



Cohere Medical Policy – 3D Rendering of Imaging Studies

Clinical Guidelines for Medical Necessity Review

Version: 1
Effective Date: November 21, 2024

Important Notices

Notices & Disclaimers:

GUIDELINES ARE SOLELY FOR COHERE'S USE IN PERFORMING MEDICAL NECESSITY REVIEWS AND ARE NOT INTENDED TO INFORM OR ALTER CLINICAL DECISION-MAKING OF END USERS.

Cohere Health, Inc. ("**Cohere**") has published these clinical guidelines to determine the medical necessity of services (the "**Guidelines**") for informational purposes only, and solely for use by Cohere's authorized "**End Users**". These Guidelines (and any attachments or linked third-party content) are not intended to be a substitute for medical advice, diagnosis, or treatment directed by an appropriately licensed healthcare professional. These Guidelines are not in any way intended to support clinical decision-making of any kind; their sole purpose and intended use is to summarize certain criteria Cohere may use when reviewing the medical necessity of any service requests submitted to Cohere by End Users. Always seek the advice of a qualified healthcare professional regarding any medical questions, treatment decisions, or other clinical guidance. The Guidelines, including any attachments or linked content, are subject to change at any time without notice.

©2024 Cohere Health, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

Other Notices:

HCPCS® and CPT® copyright 2024 American Medical Association. All rights reserved.

Fee schedules, relative value units, conversion factors and/or related components are not assigned by the AMA, are not part of CPT, and the AMA is not recommending their use. The AMA does not directly or indirectly practice medicine or dispense medical services. The AMA assumes no liability for data contained or not contained herein.

HCPCS and CPT are registered trademarks of the American Medical Association.

Guideline Information:

Specialty Area: Diagnostic Imaging

Guideline Name: Cohere Medical Policy - 3D Rendering of Imaging Studies

Date of last literature review: 11/18/2024

Document last updated: 11/21/2024

Type: ☒ Adult (18+ yo) | ☒ Pediatric (0-17 yo)

Table of Contents

Important Notices	2
Table of Contents	3
Medical Necessity Criteria	4
Service: 3D Rendering of Imaging Studies	4
Recommended Clinical Approach	4
Indications	4
Non-Indications	5
Level of Care Criteria	6
Procedure Codes (CPT/HCPCS)	6
Medical Evidence	7
References	8
Clinical Guideline Revision History/Information	11

Medical Necessity Criteria

Service: 3D Rendering of Imaging Studies

Recommended Clinical Approach

Three-dimensional (3D) rendering of imaging studies is a technique that is performed by organizing thin sections of 2D images to reconstruct a 3D image. The resulting 3D image can enhance hard-to-visualize structures and therefore help to better visualize a pathology and guide clinical management. This technique is also known as 3D reconstruction or 3D reformation. 3D rendering can be performed for ultrasound (US), echocardiography, CT, MRI, MRA, CTA, DSA, and other tomographic imaging modalities. 3D reconstruction is not to be utilized to report coronal, sagittal, multiplanar, or oblique reformats constructed from axial imaging. 3D reformatting should not be standard protocol for US, MRI, and CT scanning.

Indications

→ **3D Imaging** is considered medically necessary if **ALL** of the following are **TRUE**:

- ◆ When 3D imaging is required in order to obtain complete diagnostic information that would be critical to clinical management and cannot be ascertained through traditional (2D or multiplanar reformatted) imaging; **AND**
- ◆ **ANY** of the following is true:
 - The patient requires preoperative planning for a complex surgical case; **OR**
 - The patient has a known or suspected tumor with invasion of adjacent structure, such that 3D imaging may impact treatment¹⁻⁵; **OR**
 - The patient has vascular system pathology with planned procedural intervention, including **ANY** of the following⁶⁻¹⁵:
 - Aneurysms; **OR**
 - Vascular abnormalities or malformations; **OR**
 - Preprocedural and postprocedural evaluation of organ transplantation; **OR**
 - Orthopedic imaging for **ANY** of the following¹⁶⁻²¹:

- Spine surgery; **OR**
- Acetabular osteotomy; **OR**
- Wrist fracture; **OR**
- Facial trauma; **OR**
- Intra-articular fracture; **OR**
- Other complex fractures with or without dislocation of any joint; **OR**
- Evaluation of congenital craniofacial abnormalities; **OR**
- Other not previously specified conditions wherein 3D image rendering would provide information that cannot otherwise be obtained by traditional 2D imaging or multiplanar reformatted images, and such information is critical to clinical management²²⁻²⁴; **OR**
- Repeat 3D imaging (defined as repeat request following recent 3D imaging of the same anatomic region with the same modality), in the absence of established guidelines, will be considered reasonable and necessary if **ANY** of the following is **TRUE**:
 - New or worsening symptoms, such that repeat imaging would influence treatment; **OR**
 - One-time clarifying follow-up of a prior indeterminate finding; **OR**
 - In the absence of change in symptoms, there is an established need for monitoring which would influence management.

Non-Indications

→ **3D Imaging** is not considered appropriate if **ANY** of the following is **TRUE**:

- ◆ The patient has undergone 3D imaging of the same body part within 3 months without undergoing treatment or developing new or worsening symptoms; **OR**
- ◆ When used with an imaging study that is considered not medically necessary; **OR**
- ◆ For routine use by the imaging facility without specifically being ordered by the requesting physician.

Level of Care Criteria

Outpatient

Procedure Codes (CPT/HCPCS)

CPT/HCPCS Code	Code Description
76376	3D rendering with interpretation and reporting of computed tomography, magnetic resonance imaging, ultrasound, or other tomographic modality with image postprocessing under concurrent supervision; not requiring image postprocessing on an independent workstation
76377	3D rendering with interpretation and reporting of computed tomography, magnetic resonance imaging, ultrasound, or other tomographic modality with image postprocessing under concurrent supervision; requiring image postprocessing on an independent workstation

Medical Evidence

Three-dimensional (3D) rendering of imaging studies is an enhanced visualization technique that generates a reconstructed 3D image. This is utilized to provide a better view of specific structures and guide clinical management in certain clinical scenarios. 3D imaging is most commonly used in the preoperative setting to aid in surgical or procedural planning. It is also beneficial when visualizing congenital anomalies, including vascular malformations. Importantly, 3D reconstruction is distinct from coronal, sagittal, multiplanar, or oblique reformatting constructed from axial imaging. It is a highly specialized adjunct to traditional imaging that is limited in use due to the excellent diagnostic accuracy of 2D imaging.

3D imaging is of particular use with musculoskeletal pathologies, such as a patient with traumatic fractures. The principal intent of 3D imaging in this setting is to improve patient outcomes by limiting the need for post-operative revision. Certain fracture locations – including orbital fractures – are unable to be visualized directly and therefore benefit from 3D reconstruction. 3D imaging of eligible pathologies allows orthopedic surgeons to optimize their surgical approach, reduce operative time, and improve precision.¹⁶⁻²¹

A 2020 study in the *Journal of Vascular Surgery* provided clinical validation of 3D reconstruction of abdominal aortic aneurysms (AAAs), demonstrating a significantly improved reproducibility as compared to 2D imaging and mitigating the known challenges associated with 2D imaging, including operator-dependent variability which impacts accurate visualization and diagnosis of potentially unstable aneurysms.¹²

When reserved for clinical scenarios that benefit most from complete, three-dimensional visualization, 3D rendering of imaging studies can be a valuable adjunct for diagnosing and treating specific pathologies.

References

1. Porpiglia F, Amparore D, Checcucci E, et al. Current use of three-dimensional model technology in urology: a road map for personalised surgical planning. *European urology focus*. 2018 Sep 1;4(5):652–6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.euf.2018.09.012>
2. Yin D, Lu RW. A method of breast tumour MRI segmentation and 3D reconstruction. In 2015 7th International Conference on Information Technology in Medicine and Education (ITME) 2015 Nov 13 (pp. 23–26). IEEE. doi: 10.1109/ITME.2015.117
3. Meng D, Li S, Sheng B, et al. 3D reconstruction-oriented fully automatic multi-modal tumor segmentation by dual attention-guided VNet. *The Visual Computer*. 2023 Aug;39(8):3183–96. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00371-023-02965-0>
4. Irtan S, Hervieux E, BOUTROUX H, Becmeur F, Ducou-le-Pointe H, Leverger G, Audry G. Preoperative 3D reconstruction images for paediatric tumours: Advantages and drawbacks. *Pediatric blood & cancer*. 2021 Jan;68(1):e28670. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pbc.28670>
5. Zhang Y, Zhou Y, Yang X, et al. Thin slice three dimensional (3D) reconstruction versus CT 3D reconstruction of human breast cancer. *Indian Journal of Medical Research*. 2013 Jan 1;137(1):57–62.
6. HaiFeng L, YongSheng X, YangQin X, Yu D, ShuaiWen W, XingRu L, JunQiang L. Diagnostic value of 3D time-of-flight magnetic resonance angiography for detecting intracranial aneurysm: a meta-analysis. *Neuroradiology*. 2017 Nov;59:1083–92. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00234-017-1905-0>
7. Schneiders JJ, Marquering HA, Van Den Berg R, VanBavel E, Velthuis B, Rinkel GJ, Majoie CB. Rupture-associated changes of cerebral aneurysm geometry: high-resolution 3D imaging before and after rupture. *American Journal of Neuroradiology*. 2014 Jul 1;35(7):1358–62. <https://doi.org/10.3174/ajnr.A3866>
8. Timmins KM, Kuijf HJ, Vergouwen MD, Ruigrok YM, Velthuis BK, van der Schaaf IC. Relationship between 3D morphologic change and 2D and 3D growth of unruptured intracranial aneurysms. *American Journal of Neuroradiology*. 2022 Mar 1;43(3):416–21. <https://doi.org/10.3174/ajnr.A7418>
9. Raghuram A, Sanchez S, Wendt L, Cochran S, Ishii D, Osorno C, Bathla G, Koscik TR, Torner J, Hasan D, Samaniego EA. 3D aneurysm wall enhancement is associated with symptomatic presentation. *Journal of neurointerventional surgery*. 2023 Aug 1;15(8):747–52. <https://doi.org/10.1136/jnis-2022-019125>
10. Long A, Rouet L, Debreuve A, Ardon R, Barbe C, Becquemin JP, Allaire E. Abdominal aortic aneurysm imaging with 3-D ultrasound: 3-D-based maximum diameter measurement and volume quantification.

- Ultrasound in medicine & biology*. 2013 Aug 1;39(8):1325–36.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ultrasmedbio.2013.03.008>
11. Sasakawa J, Goto T, Sato T, Koyama M, Ueha R, Yamasoba T. Three-dimensional Computed Tomography Features of Recurrent Laryngeal Nerve Paralysis Due to Aortic Aneurysm. *SN Comprehensive Clinical Medicine*. 2024 May 18;6(1):56.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s42399-024-01685-3>
 12. Ghulam QM, Kilaru S, Ou SS, Sillesen H. Clinical validation of three-dimensional ultrasound for abdominal aortic aneurysm. *Journal of Vascular Surgery*. 2020 Jan 1;71(1):180–8. DOI: 10.1016/j.jvs.2019.03.066
 13. Van Rooij WJ, Sprengers ME, de Gast AN, et al. 3D rotational angiography: the new gold standard in the detection of additional intracranial aneurysms. *American Journal of Neuroradiology*. 2008 May 1;29(5):976–9. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3174/ajnr.A0964>
 14. Quan T, Ren Y, Li J, Fu X, Jin Y, Ran Y, Guan S, Cheng J, Xu H. Enhanced vessel wall magnetic resonance imaging in the follow-up of intracranial aneurysms treated with flow diversion. *European Radiology*. 2024 Feb;34(2):833–41. PMID: 37580600 DOI: 10.1007/s00330-023-10094-4
 15. He X, Sueyoshi E, Tasaki Y, Miyazaki S, Murakami T, Nagayama H, Uetani M. Benefits of adrenal venous sampling with preoperative four-dimensional CT imaging. *Acta Radiologica*. 2023 Mar;64(3):1280–9.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/02841851221118799>
 16. Tonetti J, Boudissa M, Kerschbaumer G, Seurat O. Role of 3D intraoperative imaging in orthopedic and trauma surgery. *Orthopaedics & Traumatology: Surgery & Research*. 2020 Feb 1;106(1):S19–25. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.otsr.2019.05.021>
 17. Shen J, Parent S, Wu J, Aubin CÉ, Mac-Thiong JM, Kadoury S, Newton P, Lenke LG, Lafage V, Barchi S, Labelle H. Towards a new 3D classification for adolescent idiopathic scoliosis. *Spine deformity*. 2020 Jun;8:387–96.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s43390-020-00051-2>
 18. Thong W, Parent S, Wu J, Aubin CE, Labelle H, Kadoury S. Three-dimensional morphology study of surgical adolescent idiopathic scoliosis patient from encoded geometric models. *European Spine Journal*. 2016 Oct;25:3104–13. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00586-016-4426-3>
 19. Bui TG, Bell RB, Dierks EJ. Technological advances in the treatment of facial trauma. *Atlas of the Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery Clinics of North America*. 2012 Mar 1;20(1):81–94. DOI: 10.1016/j.cxom.2011.12.006
 20. Amundson M, Newman M, Cheng A, Khatib B, Cuddy K, Patel A. Three-dimensional computer-assisted surgical planning, manufacturing, intraoperative navigation, and computed tomography in maxillofacial trauma. *Atlas Oral Maxillofac Surg Clin North Am*. 2020 Sep 1;28(2):119–27.
 21. Avery LL, Susarla SM, Novelline RA. Multidetector and three-dimensional CT evaluation of the patient with maxillofacial injury. *Radiologic Clinics*. 2011 Jan 1;49(1):183–203. DOI: 10.1016/j.rcl.2010.07.014

22. Fang C, An J, Bruno A, Cai X, Fan J, Fujimoto J, Golfieri R, Hao X, Jiang H, Jiao LR, Kulkarni AV. Consensus recommendations of three-dimensional visualization for diagnosis and management of liver diseases. *Hepatology international*. 2020 Jul;14:437-53.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s12072-020-10052-y>
23. Mari FS, Nigri G, Pancaldi A, De Cecco CN, Gasparrini M, Dall'Oglio A, Pindozi F, Laghi A, Brescia A. Role of CT angiography with three-dimensional reconstruction of mesenteric vessels in laparoscopic colorectal resections: a randomized controlled trial. *Surgical endoscopy*. 2013 Jun;27:2058-67.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00464-012-2710-9>
24. Tan T, Scherrer-Crosbie M. cardiac complications of chemotherapy; role of imaging. *Curr Treat Options Cardiovasc Med*. 2014; 16(4):296.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11936-014-0296-3>

Clinical Guideline Revision History/Information

Original Date: November 21, 2024		
Review History		