

# **Cranial Remodeling Orthotic Devices**

Clinical Guidelines for Medical Necessity Review

Version: 1.0

**Effective Date:** November 17, 2023

# **Important Notices**

#### **Notices & Disclaimers:**

GUIDELINES SOLELY FOR COHERE'S USE IN PERFORMING MEDICAL NECESSITY REVIEWS AND ARE NOT INTENDED TO INFORM OR ALTER CLINICAL DECISION MAKING OF END USERS.

Cohere Health, Inc. ("Cohere") has published these clinical guidelines to determine medical necessity of services (the "Guidelines") for informational purposes only, and solely for use by Cohere's authorized "End Users". These Guidelines (and any attachments or linked third party content) are not intended to be a substitute for medical advice, diagnosis, or treatment directed by an appropriately licensed healthcare professional. These Guidelines are not in any way intended to support clinical decision making of any kind; their sole purpose and intended use is to summarize certain criteria Cohere may use when reviewing the medical necessity of any service requests submitted to Cohere by End Users. Always seek the advice of a qualified healthcare professional regarding any medical questions, treatment decisions, or other clinical guidance. The Guidelines, including any attachments or linked content, are subject to change at any time without notice.

©2023 Cohere Health, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

#### **Other Notices:**

HCPCS® and CPT® copyright 2022 American Medical Association. All rights reserved.

Fee schedules, relative value units, conversion factors and/or related components are not assigned by the AMA, are not part of CPT, and the AMA is not recommending their use. The AMA does not directly or indirectly practice medicine or dispense medical services. The AMA assumes no liability for data contained or not contained herein.

HCPCS and CPT are registered trademarks of the American Medical Association.

#### **Guideline Information:**

**Specialty Area:** Durable Medical Equipment, Prosthetics, Orthotics and Supplies (DMEPOS)

**Guideline Name:** Cranial Remodeling Orthotic Devices - Single Service

Literature review current through: 11/17/2023

**Document last updated:** 11/17/2023

**Type:** [\_] Adult (18+ yo) | [<u>X</u>] Pediatric (0-17yo)

## **Table of Contents**

Important Notices	2
Table of Contents	3
Medical Necessity Criteria	4
Service: Cranial Orthotic Devices	4
General Guidelines	4
Medical Necessity Criteria	4
Indications	4
Non-Indications	5
Level of Care Criteria	5
Procedure Codes (HCPCS/CPT)	5
Medical Evidence	7
References	8
Clinical Guideline Revision History/Information	10

# **Medical Necessity Criteria**

### Service: Cranial Remodeling Orthotic Devices

### **General Guidelines**

- Units, Frequency, & Duration: None.
- Criteria for Subsequent Requests: None.
- **Recommended Clinical Approach:** Cranial remodeling orthotic devices apply pressure to prominent skull regions to improve cranial symmetry in infants with moderate to severe non-synostotic positional plagiocephaly.
- **Exclusions:** Children older than 18 months of age and infants with head deformities due to uncorrected craniosynostosis or hydrocephalus.

### **Medical Necessity Criteria**

#### **Indications**

- → Cranial Remodeling Orthotic Devices are considered appropriate if ANY of the following is TRUE:
  - ◆ For initial use as indicated by **ANY** of the following:
    - Craniosynostosis surgery has been performed<sup>1-3</sup>; OR
    - Positional plagiocephaly or brachycephaly when indicated by ALL of the following:<sup>4-5</sup>
      - o Age 18 months or younger<sup>6-8</sup>; AND
      - ANY of the following:
        - Two month trial of repositioning or physical therapy has not produced a favorable response; OR
        - ◆ Favorable response to repositioning or physical therapy is not expected due to the severity of the deformity (two standard deviations or more above or below the mean cranial index for age and gender)<sup>9</sup>; AND
      - The provider has determined that the child is a good candidate for helmet therapy, and the caregiver and provider have both agreed that the child can tolerate a daily wearing program of 23 hours; AND
      - o Confirmation and treatment of known underlying neuromuscular influencers (if applicable); **AND** 10-11

- Moderate to severe deformity confirmed by anthropometric assessment confirms and ANY of the following is TRUE:<sup>12</sup>
  - ◆ Cephalic index of 90% or more 10,13; OR
  - Cranium difference in diagonal diameters is 1.0 cm or more<sup>10</sup>; OR
- Additional requests to accommodate growth changes when ALL of the following are TRUE:
  - Patient is between six and eighteen months of age; AND
  - Continued use of orthoses needed for additional cranial asymmetry correction; AND
  - Unsuccessful treatment with ANY of the following:
    - At least two months of home management for infants without cervical motion restriction; OR
    - Physical therapy or home exercise program for infants with cervical motion restriction; AND
  - A new orthoses may be required when documentation indicates that the current device is insufficient (e.g., skin complications, inadequate therapeutic positioning due to head growth).

#### **Non-Indications**

- → Cranial Remodeling Orthotic Devices are not considered appropriate if ANY of the following is TRUE:
  - ◆ For children older than 18 months of age; **OR**
  - ◆ For infants with head deformities due to uncorrected craniosynostosis or hydrocephalus.

### **Level of Care Criteria**

Outpatient.

## Procedure Codes (HCPCS/CPT)

HCPCS/CPT Code	Code Description
L0112	Cranial cervical orthosis, congenital torticollis type, with or without soft interface material, adjustable range of motion joint, custom fabricated
L0113	Cranial cervical orthosis, torticollis type, with or without joint, with or without soft interface material, prefabricated, includes fitting and adjustment
\$1040	Cranial remolding orthosis, pediatric, rigid, with soft interface material, custom fabricated, includes

fitting and adjustment(s)	

## **Medical Evidence**

Santiago et al. (2023) provide a report on the management and treatment of positional plagiocephaly and craniosynostosis. The ideal age for orthotic helmet use is between age four and eight months of age, as fontanelle closure is between nine and 12 months of age. The length of orthotic helmet use depends on the degree of asymmetry (typically three to six months duration, stopping use after 12 months of age). Efficacy rates are high – helmet therapy was successful in 94.4% of infants who used helmet therapy as a first-line therapy; helmet use after unsuccessful conservative therapy was successful in 96.1% of infants.<sup>8</sup>

Graham et al. (2019a) performed a retrospective review to determine factors that influence the efficacy of cranial remolding orthoses in infants with deformational plagiocephaly. Research demonstrates that outcomes are more favorable among younger infants. A total of 499 patients were in the review. Age at diagnosis, presenting severity, and the presence of torticollis factor in the length of time that orthoses are needed. Study limitations include the inability to control patient education, which could positively impact patient compliance.<sup>6</sup>

### National and Professional Organizations

The American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) published a clinical report on craniosynostosis and related disorders. An overview is provided of head shape abnormalities, related disorders, and treatment options (including helmet therapy).<sup>14</sup>

The Congress of Neurological Surgeons (CNS) published a 2016 guideline for treating pediatric positional plagiocephaly. Repositioning is the preferred treatment for deformational plagiocephaly. Evidence demonstrates that repositioning is inferior to physical therapy and the use of a helmet, respectively. A second guideline is available on the role of cranial molding orthosis (helmet) therapy for patients with positional plagiocephaly. Helmet therapy is for infants with "persistent moderate to severe plagiocephaly after a course of conservative treatment (repositioning and/or physical therapy)...[and] moderate to severe plagiocephaly presenting at an advanced age." <sup>9</sup>

## References

- 1. Jimenez DF, Barone CM. Early treatment of coronal synostosis with endoscopy-assisted craniectomy and postoperative cranial orthosis therapy: 16-year experience. J Neurosurg Pediatr. 2013 Sep;12(3):207-19. doi: 10.3171/2013.4.PEDS11191. PMID: 23808724.
- 2. Zubovic E, Woo AS, Skolnick GB, et al. Cranial base and posterior cranial vault asymmetry after open and endoscopic repair of isolated lambdoid craniosynostosis. J Craniofac Surg. 2015 Jul;26(5):1568-73. doi: 10.1097/SCS.000000000001891. PMID: 26114505; PMCID: PMC4500679.
- 3. Chou PY, Hallac RR, Patel S, et al. Three-dimensional changes in head shape after extended sagittal strip craniectomy with wedge ostectomies and helmet therapy. J Neurosurg Pediatr. 2017 Jun;19(6):684-689. doi: 10.3171/2017.1.PEDS16660. PMID: 28362187.
- 4. Couture DE, Crantford JC, Somasundaram A, et al. Efficacy of passive helmet therapy for deformational plagiocephaly: report of 1050 cases. Neurosurg Focus. 2013 Oct;35(4):E4. doi: 10.3171/2013.8.FOCUS13258. PMID: 24079783.
- Hinken L, Willenborg H, Dávila LA, et al. Outcome analysis of molding helmet therapy using a classification for differentiation between plagiocephaly, brachycephaly and combination of both. J Craniomaxillofac Surg. 2019 May;47(5):720-725. doi: 10.1016/j.jcms.2019.01.011. PMID: 30733135.
- Graham T, Gilbert N, Witthoff K, et al. Significant factors influencing the
  effectiveness of cranial remolding orthoses in infants with
  deformational plagiocephaly. J Craniofac Surg. 2019a
  Sep;30(6):1710-1713. doi: 10.1097/SCS.000000000005512. PMID: 30985500;
  PMCID: PMC6786935.
- 7. Graham T, Adams-Huet B, Gilbert N, et al. Effects of initial age and severity on cranial remolding orthotic treatment for infants with deformational plagiocephaly. J Clin Med. 2019b Jul 24;8(8):1097. doi: 10.3390/jcm8081097. PMID: 31344968; PMCID: PMC6723372.
- 8. Santiago GS, Santiago CN, Chwa ES, et al. Positional plagiocephaly and craniosynostosis. Pediatr Ann. 2023 Jan;52(1):e10-e17. doi: 10.3928/19382359-20221114-03. PMID: 36625797.
- Tamber MS, Nikas D, Beier A, et al. Congress of Neurological Surgeons systematic review and evidence-based guideline on the role of cranial molding orthosis (helmet) therapy for patients with positional plagiocephaly. Neurosurgery. 2016 Nov;79(5):E632-E633. doi: 10.1227/NEU.000000000001430. PMID: 27776089.
- Looman WS, Kack Flannery AB. Evidence-based care of the child with deformational plagiocephaly, Part I: Assessment and diagnosis. J Pediatr Health Care. 2012 Jul-Aug;26(4):242-50; quiz 251-3. doi: 10.1016/j.pedhc.2011.10.003. PMID: 22726709.

- 11. Kack Flannery AB, Looman WS, Kemper K. Evidence-based care of the child with deformational plagiocephaly, part II: Management. J Pediatr Health Care. 2012 Sep-Oct; 26(5):320-31. doi: 10.1016/j.pedhc.2011.10.002. PMID: 22920774.
- 12. Mazzola C, Baird LC, Bauer DF, et al. Congress of Neurological Surgeons systematic review and evidence-based guideline for the diagnosis of patients with positional plagiocephaly: The role of imaging. Neurosurgery. 2016 Nov;79(5):E625-E626. doi: 10.1227/NEU.0000000000001427. PMID: 27776086.
- 13. Meyer-Marcotty P, Böhm H, Linz C, et al. Spectrum of positional deformities - is there a real difference between plagiocephaly and brachycephaly? J Craniomaxillofac Surg. 2014 Sep;42(6):1010-6. doi: 10.1016/j.jcms.2014.01.026. PMID: 24530071.
- 14. Dias MS, Samson T, Rizk EB, et al. Identifying the misshapen head: Craniosynostosis and related disorders. Pediatrics. 2020 Sep;146(3):e2020015511. doi: 10.1542/peds.2020-015511. PMID: 32868470.
- 15. Klimo P, Lingo PR, Baird LC, et al. Guidelines: Congress of Neurological Surgeons systematic review and evidence-based guideline on the management of patients with positional plagiocephaly: The role of repositioning. Neurosurgery. 2016 Nov;79(5):E627-E629. doi: 10.1227/NEU.0000000000001428. PMID: 27759673.

# Clinical Guideline Revision History/Information

Original Date: November 17, 2023		
Review History		