

Cohere Medical Policy - Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI), Bone Marrow

Clinical Guidelines for Medical Necessity Review

Version: 2

Effective Date: August 15, 2024

Important Notices

Notices & Disclaimers:

GUIDELINES ARE SOLELY FOR COHERE'S USE IN PERFORMING MEDICAL NECESSITY REVIEWS AND ARE NOT INTENDED TO INFORM OR ALTER CLINICAL DECISION-MAKING OF END USERS.

Cohere Health, Inc. ("Cohere") has published these clinical guidelines to determine the medical necessity of services (the "Guidelines") for informational purposes only, and solely for use by Cohere's authorized "End Users". These Guidelines (and any attachments or linked third-party content) are not intended to be a substitute for medical advice, diagnosis, or treatment directed by an appropriately licensed healthcare professional. These Guidelines are not in any way intended to support clinical decision-making of any kind; their sole purpose and intended use is to summarize certain criteria Cohere may use when reviewing the medical necessity of any service requests submitted to Cohere by End Users. Always seek the advice of a qualified healthcare professional regarding any medical questions, treatment decisions, or other clinical guidance. The Guidelines, including any attachments or linked content, are subject to change at any time without notice.

©2024 Cohere Health, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

Other Notices:

HCPCS® and CPT® copyright 2024 American Medical Association. All rights reserved.

Fee schedules, relative value units, conversion factors and/or related components are not assigned by the AMA, are not part of CPT, and the AMA is not recommending their use. The AMA does not directly or indirectly practice medicine or dispense medical services. The AMA assumes no liability for data contained or not contained herein.

HCPCS and CPT are registered trademarks of the American Medical Association.

Guideline Information:

Specialty Area: Diagnostic Imaging

Guideline Name: Cohere Medical Policy - Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI), Bone Marrow

Date of last literature review: 8/14/2024 Document last updated: 8/14/2024

Type: $[\underline{\mathbf{X}}]$ Adult (18+ yo) | $[\underline{\mathbf{X}}]$ Pediatric (0-17 yo)

Table of Contents

| Important Notices | 2 |
|--|----|
| Table of Contents | 3 |
| Medical Necessity Criteria | 4 |
| Service: Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI), Bone Marrow | 4 |
| Recommended Clinical Approach | 4 |
| Medical Necessity Criteria | 4 |
| Indications | 4 |
| Non-Indications | 5 |
| Level of Care Criteria | 6 |
| Procedure Codes (CPT/HCPCS) | 6 |
| Medical Evidence | |
| References | 8 |
| Clinical Guideline Revision History/Information | 10 |

Medical Necessity Criteria

Service: Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI), Bone Marrow

Recommended Clinical Approach

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the bone marrow is recommended in patients with known or suspected malignancies (routinely in multiple myeloma or those with cancer predisposition syndromes) after PET/CT, bone scan or CT failed to provide adequate clinical information.

Medical Necessity Criteria

Indications

- → Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), bone marrow is considered appropriate if ALL of the following are TRUE:
 - PET/CT, bone scan, or CT fails to provide adequate clinical information; AND
 - ◆ **ANY** of the following is **TRUE**:
 - Multiple myeloma, including ANY of the following⁶⁻⁷:
 - Monoclonal gammopathy of uncertain significance (MGUS) (low dose CT, whole body is preferred); OR
 - Solitary bone plasmacytoma⁸; OR
 - Systemic multiple myeloma, suspected or confirmed^a; OR
 - Smoldering multiple myeloma, suspected or confirmed⁹; OR
 - For the diagnosis, staging, and follow-up of patients with multiple myeloma (MM), leukemia, and other related hematological malignancies; OR
 - Diagnosis and assessment of treatment response in diffuse or multifocal marrow disorders when the diagnosis is in doubt, including ANY of the following:
 - o Chronic recurrent multifocal osteomyelitis; OR
 - Marrow involvement in storage diseases (e.g., Gaucher's or hematologic malignancies); OR
 - Waldenström macroglobulinemia; OR
 - Repeat imaging of a specific area or structure using the

same imaging modality is considered appropriate when **ALL** of the following are **TRUE**:

- There is documented clinical necessity; AND
- No existing follow-up guideline for that indication;

 AND
- Prior imaging results of the specific area or structure, obtained using the same imaging modality, must be documented and available for comparison; AND
- ANY of the following is TRUE:
 - A change in clinical status, such as worsening symptoms or the emergence of new symptoms, that may influence the treatment approach; OR
 - The requirement for interval reassessment, which may alter the treatment plan; OR
 - One-time follow-up of a prior indeterminate finding to assess for interval change; OR
 - The need for re-imaging either before or after performing an invasive procedure.

Non-Indications

- → Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), bone marrow may not be considered appropriate if ANY of the following is TRUE:
 - The patient has undergone advanced imaging of the same body part and for the same indication within 3 months, without being on treatment; OR
 - If contrast is used, history of anaphylactic allergic reaction to gadolinium contrast media with detailed guidelines for use in patients with renal insufficiency; OR
 - The patient has metallic clips on vascular aneurysms; OR
 - Incompatible implantable devices (e.g., pacemakers, defibrillators, cardiac valves); OR
 - Metallic foreign body in orbits/other critical area(s) or within the field of view and obscuring area of concern.

^{*}NOTE: MRI in patients with claustrophobia should be requested at the discretion of the ordering provider.

^{**}NOTE: MRI in pregnant patients should be requested at the discretion of the ordering provider and obstetric care provider.

Level of Care Criteria

Outpatient

Procedure Codes (CPT/HCPCS)

| CPT/HCPCS Code | Code Description | |
|----------------|---|--|
| 77084 | Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) (e.g., proton); bone marrow blood supply | |

Medical Evidence

Lowrance et al. (2023) review guidelines published by the American Urological Association (AUA) and the Society of Urologic Oncology (SUO) on advanced prostate cancer. For patients experiencing prostate-specific antigen (PSA) recurrence following unsuccessful local therapy, particularly those with elevated risk factors such as a PSA doubling time (PSADT) of less than 12 months, regular staging assessments should be conducted. Computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) are preferred imaging modalities, along with technetium bone scans or prostate-specific membrane antigen (PSMA) positron emission tomography (PET) scans.¹

Karampinos et al. (2018) reviewed quantitative MRI and spectroscopy of bone marrow. Due to the exceptional soft-tissue contrast capability, MRI is the preferred imaging method for tracking bone marrow alterations in healthy and diseased conditions. Innovative quantitative MRI techniques and magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS) can accurately measure changes in bone marrow composition, including water-fat distribution, cellularity, and perfusion across various pathologies. Advancements can help clinicians understand the role of bone marrow in the pathogenesis of systemic diseases like osteoporosis.¹²

Shah et al. (2014) conducted a retrospective cohort study on the evaluation of incidental abnormal bone marrow signals on MRI. Among 49,678 MRI scans conducted, 110 patients over 18 met the inclusion criteria. Of note, 22% underwent additional evaluation, primarily consisting of complete blood counts, serum protein electrophoresis, or bone scans. Over a median follow-up period of 41 months, 6% of patients received diagnoses of malignancies, including multiple myeloma, non-Hodgkin's lymphoma, metastatic non-small cell lung cancer, and metastatic adenocarcinoma. Furthermore, one patient who had not undergone evaluation was diagnosed with breast cancer 24 months post-MRI. In conclusion, observing abnormal or heterogeneous bone marrow signals on MRI should not be dismissed, as it often warrants further investigation.

[3]

References

- Expert Panel on Breast Imaging, diFlorio-Alexander RM, Slanetz PJ, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria - breast imaging of pregnant and lactating women. Published 2018. Accessed August 1, 2024. https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/3102382/Narrative/.
- Expert Panel on Breast Imaging, Niell BL, Jochelson MS, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria - female breast cancer screening. Updated 2023. Accessed August 1, 2024. https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/70910/Narrative/.
- 3. Zugni F, Padhani AR, Koh DM, et al. Whole-body magnetic resonance imaging (WB-MRI) for cancer screening in asymptomatic subjects of the general population: Review and recommendations. *Cancer Imaging*. 2020 May 11;20(1):34. doi: 10.1186/s40644-020-00315-0. PMID: 32393345; PMCID: PMC7216394.
- 4. American Society of Breast Surgeons (ASBS). Consensus guideline on diagnostic and screening magnetic resonance imaging of the breast. Published 2018. Accessed June 27, 2024. https://www.breastsurgeons.org/docs/statements/Consensus-Guidelin e-on-Diagnostic-and-Screening-Magnetic-Resonance-Imaging-of-th e-Breast.pdf.
- 5. Pereslucha AM, Wenger DM, Morris MF, et al. Invasive lobular carcinoma: A review of imaging modalities with special focus on pathology concordance. *Healthcare (Basel)*. 2023 Mar 3;11(5):746. doi: 10.3390/healthcare11050746. PMID: 36900751; PMCID: PMC10000992.
- Expert Panel on Musculoskeletal Imaging, Stanborough R, Demertzis JL, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria - malignant or aggressive primary musculoskeletal tumor-staging and surveillance: 2022 update. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2022 Nov;19(11s):S374-S389. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2022.09.015. PMID: 36436964.
- 7. Chantry A, Kazmi M, Barrington S, et al. Guidelines for the use of imaging in the management of patients with myeloma. Br J Haematol 2017; 178:380–93.
- 8. Dimopoulos MA, Hillengass J, Usmani S, et al. Role of magnetic resonance imaging in the management of patients with multiple myeloma: A consensus statement. *J Clin Oncol*. 2015 Feb 20;33(6):657-64. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2014.57.9961. PMID: 25605835.
- 9. Messiou C, Hillengass J, Delorme S, et al. Guidelines for acquisition,

- interpretation, and reporting of whole-body MRI in myeloma: Myeloma Response Assessment and Diagnosis System (MY-RADS). 2019 Apr;291(1):5-13. doi: 10.1148/radiol.2019181949. PMID: 30806604.
- 10. Expert Panel on Urological Imaging, Akin O, Woo S, et al. ACR appropriateness criteria pre-treatment detection, surveillance, and staging of prostate cancer: 2022 update. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2023 May;20(5S):S187-S210. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2023.02.010. PMID: 37236742.
- 11. Lowrance W, Dreicer R, Jarrard DF, et al. Updates to advanced prostate cancer: AUA/SUO guideline (2023). *J Urol*. 2023 Jun;209(6):1082-1090. doi: 10.1097/JU.000000000003452. PMID: 37096583.
- Karampinos DC, Ruschke S, Dieckmeyer M, et al. Quantitative MRI and spectroscopy of bone marrow. *J Magn Reson Imaging*. 2018 Feb;47(2):332-353. doi: 10.1002/jmri.25769. PMID: 28570033; PMCID: PMC5811907.
- Shah GL, Rosenberg AS, Jarboe J, et al. Incidence and evaluation of incidental abnormal bone marrow signal on magnetic resonance imaging. ScientificWorldJournal. 2014:2014:380814. doi: 10.1155/2014/380814. PMID: 25374938; PMCID: PMC4211153.

Clinical Guideline Revision History/Information

| Original Date: April 1, 2022 | | | |
|------------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Review History | | | |
| Version 2 | 8/15/2024 | Annual review and policy restructure. | |
| | | | |
| | | | |