19</sup>

## → Computed tomography venography (CTV), head is indicated if ANY of the following is TRUE:

- Ultrasound and CT venography (CTV) are contraindicated or inconclusive (e.g., body habitus for ultrasound, anaphylactic reaction due to IV contrast reaction, pregnancy, pediatric); OR
- **ANY** of the following is **TRUE**:
  - Vascular conditions, known or suspected, including **ANY** of the following:

- Venous/dural sinus abnormalities such as ANY of the following:
  - ◆ Acquired thrombosis/occlusion; **OR**
  - Venous sinus stenosis; OR
- Large vein injury secondary to trauma; **OR**
- Vascular invasion or displacement by tumor; **OR**
- Headache with **ANY** of the following:
  - New onset or pattern during pregnancy or peripartum period; OR
  - Headache with features of intracranial hypertension (e.g., papilledema, pulsatile tinnitus, visual symptoms worse on Valsalva)<sup>1</sup>; OR
- Initial diagnostic, one-time pre or one-time post-treatment evaluation for treatment planning or evidence of clinical concern for vascular malformation.
- → Repeat imaging (defined as repeat request following recent imaging of the same anatomic region with the same modality), in the absence of established guidelines, will be considered reasonable and necessary if ANY of the following is TRUE:
  - New or worsening symptoms, such that repeat imaging would influence treatment; OR
  - One-time clarifying follow-up of a prior indeterminate finding; **OR**
  - In the absence of change in symptoms, there is an established need for monitoring which would influence management.

#### **Non-Indications**

- → Computed tomography angiography (CTA) or computed tomography venography (CTV), head, is not considered appropriate if ANY of the following is TRUE<sup>20</sup>:
  - The patient has undergone advanced imaging of the same body part within 3 months without undergoing treatment or developing new or worsening symptoms<sup>35</sup>; OR
  - If contrast is used, history of anaphylactic allergic reaction to iodinated contrast media.

\*NOTE: The referring professional and radiologist should discuss the risks and benefits of contrast media administration, including possible prophylaxis, in patients with chronic or worsening kidney disease or severe renal failure. \*\*NOTE: CT in pregnant patients should be requested at the discretion of the ordering provider and obstetric care provider.

\*\*\*NOTE: CT in patients with claustrophobia should be requested at the discretion of the ordering provider.

#### **Disclaimer on Radiation Exposure in Pediatric Population**

Due to the heightened sensitivity of pediatric patients to ionizing radiation, minimizing exposure is paramount. At Cohere, we are dedicated to ensuring that every patient, including the pediatric population, has access to appropriate imaging following accepted guidelines. Radiation risk is dependent mainly on the patient's age at exposure, the organs exposed, and the patient's sex, though there are other variables. The following technical guidelines are provided to ensure safe and effective imaging practices:

**Radiation Dose Optimization:** Adhere to the lowest effective dose principle for pediatric imaging. Ensure that imaging protocols are specifically tailored for pediatric patients to limit radiation exposure.<sup>21-22</sup>

**Alternative Modalities:** Prioritize non-ionizing imaging options such as ultrasound or MRI when clinically feasible, as they are less likely to expose the patient to ionizing radiation. For instance, MRI or ultrasound should be considered if they are more likely to provide an accurate diagnosis than CT, fluoroscopy, or radiography.<sup>21-22</sup>

**Cumulative Dose Monitoring:** Implement systems to track cumulative radiation exposure in pediatric patients, particularly for those requiring multiple imaging studies. Regularly reassess the necessity of repeat imaging based on clinical evaluation.<sup>21-22</sup>

**CT Imaging Considerations:** When CT is deemed the best method for achieving a correct diagnosis, use the lowest possible radiation dose that still yields reliable diagnostic images.<sup>21-22</sup>

#### **Cohere Imaging Gently Guideline**

The purpose of this guideline is to act as a potential override when clinically indicated to adhere to Imaging Gently and Imaging Wisely guidelines and As Low As Reasonably Possible (ALARA) principles.

#### Level of Care Criteria Inpatient and Outpatient

#### Procedure Codes (CPT/HCPCS)

CPT/HCPCS Code	Code Description	
	Computed tomographic angiography (CTA), head; with contrast material(s), including non-contrast images, if performed, and image postprocessing	

## **Medical Evidence**

Tu et al. (2022) conducted a retrospective review on the utilization of head and neck computed tomography angiography (CTA) in the emergency department (ED). Head and neck CTA in the ED has shown a disproportionate increase compared to other neuroimaging examinations. The study contrasted utilization and the frequency of communicating non-routine results across different patient chief concerns. The study identified the top 50 primary concerns leading to the most CTA examinations. A total of 17903 CTAs for 833 distinct chief concerns were included, which accounts for 2.5% of 708,145 ED visits. The rates of ordering and communication of non-standard results exhibit significant variability across different chief concerns. Approximately half of the non-standard communications made by radiologists pertain to acute indications. Understanding the trends in ordering head and neck CTA and communicating non-standard results can aid in refining patient selection and enhancing radiologist interactions in the ED.<sup>23</sup>

Schenk et al. (2021) report on a retrospective review of stroke in young adults. The use of CTA head and neck diagnostic yield for anterior circulation ischemic stroke evaluation is discussed. The review included adults aged 18-50 who presented to the Mayo Clinic Rochester ED. Carotid dissection is a predominant cause of anterior circulation ischemic stroke, as evidenced by findings on CTA. Studies found that carotid webs were infrequent in the patients studied, while carotid atherosclerosis was relatively rare - the presence of carotid webs, understanding their potential to trigger recurrent strokes. The authors did not cite a significant disparity in the prevalence of carotid atherosclerosis between the symptomatic and asymptomatic sides. Clinicians can recognize high-risk morphological attributes of carotid plaque observed on CT angiography, even in cases with no discernible stenosis.<sup>24</sup>

Heit et al. (2016) conducted an 11-year single-center retrospective review to analyze the yield of digital subtraction angiography (DSA) in patients with subarachnoid hemorrhage. DSA reveals vascular abnormalities in 13% of patients who initially test negative for subarachnoid hemorrhage (SAH) on CTA. Upon subsequent DSA, aneurysms or pseudoaneurysms are found in 4% of cases. Utilization of DSA may be appropriate for all patients with SAH-negative CT scans. To aid the clinician, the type of SAH pattern observed with DSA may offer clues to the underlying cause of the hemorrhage.<sup>25</sup>

### References

- American College of Radiology (ACR), American Society of Neuroradiology (ASNR), Society for Pediatric Radiology (SPR). ACR-ASNR-SPR practice parameter for the performance and interpretation of cervicocerebral computed tomography angiography (CTA) - resolution 42. Updated 2020. Accessed August 1, 2024. https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/Practice-Parameters/CervicoC erebralCTA.pdf.
- Expert Panel on Neurological Imaging, Shih RY, Burns J, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria - head trauma: 2021 update. J Am Coll Radiol. 2021 May;18(5S):S13-S36. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2021.01.006. PMID: 33958108.
- Expert Panel on Neurological Imaging and Musculoskeletal Imaging, Beckmann NM, West OC, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria - suspected spine trauma. J Am Coll Radiol. 2019 May;16(5S):S264-S285. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2019.02.002. PMID: 31054754.
- Bederson JB, Awad IA, Wiebers DO, et al. Recommendations for the management of patients with unruptured intracranial aneurysms: A statement for healthcare professionals from the Stroke Council of the American Heart Association. *Stroke*. 2000;31(11):2742-50. doi: 10.1161/01.str.31.11.2742. PMID: 11062304.
- Rinkel GJ, Ruigrok YM. Preventive screening for intracranial aneurysms. Int J Stroke. 2022 Jan;17(1):30–36. doi: 10.1177/17474930211024584. PMID: 34042530; PMCID: PMC8739572.
- Emorrhage U, Tudy S, Rou G. Risks and benefits of screening for intracranial aneurysms in first-degree relatives of patients with sporadic subarachnoid hemorrhage. N Engl J Med. 1999; 28;341(18):1344-50. doi: 10.1056/NEJM199910283411803. PMID: 10536126.
- Brown Jr RD, Huston J, Hornung R, et al. Screening for brain aneurysm in the Familial Intracranial Aneurysm study: Frequency and predictors of lesion detection. *J Neurosurg*. 2008 Jun;108(6):1132–8. doi: 10.3171/JNS/2008/108/6/1132. PMID: 18518716; PMCID: PMC4190025.
- 8. Expert Panel on Neurological Imaging, Rath TJ, Policeni B, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria - cranial neuropathy. Updated 2022. Accessed August 1, 2024. https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69509/Narrative/.
- 9. Expert Panel on Neurological Imaging, Pannell JS, Corey AS, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria cerebrovascular diseases (stroke and

stroke-related conditions). Published 2023. Accessed August 1, 2024. https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/3149012/Narrative.

- Expert Panel on Neurologic Imaging, Ledbetter LN, Burns J, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria - cerebrovascular diseases, aneurysm, vascular malformation, and subarachnoid hemorrhage. *J Am Coll Radiol.* 2021 Nov;18(11S):S283-S304. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2021.08.012. PMID: 34794589.
- Expert Panel on Pediatric Imaging, Robertson RL, Palasis S, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria - cerebrovascular disease (child). J Am Coll Radiol. 2020 May;17(5S):S36-S54. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2020.01.036. PMID: 32370977.
- Expert Panel on Neurologic Imaging, Wang LL, Thompson TA, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria - dizziness and ataxia. Published 2023. Accessed August 1, 2024. https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69477/Narrative/.
- Expert Panel on Neurologic Imaging, Sharma A, Kirsch CFE, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria - hearing loss and/or vertigo. Published 2018. Accessed August 1, 2024.

https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69488/Narrative/.

- Expert Panel on Neurologic Imaging, Utukuri PS, Shih RY, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria - headache. Revised 2022. Accessed August 1, 2024. https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69482/Narrative/.
- Expert Panel on Neurological Imaging, Jain V, Policeni B, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria - tinnitus: 2023 update. J Am Coll Radiol. 2023 Nov;20(11S):S574-S591. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2023.08.017. PMID: 38040471.
- Tunkel DE, Bauer CA, Sun GH, et al. Clinical practice guideline: Tinnitus. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2014 Oct;151(2 Suppl):S1-S40. doi: 10.1177/0194599814545325. PMID: 25273878.
- Expert Panel on Neurologic Imaging, Kennedy TA, Corey AS, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria - orbits, vision, and visual loss. J Am Coll Radiol. 2018 May;15(5S):S116-S131. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2018.03.023. PMID: 29724415.
- Mallon D, Doig D, Dixon L, et al. Neuroimaging in sickle cell disease: A review. J Neuroimaging. 2020 Nov;30(6):725-735. doi: 10.1111/jon.12766. PMID: 33463866.
- Sivaraju L, Mani S, Prabhu K, et al. Three-dimensional computed tomography angiographic study of the vertebral artery in patients with congenital craniovertebral junction anomalies. *Eur Spine J.* 2017 Apr;26(4):1028-1038. doi: 10.1007/s00586-016-4580-7. PMID: 27137997.
- 20. Davenport MS, Perazella MA, Yee J, et al. Use of intravenous iodinated

contrast media in patients with kidney disease: Consensus statements from the American College of Radiology and the National Kidney Foundation. *Radiology*. 2020;294(3):660-668. doi: 10.1148/radiol.2019192094. PMID: 33015613; PMCID: PMC7525144.

- 21. The Image Gently Alliance. Imaging gently. Accessed August 1, 2024. https://www.imagegently.org.
- 22. National Cancer Institute. Radiation risks and pediatric computed tomography (CT): A guide for health care. Updated September 4, 2018. Accessed August 1, 2024. National Cancer Institute. Radiation risks and pediatric computed tomography (CT): A guide for health care. Updated September 4, 2018. Accessed June 26, 2024. https://www.cancer.gov/about-cancer/causes-prevention/risk/radiati on/pediatric-ct-scans.
- 23. Tu LH, Malhotra A, Venkatesh AK, et al. Head and neck CTA utilization: Analysis of ordering frequency and nonroutine results communication, with focus on the 50 most common emergency department clinical presentations. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2022 Mar;218(3):544-551. doi: 10.2214/AJR.21.26543. PMID: 34585611.
- 24. Schenk WB, Brinjikji W, Larson AS, et al. Diagnostic yield of neck CT angiography in young adults with anterior circulation ischemic stroke: A community based study. *Neurohospitalist*. 2021 Apr;11(2):119-124. doi: 10.1177/1941874420974542. PMID: 33791054; PMCID: PMC7958676.
- 25.Heit JJ, Pastena GT, Nogueira RG, et al. Cerebral angiography for evaluation of patients with CT angiogram-negative subarachnoid hemorrhage: An 11-year experience. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 2016 Feb;37(2):297-304. doi: 10.3174/ajnr.A4503. PMID: 26338924; PMCID: PMC7959954.
- 26.Hayes SN, Kim ESH, Saw J, et al. Spontaneous coronary artery dissection: current state of the science: a Scientific Statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2018;137(19):e523-e557. doi:10.1161/cir.000000000000564
- 27. Hitchcock E, Gibson WT. A review of the genetics of intracranial berry aneurysms and implications for genetic counseling. *J Genet Couns*. 2017;26(1):21-31. doi:10.1007/s10897-016-0029-8
- 28. Jung WS, Kim JH, Ahn SJ, et al. Prevalence of intracranial aneurysms in patients with aortic dissection. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 2017;38(11):2089-2093. doi:10.3174/ajnr.A5359

- 29.Egbe AC, Padang R, Brown RD, et al. Prevalence and predictors of intracranial aneurysms in patients with bicuspid aortic valve. *Heart*. 2017;103(19):1508-1514. doi:10.1136/heartjnl-2016-311076
- 30.Rouchaud A, Brandt MD, Rydberg AM, et al. Prevalence of intracranial aneurysms in patients with aortic aneurysms. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. Sep 2016;37(9):1664-8. doi:10.3174/ajnr.A4827
- Pickard SS, Prakash A, Newburger JW, Malek AM, Wong JB. Screening for intracranial aneurysms in coarctation of the aorta: a decision and cost-effectiveness analysis. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes*. 2020;13 (8):e006406. doi:10.1161/circoutcomes.119.006406
- 32. Xu HW, Yu SQ, Mei CL, Li MH. Screening for intracranial aneurysm in 355 patients with autosomal- dominant polycystic kidney disease. *Stroke*. 2011;42(1):204-6. doi:10.1161/strokeaha.110.578740
- 33. Malhotra A, Wu X, Matouk CC, Forman HP, Gandhi D, Sanelli P. MR angiography screening and surveillance for intracranial aneurysms in autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease: a cost- effectiveness analysis. *Radiology*. 2019;291(2):400-408. doi:10.1148/radiol.2019181399
- 34.Flahault A, Joly D. Screening for intracranial aneurysms in patients with autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol.* Aug 7 2019;14(8):1242-1244.
- 35. Wasser EJ, Prevedello LM, Sodickson A, Mar W, Khorasani R. Impact of a real-time computerized duplicate alert system on the utilization of computed tomography. *JAMA Intern Med*. 2013;173(11):1024-1026. doi: 10.1001/jamainternmed.2013.543. PMID: 23609029.

## Clinical Guideline Revision History/Information

Original Date: April 15, 2022				
Review History				
Version 2	08/29/2024	Annual review and policy restructure.		
Version 3	10/30/2024	Edited repeat imaging criteria language.		